Nanomaterials Based Drinking Water Purification: Comparative Study with a Conventional Water Purification Process
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Abstract

One of the ways of fully securing the presence of fresh water is water treatment process. Nanomaterials and nanotechnology offers an innovative solution for water treatment. In this study, physical, chemical and microbiological improvement rates of raw water were analyzed after filtration with graphene oxide. Graphene oxide’s water treatment performance; silver nanoparticles, silver nanoparticles & graphene oxide composites that are commonly used in water treatment were compared with a traditional treatment method. When compared to the traditional method, there were improvements of 50 %, 40.7 %, 86.8 % and 45.5 % for color, TIC, TOC and hardness properties, respectively in water treatment by GO-based filtration with solid liquid ratio of 0.7 % (v/v). In water treatment with GO-Ag based filtration, 39.8 %, 69.8 %, 10.3 % and 28.6 % of improvements were obtained for TIC, TOC, hardness and LSI value compared to the conventional method. Both GO at 0.7 % (v/v) solid-liquid ratio and GO-Ag nanocomposites were successful in the number of total viable microorganisms and inhibiting microorganisms such as Escherichia coli fecal (gaita-infected), Salmonella typhi, Enterococcus faecalis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Staphylococcus aureus. Among the studied parameters GO-Ag nanocomposites found to be the most suitable for drinking water treatment.
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1 Introduction

The fresh water scarcity and water pollution problem have been increasingly growing worldwide in the last several years [1]. It is estimated that two thirds of the world’s population may have difficulties accessing healthy water by 2025 [2]. Nanomaterials and nanotechnology emerge as the best possible solution for drinking water disinfection [3-7]. Graphene oxide [1, 8-11], silver nano particles [12-15] and silver nanoparticles-graphene oxide nanocomposites [11] can be listed as the most prominent nano materials in water treatment [2].

Silver nanoparticles have been found to be effective in preventing the reproduction of microorganisms such as Escherichia coli (E. coli) [16], Staphylococcus aureus (S. aureus), Aspergillus flavus (A. flavus) [17], Enterococcus faecalis (E. faecalis) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (P. aeruginosa) [13] graphene oxide has been found to be effective in preventing the reproduction of microorganisms in water such as Enterococcus faecium (E. faecium), S. aureus and P. aeruginosa [18] whereas graphene oxide-silver nanoparticles composites have been found to be effective in preventing the reproduction of microorganisms in water such as E. coli [11], S. aureus [19, 20], P. aeruginosa [21], Candida albicans (C. albicans), Lactobacillus acidophilus (L. acidophilus), Streptococcus mutans (S. mutans) and Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans (A. actinomycetemcomitans) [22], Bacillus subtilis (B. subtilis) [19].

In addition to its natural flora, water may contain microorganisms found in soil and plants, and in case of contamination it may contain microorganisms found in...
faeces and sewage waters. No matter what purpose water is used, it should not contain pathogenic microorganisms. There might be the bacteria belonging to the genus *Pseudomonas, Chromobacterium, Proteus, Micrococcus, Bacillus, Clostridium, Fecal Streptococcus, Enterobacter* and *Escherichia* in water. Water is also a major source of microorganisms that cause gastroenteritis in humans. It is known that microorganisms such as *Salmonella, Shigella,* and *Vibrio cholera* infaecally contaminated water cause intestinal infections and microorganisms such as *Salmonella typhi* and *Salmonella paratyphi* cause typhoid and paratyphoid outbreaks.

Poor water quality causes diarrhoeal deaths of 1.7 million people worldwide (which account for 3.1 % of all deaths) annually. It is reported that 90 % of this ratio is made up of children in developing countries [23-26]. In Alamosa, Colorado, drinking water contaminated with *S. typhimurium* caused to an epidemic in 2008, with this epidemic, 21 % of the neighboring houses suffered from diarrhoea and 29 % of them had to deal with potential lifelong health problems. The cost of a waterborne *Salmonella* outbreak was estimated at about $ 1.5 million, and people lost confidence in city water [27]. For this reason, securing city waters become more of an issue and the water treatment process come to the fore as the most powerful technique applied for this purpose.

Unlike studies in the literature, this study aims to analyze microorganisms such as *E. coli, S. aureus, E. faecium,* and *P. aeruginosa* as well as *S. typhi,* which also causes serious health problems in humans and get information about the inhibition of those from raw water with graphene oxide, silver nanoparticles, and silver nanoparticles-graphene oxide nanomaterials. Therefore, the anti-bacterial effect and the effect on the total number of viable bacteria (the medium that determines the presence of all bacteria) of graphene oxide, silver nanoparticles, and silver nanoparticles-graphene oxide nanomaterials. Therefore, the anti-bacterial effect and the effect on the total number of viable bacteria (the medium that determines the presence of all bacteria) of graphene oxide, silver nanoparticles, and silver nanoparticles-graphene oxide nanomaterials were studied for the first time in literature.

Graphene oxide is used especially for the purpose of removing salts from water [28, 29], removal of metal ions [30, 31], removal of turbidity [32] while silver nanoparticles are used for the purpose of reducing the amount of total [33] and undissolved [34] organic carbon.

Unlike studies in the literature, this study aims to analyze the physical, chemical and microbiological properties of raw water taken from a dam such as temperature, pH, alkalinity, conductivity, dissolved O₂, turbidity, color, iron, manganese, nitrate, nitrite, ammonia, sulphite, total carbon, total organic carbon (TOC), total inorganic carbon (TIC), French hardness, calcium carbonate hardness, calcium, Langelier saturation index (LSI), *E. faecalis, S. typhimurium, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus, E. coli, E-coliform* and the total viable count water and improve these values to drinking water standards using graphene oxide, silver nanoparticles and silver nanoparticles & graphene oxide materials. These quality criteria has been selected taking into account Turkish standard (TS) 266 prepared for water intended for human consumption [35].

2 Materials and Method

2.1 Materials

Graphite (< 50 µm), sulphuric acid (95-97 %), orthophosphoric acid (85 %), potassium permanganate (7-9 pH), sodium hydroxide pellets (NaOH, > 99 %), silver nitrate (AgNO₃, > 99.8 %), D (+) - Glucose anhydrous and extra pure starch soluble are supplied from Merck Millipore.

2.2 Methodology

There are 6 flow steps in the determination of the water purification performance of GO (Fig. 1). Firstly; the physical, chemical and microbiological properties have been determined as the quality criteria of raw and purified water with GO based filtration membrane synthesis process. Graphene oxide powders were synthesized by improved Hummers method and characterized by FTIR (Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy), Raman, (Atomic force microscopy) AFM and X-Ray Diffraction (XRD). Eight GO dispersions have been prepared to 0.1-0.8 % (v/v). The raw and treated water performances for each experimental run were analyzed physically, chemically and microbiologically. Then, the water treatment performance of graphene oxide was compared with those of other commonly used AgNPs and GO-Ag nanomaterials. Finally, the water treatment performance of nanomaterials was compared with that of classical treatment methods in terms of physical, chemical and microbiological properties.

3 Identification of the Water Purification Performance Conditions

3.1 Experimental conditions

The raw water used in the study belongs to the dam such a surface water located in Çankırı Province. The dam has an occupancy rate of approximately 60 %. The dam water
is transported to the water treatment plant by a pipeline 5 m below the surface. The water, which is carried from the dam, comes out from the raw water pool. A 5-liter sample was taken from the raw water pool and each experiment was treated with a membrane filtration system. Physical and chemical quality criteria for drinking water have been determined based on TS 266 (Waters-Waters for Human Consumption) and measurement techniques are shown in Table 1 [35].

Microbiological analysis of the water samples passed through the graphene oxide-coated filter was performed by membrane filtration technique [33]. Microbiological analyses were carried out using selective medium according to the standards shown in Table 2 in accordance with the Turkish Standards Institute [35]. Unfiltered raw water was used as a control experiment, and analyses were performed in comparison with the control experiment to observe the effect of antimicrobial agents (graphene oxide used).

### 3.2 Synthesis of Nano-materials

Synthesis of GO: The improved Hummers method has been selected to synthesize the graphene oxide samples

---

**Table 1 Physical and chemical properties of drinking water according to TS 266**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Quality criteria</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Standard (TS 266)</th>
<th>Measurement techniques</th>
<th>Target value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>12.0-25.0</td>
<td>Thermometer</td>
<td>12.0-25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>6.5-8.5</td>
<td>pH meter</td>
<td>7.5-8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Alkalinity</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Titration</td>
<td>80-120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Conductivity</td>
<td>µS/cm</td>
<td>&lt; 2500</td>
<td>Conductivity meter</td>
<td>200-250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dissolved O₂</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>3-8</td>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>7-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>NTU</td>
<td>0.4-1.0</td>
<td>Turbidity meter</td>
<td>0.10-0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Pt-Co</td>
<td>1-20</td>
<td>Calorimetric</td>
<td>0-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Fe mg/l</td>
<td>0.05-0.2</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>0.00-0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Mn mg/l</td>
<td>0.02-0.05</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>0.01-0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>NO₃ mg/l</td>
<td>&lt; 44</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>&lt; 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Nitrite</td>
<td>NO₂ mg/l</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>NH₃ mg/l</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>&lt; 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Sulphite</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>0-250</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>TC</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>12-75</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>12-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>TIC</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>10-73</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>10-73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>CO₂ mg/l</td>
<td>2-65</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>2-65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>French hardness</td>
<td>F⁰</td>
<td>7.2-14.5 Soft</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>7.2-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hardness</td>
<td>CaCO₃ mg/l</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>&lt; 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td>mg/l</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>LSI</td>
<td>HO₂ mg/l</td>
<td>(-1 ≤ LSI ≤ 1.0)</td>
<td>Spectrophotometer</td>
<td>0.5-2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Microbiological characteristics of raw water and drinking water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Quality criteria (Characteristic)</th>
<th>Incubation Conditions</th>
<th>Parametric value (number / 100 ml)</th>
<th>Application standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Total viable bacteria count (STANDART TTC NKS)</td>
<td>30 ± 2 °C / 2-5 Days</td>
<td>&lt; 100</td>
<td>TS ISO 21527-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>E-coli fecal (MFC NKS)</td>
<td>36 ± 2 °C / 20 + 4 Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TS EN ISO 9308-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>E. faecalis (AZİDE NKS)</td>
<td>36 ± 2 °C / 44 + 4 Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TS EN ISO 9308-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>S. typhimurium (BISMUTH SÜLFITE NKS)</td>
<td>36 ± 2 °C / 48 Hours</td>
<td>0 / 100ml</td>
<td>TS EN 6579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>P. aeruginosa (CETRİMİDE NKS)</td>
<td>37 ± 1 °C / 48 + 4 Hours</td>
<td>0 / 250 ml</td>
<td>TS EN ISO 16266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>S. aureus (CHAPMAN NKS)</td>
<td>36 ± 2 °C / 3 Days</td>
<td>0 / 100ml</td>
<td>EN 6888-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>36 ± 2 °C / 21 + 3 Hours</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>TS EN ISO 9308-1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

as following steps [36-38]: graphite (3 g), sulfuric acid (69 ml) were added into a 1000 ml reactor which was kept at 5 °C under continuous stirring for 15 min via cooled-circulating water [36-38]. Then, potassium permanganate (9 g) was slowly added into the mixture and stirred at 5 °C for 2 h and then, the reaction temperature was gradually raised to 35 °C. The mixture was stirred at 35 °C for 30 min and 150 ml-deionized water was added to the suspension and the reaction temperature was raised to 98 °C as a result of the hydration heat [36-38]. The oxidation process was terminated by adding 146 ml-deionized water and hydrogen peroxide (30 % v/v, 10 ml). Yellowish / brown color product was separated by vacuum filtration and the powders were washed at five times with the diluted hydrochloric acid solution (5 %, 100 ml) in order to remove metal ions. Lastly, these powders were dried at 60 °C into an oven for 12 h [36-38].

Synthesis of AgNPs: Firstly, 0.2 % of the starch solution is dissolved at the 75 °C for 15 minutes in 40-ml ultrasonic bath. 10 ml from 0.001 M AgNO₃ solution is added to the mixture and it is mixed for 10 minutes. The glucose is added to the mixture at an amount which is determined from 0.1 M glucose solution depending on 2.5 AgNO₃ / glucose ratios. The mixture’s pH is adjusted at 11.5 values using 0.1 M NaOH solution [39]. In this way, the silver nano particles has been obtained after continuous stirring for 1 hour at 75 °C [39].

Synthesis of GO-AgNPs composites: 2 mg of graphene oxide is added to 50 ml of distilled water and a stable dispersion is obtained by mixing in an ultrasonic bath for 2 hours. The obtained stable GO dispersion is added to the 10 ml from 0.001 M AgNO₃ solution (A). The starch solution (0.2 %) is dissolved at the 75 °C for 15 minutes in 40-ml ultrasonic bath and the glucose is added to the mixture at an amount which is determined from 0.1 M glucose solution depending on 2.5 AgNO₃ / glucose ratios (B). B was slowly added to the A under magnetic stirring and the mixture temperature was fixed at 75 °C for 4 h. The color of mixture changed from dark brown to green [39-41].

3.3 Characterization of Nano-materials

The characterization of the synthesized graphene oxide via FTIR spectroscopy was depicted at Fig. 2(a). The absorption band at 3364 cm⁻¹ indicates that the presence of oxygen which contains groups (O-H stretching vibrations). The absorption peak at 1714 cm⁻¹ and 1618 cm⁻¹ can be designated to C = O stretching of carboxylic and/or carbonyl moiety functional groups. The two absorption peaks at about 1222 cm⁻¹ and 1046 cm⁻¹ are designated to the C-O hydroxyl and epoxy groups stretching vibrations, respectively [37, 38, 42]. Lastly, 579 cm⁻¹ which corresponds to the epoxy (C-O-C) stretching mode which is located on the basal plane of graphene oxide. FTIR's results proved that the synthesis of graphene oxide by improved Hummers method was achieved for the each experimental runs [37, 38, 42].

AFM images can be used to characterize the surface morphology properties of graphene oxide such as average surface roughness, prediction of the thickness deviation and analyzing the surface morphology [37, 38].

In order to obtain average surface roughness via ezAFM device, the graphene oxide solutions were formed with acetone and then, all the graphene oxide solution was coated on the glass, and AFM analysis was performed [37, 38]. The average surface roughness was calculated as 44.94 nm with the use of ezAFM device for a 5 µm × 5 µm sample area (Fig. 2(b)).

Raman's spectroscopy is quite a useful tool to characterize graphene based materials [37, 38]. The Raman's spectra of the graphene oxide samples shows two apparent peaks at positions around the D and G bands of pristine graphite with differences in position and relative intensity [37, 38]. The Raman's spectrum of graphene oxide samples which was synthesized for water purification
illustrates a G-band at 1590 cm\(^{-1}\), D band at 1350 cm\(^{-1}\), 2D and D + D’ band at 2700 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2930 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively (Fig. 2(c)). The excitation wavelength and the excitation laser energy were selected as 785 nm and 10 mV, respectively [37, 38]. Raman's results also demonstrate that the synthesis of graphene oxide with improved Hummers method was succeeded (Fig. 2(c)) [43].

The X-Ray diffractograms (XRD) of the graphene oxide samples show an apparent highly diffraction peak at about 9° to 13°, (002) plane of graphene oxide and a diffraction peak at around 42°, (100) plane of graphene oxide, respectively [37, 38]. Graphene oxide samples which were used for water purification exhibit a diffraction peak at about 10° and 13° and another diffraction peak at around 42° [36-38]. XRD's results also proved that the synthesis of graphene oxide by improved Hummers method was achieved successfully (Fig. 2(d)) [36-38].

A Perkin-Elmer UV-Visible spectrophotometry has been used to characterize AgNPs. The colloidal suspension of the AgNPs has been characterized by the UV-visible spectrophotometry within the range of 300-600 nm (Fig. 3(a)) [39, 44]. The absorption peak is observed between 400 and 500 nm in the UV-visible spectrophotometric graphs which are confirmed in the presence of the silver nanoparticles for all experimental runs [39, 44, 45]. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) image of the AgNPs used for water purification could be seen in Fig. 3(b). SEM-EDX analysis results for AgNPs could be seen in Fig. 3(c)-(d).

The characterization of the synthesized GO-AgNPs via FTIR spectroscopy was depicted at Fig. 4(a). The increase in the intensity of the adsorption band at 3364 cm\(^{-1}\) of OH functional groups is originated from the sodium hydroxide used to adjust the pH of the silver nanoparticle synthesis. The intensity of the adsorption bands of the oxygenated functional groups of GO-AgNPs has lower value than the GO peaks in FTIR spectroscopy because of the existence of AgNP on the surface of GO and glucose reducer [45].

Bright spherical AgNPs particles and GO sheets as seen in AFM image demonstrates that a successful GO-AgNPs synthesis has been achieved. The average surface roughness of synthesized GO-AgNPs is found as 20.90 nm (Fig. 4(b)). As expected the (D-band intensity to G-band intensity) ID / IG ratios of the GO and GO-AgNPs are close to each other. Adhesion of silver nanoparticles on the graphene oxide layers cause defects in the material structure and because of these defects the ID / IG ratio has increased (Fig. 4(c)) [46].
The morphological analysis of synthesized GO-AgNPs was implemented with TEM analysis (Fig. 4(d)). Dark round spherical AgNPs spots and semi-transparent GO layers can be easily distinguished via TEM images [41].

3.4 Experimental procedure
First, the dispersion of graphene oxide prepared with distilled water was stirred for 3 hours with a magnetic stirrer (Fig. 5(a)) and filtered to make sure that the filter paper was coated with graphene oxide (Fig. 5(b)). The raw water taken from the dam was divided into two parts. One half was used for control experiments by filtration with regular filter paper for physical, chemical and microbiological analysis of raw water. The other half on the other hand was filtered with a graphene-oxide coated filter paper (Cellulose acetate membrane filter paper, 0.45 micron, 47 mm) using a vacuum pump (Fig. 5(c)). Physical and chemical analyzes were applied to raw and treated water filtered through regular and graphene-oxide coated filter paper (Fig. 5(d)-(h)) [33].

Membrane filtration method was used for microbiological analysis. The raw water taken from the dam was passed through a regular and graphene oxide-coated filter with a pore size 0.45 μm which is small enough to prevent the passage of microorganisms. Regular and graphene oxide-coated filter papers through which raw water was passed were incubated on selective media under conditions specified in the relevant standards (Fig. 5(i)).

4 Water Purification Using GO
The density of the synthesized graphene oxide powder was measured as 2.02 g/cm³ with a Quantacrome multi-pycnometer. Then 0.1 % to 0.8 % (v/v) GO dispersions were prepared. Raw water and treated water analysis were performed for each experimental run.

4.1 Physical and chemical performance of water purified by GO
Despite the fact that the synthesized graphene oxide was washed with ethanol for several times at the last step of synthesis to remove manganese ions, it still lowered the pH value of raw water during the treatment process since it has lower pH value than raw water (Fig. 6(a)). Especially after 0.5 % (v/v) GO value by volume, it fell below the

Fig. 5 Experimental procedure (a) GO dispersion, (b) Filtration, (c) Water purification set-up, (d) TOC analyzer, (e) titration set, (f) turbidimeter, (g) spectrophotometer, (h) Spectral colorimeter and (i) Incubator
specified in TS 266 standard [35]. However, it is possible to use graphene oxide at high concentration by increasing the number of rinses. The pH value of graphene oxide samples synthesized is low because phosphoric acid and nitric acid were used in the synthesis of graphene, and hydrochloric acid was used to remove metal ions. Even though it is rinsed several times with ethanol and distilled water, it has a lower pH value than that of the raw water. However, the pH value can be increased by further increasing the number of rinses. Thus, pH adjustment can be made for the use of graphene oxide at high 0.5 % (v/v) concentrations. If high pH or alkalinity value is applied, it will reduce the success of the chlorination process and increase the cost.

The amount of alkalinity in raw water decreased as the amount of graphene oxide used in the filter system increased. In addition, high alkalinity is not harmful to human health, but it makes drinking water unpleasant. For this reason, it seems that graphene oxide will make the chlorination activity after nanomaterial more effectively. The alkalinity of raw water is between 120 and 140 mg/L. Whereas the alkalinity of the treated water was determined as 0-120 mg/L. These results show that water with the desired alkalinity can be obtained by graphene oxide filtration (Fig. 6(b)).

It was also observed that as the amount of graphene oxide used in the treatment increased, the conductivity increased while the amount of dissolved oxygen decreased (Fig. 6(c)). As the amount of graphene oxide used in the filtration process increases, the conductivity of the water increases. It is a well-known fact that the purity of water decreases as the conductivity increases. Experience shows that the maximum amount of dissolved oxygen in the water is around 8 mg/L. Therefore, the dissolved oxygen concentration in the treated water is significantly lower than that of the raw water (Fig. 6(d)).

The turbidity of water was determined as the amount of graphene oxide increased. It was observed that the turbidity of the raw water decreased as the amount of graphene oxide increased. The turbidity of the treated water was determined as 2-5 NTU. This shows that the turbidity of the water decreases significantly as the amount of graphene oxide increases. Experience shows that for drinking water, the maximum amount of turbidity should not exceed 1 NTU (Fig. 6(e)).

The color of the water was determined as the amount of graphene oxide increased. It was observed that the color of the raw water decreased as the amount of graphene oxide increased. The color of the treated water was determined as 5-10. Experience shows that for drinking water, the maximum amount of color should not exceed 5 (Fig. 6(f)).

Fig. 6 Physical properties (a) pH, (b) Alkalinity, (c) Conductivity and (d) Dissolved oxygen, (e) Turbidity and (f) Color
of water decreases as the conductivity increases. However, the conductivity of the treated water obtained in all experiments is within TS266 standards (< 2500 μS/cm) [35]. It is known that the parameter that most affects the dissolved oxygen is the temperature and the high temperature decreases the dissolved oxygen concentration. Partial increase in the temperature of the water filtered by graphene oxide reduces the amount of dissolved oxygen in the water. Similarly, in all experiments, the amount of dissolved oxygen in the treated water is between 3 and 8 mg/L as specified in TS266 (Fig. 6(d)) [35].

Two of the most important visual criteria for drinking water are turbidity and color criteria. Considering suspended solid (turbidity) and pollutants (color), it seems that graphene oxide is very successful in the treatment process (Fig. 6(e) and (f)). At 0.4 % (v/v) GO and even at denser concentrations; it reduced the turbidity value of raw water which is higher than 10 NTU to below 1 NTU value specified in TS266 [35]. When raw and treated water analysis is considered, the Pt-Co scale of 10 for the raw water was found to decrease to 0 at 0.7 % (v/v) GO concentration by GO filtration.

When the removal of metals, metallic elements and chemicals in raw material by using graphene oxide was analyzed, it has been found that it was quite successful in the removal of iron, nitrite, manganese, calcium and magnesium (Fig. 7(a), (b), (d), (f) and (g)). Silica removal has been partly successful in the uses of intense graphene oxide (Fig. 7(h)). On the removal of nitrate and ammonia from water on the other hand, graphene oxide was not successful (Fig. 7(c) and (e)). It is foreseen that the use of nitric acid for the synthesis of graphene oxide might be accountable for this. No matter how well it is being washed, the ionization of HNO₃, residues in graphene oxide sample as NOₓ after they form dispersion with water might explain the high nitrate value in treated water.

When the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants from water by using graphene oxide was analyzed, graphene oxide seems to be quite successful in removing organic pollutants (with the use of GO at a concentration of 0.5 % (v/v) or higher). On the other hand, on the removal of inorganic pollutants, there has been improvement only with the use of 0.6 % (v/v) or higher concentrations of GO (Fig. 8). Graphene oxide is seen to be quite effective in removing water hardness (Fig. 9(a)). In all experiments soft water was obtained in TSE 266 standards [35]. When LSI values are analyzed, it is seen that water treated with graphene oxide at a concentration of 0.4 % (v/v) and higher concentration is highly corrosive (Fig. 9(b)). The maximum graphene oxide use in water treatment should be 0.3 % (v/v) by volume in order not to have any corrosion problems.

### 4.2 Microbiological performance of water purified by GO

In this study, the inhibitions of very significant microorganisms threatening the quality of drinking water and human health such as *E. coli fecal, E. faecalis, P. aeruginosa, S. aureus* and *E. coliiform* as well as *S. typhimurium* which causes serious health problems on humans, in water by filtration method with graphene oxide were determined. The inhibition effect on graphene oxide on *E. faecalis, S. typhimurium* and *P. aeruginosa* microorganisms was found to be quite high. In addition, the reproduction of all microorganisms, including total viable ones in water was completely inhibited, with 0.7 % (v/v) graphene oxide use (Table 3). The results show that the number of total bacterial microorganisms after contact with GO coated membrane filter has reached zero values. Apparently, GO coated membrane filter showed strong antimicrobial activity against such microorganisms.

*S. typhimurium*, characterized by fever and abdominal pain, threatens human health with typhoid and at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Quality criteria (Feature)</th>
<th>Raw water</th>
<th>0.1 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.2 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.3 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.4 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.5 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.6 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.7 % GO (v/v)</th>
<th>0.8 % GO (v/v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Total viable count</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td><em>E.-coli fecal</em></td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td><em>E. faecalis</em></td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td><em>S. typhimurium</em></td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td><em>P. aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td><em>E. coliiform</em></td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 7 Physical properties (a) iron, (b) manganese, (c) nitrate, (d) nitrite, (e) ammonia and (f) calcium, (g) magnesium and (h) silica
same time has a large host range that causes acute gastroenteritis in cattle and chickens [47]. As seen in Table 3, the number of *S. typhimurium* decreased to zero with very low GO solid liquid ratio (only 0.1 %, v/v). The applied graphene oxide-coated filter ensured that this microorganism was completely inhibited.

The development of resistance to existing antibiotics poses a serious challenge to global public health. There have been many structural alteration studies on antibiotics to struggle against this phenomenon [48]. Because of the potential antibacterial applications of graphene and graphene-based nanocomposites [49], conjugation of existing antibiotics with graphene-based materials is a promising solution to prevent antibacterial resistance [50, 51].

5 Results and Discussions

5.1 Comparison between GO, AgNPs and GO-AgNPs in terms of water purification performance

In this part; graphene oxide’s water treatment performance was compared with other popular nanomaterials such as AgNPs and GO-Ag nanocomposites. While graphene oxide lowered the pH value during the raw water treatment, AgNPs and GO-Ag materials could not make any pH change in treated water. Similarly, while GO-Ag material reduced the alkalinity of raw water by only 15 %, the graphene oxide in the solid liquid ratio of 0.7 % (v/v) reduced the alkalinity of raw water by up to 99 %. GO, AgNPs and GO-Ag reduced the dissolved oxygen ratio in raw water while the conductivity of raw water increased by 169 % with GO in the solid-liquid ratio of 0.7 % (v/v).

It can be said that the conductivity increases with the increase of the ionized COO\(^{-}\) groups in water as GO solid-liquid ratio increases. The color improvement rates of raw water reached the level of 94 % at 0.8 % (v/v) solid-liquid ratios. However, an improvement rate of 63 % was achieved with AgNPs. In the filtration process using GO, while a maximum of 96 % improvement in the turbidity value of the raw water was obtained, a 97 % improvement rate was obtained with GO-Ag having very low particles (Fig. 10(a)).

At the removal of nitrite from raw water, an improvement rate of 75 % was obtained at 0.6 % (v/v) solid-liquid ratios. However, a 43 % and 71 % removal of nitrite from the raw water was achieved by filtration with AgNPs and GO-AgNPs nanocomposites (Fig. 10(b)).

Even though the graphene oxide was partially successful in iron, manganese and nitrate removal from raw water (iron and manganese only), there were improvements with GO-Ag use in the rates of 94 %, 91 % and 33 %,
respectively. While GO and GO-AgNPs failed to remove ammonia from raw water, only AgNPs achieved a 100 % improvement in ammonia removal (Fig. 10(b)).

At the removal of TIC, TOC and hardness from the raw water, the highest success rates were achieved with 72 %, 91 % and 54 %, respectively, using GO at 0.8 % (v/v) solid-liquid ratios. AgNPs and GO-AgNPs were not successful on the removal of the hardness from the raw water. However, on the removal of TIC and TOC from the raw water, silver has achieved a remarkable improvement by 61 % and 59 %, respectively.

It was observed that graphene oxide was effective in the removal of calcium, magnesium and silica from raw water (85 % magnesium at 0.4 % (v/v) solid-liquid ratios, 60 % calcium and 29 % silica at 0.8 % (v/v) solid-liquid ratios) while silver was effective only for magnesium removal (33 %) (Fig. 10(d)).

5.2 Comparison between nano-materials vs. traditional methods in terms of water purification performance

The traditional drinking water treatment is composed of the following stages: KMnO₄ addition, pre-chlorination, ventilation, coagulation with aluminum hydroxy sulfate, poly electrolyte addition, clarification, filter basin, last chlorination and distribution warehouse.

In this section, raw water treatment results obtained by conventional method were compared with those of the methods using nanomaterials (Table 4).

As seen from the results, drinking water was obtained within the physical, chemical and microbiological standards by using AgNPs and GO-Ag. It seems that the use of graphene oxide in the raw water filtration is quite successful in the treatment of water (Table 4). It is highly corrosive with -6.2 LDI index. The high ratio of manganese can be solved by increasing the number of rinses during the synthesis of graphene oxide and by adjusting the pH with KOH. Of course, it is also possible to produce drinking water suitable for physical and chemical standards by using only 0.1 % (v/v) GO. However, using only 0.1 % (v/v) GO could not bring the microbiological characteristics to the desired level. For this reason, GO-Ag comes to the fore as the most successful and most promising nanomaterial (in terms of cost) in drinking water filtration. Microbiological analyses indicate that AgNPs having a very low solid-liquid ratio have very impressive antibacterial properties. The most remarkable result is the microbiological performance of GO-Ag nanocomposite containing low amount of silver and graphene oxide (Fig. 11(a)-(e)).

Compared with conventional methods, graphene oxide, silver nanoparticles, GO-Ag nanocomposites have been
quite successful. While improvement rates of 82%, 71%, 75%, 62%, 4%, -9%, 4%, -4% and 31% were obtained by conventional methods for turbidity, color, iron, manganese, TIC, TOC, hardness, calcium and magnesium removal, respectively; these improvement rates reached to the levels of 71%, 86%, -25%, -152%, 43%, 86%, 48%, 41% and 72% by GO-based filtration at the 0.7% (v/v) solid-liquid ratio. Improvement rates of 81%, 14%, 75%, 29%, 42%, 67%, 14%, 10% and 27% were obtained for turbidity, color, iron, manganese, TIC, TOC, hardness, calcium and magnesium removal with GO-Ag Nanocomposites (Fig. 12). These results are noteworthy in that the nanomaterials can be used not only in a single step by itself alone but also at any stage of the treatment with conventional methods.

### 6 Conclusions

With the rapid growth of the world’s population, water demand for drinking and general use is increasing steadily. As a result of environmental pollution, the water resources are getting polluted day by day and finding water resources in good quality and putting them into use are becoming increasingly difficult. The usage of nanomaterials in water treatment is a promising solution to this problem. The results of this study show that GO and GO-Ag Nanocomposites can effectively improve the quality of water, especially in removing turbidity, color, iron, manganese, TIC, TOC, hardness, calcium and magnesium. These nanomaterials can be used not only in a single step but also at any stage of the treatment with conventional methods.
limited. Even if suitable water resources are found, drinking water quality is adversely affected by the inconveniences in the treatment, distribution and storages. Prevention of this situation is possible by protection of water resources and their proper treatment, and continuous improvement of treatment processes. In this study, it was aimed to analyze the drinking water characteristics with a nanomaterial-based filtration system for the treatment of scarce water resources. In this study, the use of graphene oxide-coated filtration as drinking water treatment material at different solid-liquid ratios was analyzed in terms of physical, chemical and microbiological properties.

When compared to traditional method, there were improvements of 50%, 40.7%, 86.8% and 45.5% for color, TIC, TOC and hardness properties, respectively in water treatment by GO-based filtration with solid-liquid ratio of 0.7% (v/v). In water treatment with GO-Ag based filtration, improvements in the rates of 39.8%, 69.8%, 10.3% and 28.6% were obtained for TIC, TOC, hardness and LSI value respectively compared to the conventional method. Both GO at 0.7% (v/v) solid-liquid ratio and GO-Ag nanocomposites were successful in the inhibition of the total viable and the microorganisms such as *E. coli fecal*, *S. typhi*, *E. faecalis*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, and *E. coliiform*.

However, the most striking result of the study is the determination of the fact that graphene oxide silver nanoparticles and graphene oxide & silver nanoparticles nanocomposites are very effective against *Salmonella typhi* microorganism. *Salmonella* causes diseases by passing to people through contaminated water or food. It is particularly recommended to use them in industry such as fruit juice and milk industries where this microorganism can grow widely.

Both GO and GO-Ag has been successful in removing the turbidity, color, TOC, TIC, hardness, calcium and magnesium minerals. Only silver nanoparticles were successful in ammonia removal. The improvement rates in drinking water treatment obtained with all nanomaterials are very striking. In this study, raw water taken from the dam was treated with these nanomaterials. The improvement rates obtained in this study are an indication that these nanomaterials can be successfully used for the treatment of more problematic raw waters and wastewater.

The LSI value for the GO-based filtration method at 0.7% (v/v) solid-liquid ratio, which is physically, chemically and microbiologically successful, is considerably smaller than the value of -2 and is out of the standards. This is an indication that the water filtered by the graphene oxide in these quantities is corrosive. However, water filtered with GO-Ag remained within the standards and was not corrosive. In this regard, it is recommended to use GO filtration with either GO-Ag nanocomposite or anti-corrosion materials.

Approximately 14.14 g of graphene oxide was used for the 0.7% (v/v) graphene oxide dispersion. Taking into account the cost of chemicals used for graphene oxide, the cost of 0.7% (v/v) graphene oxide dispersion is about $27.86. The estimated cost for silver nanoparticle synthesis is approximately $0.06. Silver nanoparticle & graphene oxide nanocomposite synthesis' cost is

![Fig. 12 Improvement or deterioration rate (%) for physical properties](image-url)
estimated at approximately $0.063. The most remarkable point is that the composite with silver nanoparticle instead of graphene oxide alone, which is effective in water treatment, has both successful water treatment performance and cheaper cost. With these nanomaterials, about 2 cycles (about 5 liters of raw water) were successfully achieved. Cost in USD / liter of treated water has been calculated as 5.57, 0.012 and 0.013 for 0.7% GO (v/v), AgNPs and GO-Ag nanocomposites, respectively (Table 5).

Of course, if the silver nanoparticles & graphene oxide nanocomposites synthesized in this study are coated with spin coater, spray pyrolysis or a different method on the filter paper, a more efficient and high amount of water treatment will be possible.
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Table 5 Calculation of the cost in USD / liter of treated water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Used chemicals</th>
<th>Amount of the used chemicals for GO synthesis</th>
<th>Cost of chemicals for 1 g GO synthesis ($)</th>
<th>Amount of the used chemicals for AgNPs dispersion synthesis</th>
<th>Cost of chemicals for 250 ml AgNPs dispersion synthesis ($)</th>
<th>Amount of the used chemicals GO-Ag nano composites dispersion synthesis</th>
<th>Cost of chemicals for 250 ml GO-Ag nano composites dispersion synthesis ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>3 g</td>
<td>0.1063</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0060 g</td>
<td>0.000213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂SO₄</td>
<td>69 ml</td>
<td>0.5920</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.1380 ml</td>
<td>0.001184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KMnO₄</td>
<td>9 g</td>
<td>0.8197</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0180 g</td>
<td>0.001639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O₂</td>
<td>10 ml</td>
<td>0.3806</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0200 ml</td>
<td>0.000761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>5 ml</td>
<td>0.0693</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0100 ml</td>
<td>0.000139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.2 g</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.2 g</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AgNO₃</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0017 g</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.0017 g</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Glucose</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.0036 g</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0036 g</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaOH</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.16 g</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
<td>0.16 g</td>
<td>0.0030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total ($)</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.97</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.06</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.063</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.063</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.063</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.063</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Synthesized Materials for 5 liter water purification</td>
<td>$27.86 / 0.7 % GO (v/v) (1.97 g / $ * 14.14 g)</td>
<td>$0.06 / 250 ml AgNPs</td>
<td>$0.063 / 250 ml GO-AgNPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost in USD / liter of treated water</td>
<td>5.57 USD / liter for 0.7 % GO (v/v)</td>
<td>0.012 USD / liter for AgNPs</td>
<td>0.013 USD / liter for GO-AgNPs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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