Cannabinoids Enriched Extracts from Industrial Hemp Residues
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Abstract

Obtaining phytocannabinoids, associated with various medicinal and therapeutic properties with no reported side effects, is one of the hot topics. The psychotropic Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) is less than 0.2 % in industrial cultivars therefore can be grown legally in many EU countries. Harvesting and processing of hemp for fiber or seeds generates large amount of wastes containing substantial amounts of bioactives such as cannabidiol (CBD) which are the primary cannabinoids along with cannabidiolic acid (CBDA), cannabinol (CBN), cannabigerol (CBG), and cannabichromene (CBC).

The aim of this work was to optimize the extraction of cannabinoids from industrial hemp threshing residue using supercritical carbon dioxide extraction in pilot scales. The effects of extraction pressure and temperature on the extraction yield were evaluated. Three ground and pelleted samples of the same type but with different harvesting time were also compared. After derivatization of the samples the cannabinoids and the minor THCs were quantified by GC-MS. The extraction yields were between 0.2 – 6.59 g/100 g dry mass depending on the source of hemp residue and on the process parameters of the extraction process. By increasing the pressure of extraction (in the range of 25-45 MPa at 45 °C) the extraction yields increased, meanwhile the yields of cannabinoids showed no significant increase. The volatile compounds were successfully separated from the cannabinoids with fractionated separation. From hemp threshing residues essential oil free extracts with high content of cannabinoids were obtained at 35 MPa extraction pressure and 45 °C temperature setting the first separator at 8 MPa and 40 °C.
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1 Introduction

Hemp (Cannabis sativa L.) is one of oldest cultivated annual crops meanwhile it can be also considered as one of the most controversial plant in our society. Industrial hemp is cultivated for products such as fiber for paper and textile, cellulose, hemp seed oil for food, cosmetics and pharmaceutical industries. These industrial cultivars accumulate a minimal amount of Δ^9-tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) (≤ 0.2 weight %) therefore they are legally allowed for cultivation also in USA, Canada and in many European countries. During the harvesting and processing large amount of threshing waste is generated, which turned out to be rich in cannabinoids. Cannabis sativa L. has numerous cultivars (which are available for certain purposes such as: high fiber or oil yields, low THC content). Kompolti variety was bred in Hungary especially for high fiber content and it is a variety suitable for local climate and soil. Felina 32 was bred in France for flowers and CBD content and mainly cultivated there [1–3].

Hemp cannabinoids can be classified as non-psychoactive and psychotropic compounds. The Δ^8-THC, its acid (THCA) and its oxidized form the cannabinol (CBN) are all sedative, significantly affecting mental status and highly addictive. Δ^9-THC is also psychoactive, although less in extent, and its concentration is also lower [4]. Among more than 90 biologically-active unique cannabinoids, cannabidiol (CBD) is the other primary cannabinoid along with its acid form called cannabidiolic acid (CBDA), cannabigerol (CBG), and cannabichromene (CBC) which are present in hemp leafy parts in the highest quantities. Numerous studies demonstrate the beneficial medicinal
and therapeutic properties of these non-psychotropic compounds. CBD and CBDA were shown to exert modulating effects of human endocannabinoid system, they possess analgesic, antibacterial, antidiabetic, antiemetic, antiepileptic, anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, antipsychotic properties. Therefore natural products containing cannabinoids are highly desirable as promising agents in treatments of a variety of central nervous system and peripheral disorders (such as Alzheimer’s), depression, anxiety, epilepsy, pain and inflammatory diseases [1, 2, 4].

Along with the bioeconomy strategy of US and Europe there is an obvious scientific and industrial interest in utilizing agro-, food industrial by-products or waste more efficiently. For isolation of high valued compounds different techniques are available from traditional solvent extraction, pressurized liquid extraction, enzyme-assisted / microwave and ultrasound extraction to supercritical extraction (SFE) etc. SFE is an environmentally friendly extraction technique using carbon dioxide as an extraction solvent resulting solvent-residue free extracts rich in lipophilic compounds [5–9]. Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction is widely used for food-, cosmetic-, and pharmaceutical applications especially producing extracts with high added value such as rosehip oil, flavor of hops, medicinal plants. The solubilities of Δ⁴-THC and two non-psychoactive cannabinoids (CBG and CBD) were studied in supercritical carbon dioxide at different temperature (315-345 K) and pressure ranges (11.3-25.1 MPa) by Perrotin-Brunel et al. [10, 11]. The solubilities of these three cannabinoids are mainly pressure and temperature dependent. Two patented technologies exist for extraction of THC and CBD rich hemp extracts using supercritical carbon dioxide extraction. WO2004066277 patent claims procedures applying sub- and supercritical carbon dioxide between 6-60 MPa pressure-, and 10-35 °C temperature ranges with 1000-1500 kg/h mass flow of CO₂. The patent of US2006247304 focuses on the pain relief with cannabis extracts containing THC and CBD in different ratios. As extraction method it refers back to the content of WO2004066277 patent. In both patents medicinal cannabis plant with high initial THC and CBD concentrations have been extracted after a heating step in which the cannabinoid-acids had converted to THC and CBD with decarboxylation at 100-150 °C. After extraction with sub- or supercritical CO₂, winterization (at -20 °C temperature for 24 or 48 h) is claimed to precipitate the waxy compounds [12, 13].

The aim of this study was to compare and to quantify the cannabinoids in the extracts from three different industrial hemp threshing residues using supercritical carbon dioxide extraction at different pressures. The optimization of extraction process obtaining cannabinoid-rich extract at the highest yield was also a scope of this study.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Hemp residue samples

The threshing residues used in this study contained the residues of stalks and leaves of hemp. Sample 1 was a Felina 32 type hemp residue harvested in 2014 then pelleted. Sample 2 was a Kompolti type of ground hemp residue harvested in 2014 as well. Sample 3 was a Kompolti type of ground hemp residue harvested in 2016. All samples had ≤ 0.2 % THC content officially certified. The moisture contents of the residues were as follows: Sample 1: 9.77 ± 0.06 (% w/w), Sample 2: 10.80 ± 0.32 (% w/w), Sample 3: 18.22 ± 0.15 (% w/w).

2.2 Extraction techniques

The supercritical carbon dioxide extraction was carried out in a pilot plant apparatus with a 5 L high pressure extraction vessel (supplied by Natex, Austria) equipped with two separation vessels in series. Detailed description of the apparatus can be found in [14]. Liquid food grade carbon dioxide (Biogon C., 99.99 %, Linde Ltd, Hungary) was used for the extractions. The flow rate of supercritical carbon dioxide set at 7 kg/h in all experiments. 500 g (± 5 g) of hemp residue was loaded into the basket of extraction vessel in each experiment. The extraction temperature was set to 45 °C based on our preliminary studies not discussed here. The pressure of extraction was varied between 10 – 45 MPa. At extraction with fractionated separation where two separators were connected to the extraction vessel both separators were set at 40 °C, while the pressure of the first separator was higher (8 and 9 MPa) than that of the second separator (4 MPa). Running the extraction without fractionation, one separator was used at 4 MPa pressure and at 40 °C temperature, respectively. The extractions ran in batches therefore CO₂ was not recycled. According to the moisture content of the actual plant material water was co-extracted, which was decanted, weighted and kept for further analysis. The moisture-free crude extracts were weighted and the yield was calculated as g dry extract / 100 g d.m. (% w/w). The abbreviation d.m. stands for dry material.
2.3 Analytical measurements

All crude extracts were stored at -20 °C before sample preparation. The analysis of the target cannabinoids in hemp extracts was carried out by GC-MS analysis with a few modifications, as previously been described [15]. 50 mg crude extract samples were dissolved in head space vial by adding 9 ml methanol and glass beads. Samples were mixed on vortex and sonicated at 40 °C for 30 min, centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 6 min and the supernatant was diluted ten and two hundred fold in acetonitrile. 10 µl internal standard (10 µg/ml myristic-d27 acid in acetonitrile) and 70 µl N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) with trimethylchlorosilane (TMCS), 99:1 were added to 10 µl sample [16]. The crimp-capped samples were mixed on vortex and heated at 70 °C for 90 min.

GC-MS analysis of cannabinoids was performed by using an Agilent gas chromatograph (model 6890N) coupled to an Agilent mass spectrometer (model QP-5975). The column was a Rxi-5 Sil MS (Restek) (30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm) the injector was operated in splitless mode at 250 °C. The oven ran from 50 °C for 1 min followed by a rate of 30 °C / min to 250 °C, hold time was 8.33 min. The carrier gas was helium at flow rate 1.5 mL min⁻¹ in constant flow mode. The transfer line was at 250 °C. The mass spectrometer was operated in electron impact (EI) mode at 70 eV. The analysis of cannabinoids was performed in SIM mode, three ions for each analyte were acquired. Target and qualifier ions are presented in Table 1.

3 Results and discussion

Sequential supercritical carbon dioxide extractions were carried out on Samples 1 and 2. First the extraction pressure was set to 10 MPa and the extraction temperature was 60 °C to obtain essential oil rich fraction. From the pelleted sample only water was extracted with droplets of yellow oil, while from Sample 2 0.2 % scented extract was obtained along with 9 times more water using 55-65 kg CO₂ / kg dry material. After the extraction pressure was elevated up to 45 MPa, while the extraction temperature was decreased to 45 °C and extraction was carried on until the rate of extraction set constant. The extraction yields of both samples were presented in the terms of the consumed carbon dioxide (kg/kg d.m.) as shown in Fig. 1. The overall extraction yields were almost the same 5.54 and 5.24 % from Sample 1 and 2, respectively. As Sample 1 was pelleted the mass of consumed carbon dioxide doubled as the mass transfer was limited due to the tightly pressed pellets. At 130 kg CO₂ / kg d.m. solvent usage a slight increase can be seen on the extraction curve, which was caused by an overnight standby under pressure.

Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction with fractionated separation were also performed on both samples at 20 MPa extraction pressure and 45 °C temperature setting the first separator 9 MPa and 40 °C and the second separator at 2 MPa and 30 °C. From the Separator 1 waxy, green colored extracts were obtained without any scent, while the extracts from Separator 2 were scented and light brownish colored. The yields of Separator 1 and 2 from Sample 2 are summarized in Fig. 2.

The total extraction yield was 4.96 % with 3.46 % from Sep 1 and 1.50 % from Sep 2. At the beginning of extraction, the mass transfer rate is constant until it reaches saturation from where the impact of the internal mass transfer is dominant and the rate of extraction decrease. At 20 MPa it reached at 40 kg CO₂ / kg d.m. solvent consumption.

The major cannabinoids were quantified in the extracts and the results can be seen on Figs. 3 and 4. For better comparison the cannabinoid yields are given as sum of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Target ion (m/z)</th>
<th>Qualifier ions (m/z)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Myristic-d27 (ISTD)</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>312.313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Cannabidiol (CBD)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>337.390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabichromene (CBC)</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>303.304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Δ⁹-THC</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>386.330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-)-Δ⁸-THC</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>386.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabinogerol (CBG)</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>338.391</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabinol (CBN)</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>368.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannabidiolic acid (CBDA)</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>492.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THC carboxylic acid A (THCA-A)</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>488.489</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Extraction yields of Sample 1 and 2 by supercritical carbon dioxide extraction at 10 MPa and 60 °C first followed by extractions at 45 MPa pressure and 45 °C temperatures.
the values obtained in the two separators in cases of fractional separation.

Cannabidiol (CBD) and cannabidiolic acid (CBDA) were extracted at higher quantities at 45 MPa than at 20 MPa and it can also be concluded from the results, that these cannabinoids were presented in 1.5-2 times more amounts in the extracts from Sample 2 (116.9 – 788.0 mg / 100 g d.m.), than from Sample 1. The trends are similar in case of psychotropic cannabinoids: the higher the extraction pressure the more THCs can be extracted. The amounts of $\Delta^9$-THC, $\Delta^8$-THC, THCA and degradative product (CBN) are also higher in the extracts from Sample 2, their cumulative yields are between 0.5 – 18.6 mg / 100 g d.m. These measured amounts are almost 100 times higher than in the officially certified raw materials. In the cumulative extracts both cannabinoids are concentrated and presented together. The amounts of non-psychotropic cannabinoids are 30-42 times higher in the extracts than the amount of THCs. According to literature, CBD antagonizes undesirable side effects of THC. The combination of THC and CBD is now appreciated to have medicinal advantages, although the nature of the interaction is not well and fully understood yet [2].

The effect of extraction pressure on the yield and on the quality of the extracts was also investigated. Supercritical extractions of Sample 3 were carried out at 25, 35 and 45 MPa extraction pressure and at 45 °C temperature. The two separators were used in series working at 40 °C and 8 MPa and 2 MPa, respectively. The fractions were collected and analyzed separately. Three repetitions were performed at 35 MPa and 45 °C. Fig. 5 shows the extraction curves of three parallel measurements plotted with on the mean with standard deviation.

The effect of extraction pressure on the yield is presented in Fig. 6. There is only a slight increase in the yield from 25 to 35 MPa extraction pressure, while there is no significant increase in yield elevating the extraction pressure from 35 to 45 MPa. Waxy, dark green extracts were collected from Sep 1 with yields of 4.75 – 5.34 g / 100 g d.m., while light brownish yellow colored, scented extracts were recovered from Sep 2 in less than 1 % yield (0.56 – 0.75 g / 100 g d.m.).

Kitrytė et al. [7] also mapped the effect of extraction pressure (10-50 MPa) and temperature (35-70 °C) on the yield from hemp threshing residue. The optimal condition was found to be 46.5 MPa and 70 °C resulting an extraction yield of 8.3 % (g / 100 g d.m.) [15]. In contrast, we found that applying the moderate extraction pressure of 35 MPa during the supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of hemp residues is sufficient enough; further pressure increasement do not significantly result in higher extraction yields. Attard et al. [8] examined the effect of extraction pressure (8-40 MPa) and temperature (35-65 °C) on the yield of
supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of hemp dust, but their maximal yield was 5 times less, 1.87 % (g / 100 g dust), than the results shown in this work.

The effect extraction pressure on the yield of cannabinoids can be seen in Figs. 7 and 8.

In Fig. 7 the yields of each non-psychotropic cannabinoid are summarized. In the highest amount the cannabidiolic acid (CBDA) were extracted (1.39 – 1.66 g / 100 g d.m.), and it was measured in the highest quantities in the extracts from the extraction run at 35 MPa extraction pressure and at 45 °C. The yields of cannabidiol (CBD) were between 156.9 – 163.3 mg / 100 g d.m, which is almost ten times less than the yields of CBDA.

In Fig. 8 the psychotropic cannabinoid yields are summarized in the extracts obtained at 25, 35 and 45 MPa extraction pressure and at 45 °C.

The THCA was obtained in the highest amount (36.6 – 46.1 mg / 100 g d.m) and its quantity was the highest in the extracts obtained in the supercritical carbon dioxide extraction at 35 MPa with a mean of 46.1 ± 3.7 mg / 100 g d.m. yield. The amount of Δ⁹-THC was at 10.0 ± 2.0 mg / 100 g d.m. while the mass of other two compounds were below 3 mg / 100 g d.m. The standard deviations plotted on the graphs were calculated from the results of the three parallel measurements, thus those show the combined uncertainty of the extraction and of the chemical analysis. The standard deviations of analytical measurement and the pilot plant scale process are acceptably good. The process is robust and the applied analytical method is accurate.

Comparing the three different samples, it can be concluded that the chemical compositions of Sample 1, 2 and 3 are different. The patterns of cannabinoids in Sample 1 and 2 are similar with some differences in quantities according to the applied process parameters. The main cannabinoid was cannabidiol (CBD) (268.3 – 788.0 mg / 100 g d.m.), while this compound was found in less than 163.3 mg / 100 g.
d.m. in Sample 3. Sample 3 contained the cannabidiol acid (CBDA) in the highest amount in 1.66 g / 100 g d.m., while the amount of CBDA was ten times less in Sample 1 and 2 (101.9 – 222.7 mg / 100 g d.m.). The higher share of the acid form in the fresh sample is along with the expectations, as the decarboxylation of the acid form the neutral form is a preferred thermal degradation process which also happens during storage [1, 2, 12, 13]. Among the psychoactive cannabinoids the patterns of constituents also differ among Sample 1, 2 and 3. In Sample 1 and 2 Δ²-THC and its degraded product (CBN) were found in the highest quantities (0.5 – 18.6 mg / 100 g d.m.). In Sample 3 the amount of Δ²-THC was also 10.0 ± 2.0 mg / 100 g d.m., while in the extracts from Sample 3 tetrahydrocannabinol-acid (THCA) was found in the highest amount (36.6 – 46.1 mg / 100 g d.m.). The observation are especially interesting when it is taken into account that the varieties of Sample 1 and 2 were different, while Sample 2 and 3 were both Kompolti varieties but from different harvesting year.

4 Conclusion
It has been demonstrated that the supercritical carbon dioxide extraction is a suitable extracting technique to recover cannabinoids from hemp threshing residues. Three threshing residue samples were involved in the study. The supercritical carbon dioxide extraction experiments were carried out in a pilot scales with sequential extractions and fractionated separations as well. The extraction pressure 35 MPa and extraction temperature 45 °C are suitable conditions for extracting cannabinoids in high yields. Increasing further the extraction pressure does not increase the yield and the amounts of cannabinoids in the extracts. The amount of cannabinoids in the extracts are strongly depending of the quality of the threshing residue while the share of acid forms and degradation products depend strongly on storage times. The extracts obtained by supercritical CO₂ extraction contained 163.3 – 788.0 mg / 100 g d.m. cannabidiol (CBD), 101.9 – 1660.9 mg / 100 g d.m. cannabidiol-acid (CBDA), while other non-psychoactive compounds were presented in less than 32.3 mg / 100 g d.m. The psychoactive, sedative tetrahydrocannabinol (Δ²-THC) and its acid form (THCA) were also concentrated in the extracts with the following yields: 1.9 – 18.6 mg d-9-THC / 100 g d.m. and 0.5 – 46.1 mg THCA / 100 g d.m. depending on variety, times of harvesting and extraction conditions as well. Fractionation of the crude extracts was also achieved by two separation vessels in series operated at different pressure. The cannabinoid-rich extracts were concentrated in the first separator, while scented extract was recovered from the second separator.
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