Bioethanol Production from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunches Using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Immobilized on Sodium Alginate Beads
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**Abstract**

Bioethanol is an environmentally benign renewable energy commonly obtained from glucose fermentation using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. The purposes of this study are to investigate the effects of time, temperature, pH, immobilized yeast cell loading, beads reuse during ethanol production through batch fermentation of glucose derived from oil palm empty fruit bunches by *S. cerevisiae* immobilized on Na-alginate beads and to compare the performance of fermentation using immobilized yeast cells and that of using a free cell system. The results revealed that time, temperature, pH, yeast mass and beads reuse significantly affected the ethanol and final glucose concentrations. As expected, a maximum ethanol concentration was obtained from fermentation using immobilized yeast cells at 30 °C, pH 5, and immobilized yeast cell loading of 0.75 g for 48 hours. However, fermentation with a free cell system at the same conditions resulted in lower ethanol yield. The highest ethanol concentration of 88.125 g/L with a productivity of 1.84 g/L·h was achieved from the second cycle fermentation using of immobilized cells beads. The results suggest that an immobilized cell system exhibits great potential applications for improved ethanol production due to its ability to sustain the stability of cell activity, reduce contamination tendency, and protect yeast cells from any possible inhibitions.
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**1 Introduction**

The steady increase of industrialization in entire parts of the world has caused a remarkable increase in fossil energy consumption by 2 to 3 % per year, which finally fasten fossil fuel resource depletion [1]. One of the promising ways to overcome these problems is by substituting fossil energy with renewable energy resources, such as bioethanol from lignocellulosic biomass [2]. Furthermore, the use of bioethanol as alternative energy exhibits its superiority due to the fact that the combustion of bioethanol can reduce the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 34 % [3].

Usually, commercial-scale bioethanol production from glucose employs an anaerobic fermentation process involving yeasts, which mainly *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* [4, 5], *Saccharomyces bayanus* [6], *Saccharomyces pastorianus* [7], and *Kluyveromyces marxianus* [8]. Glucose as a substrate for bioethanol preparation can be derived from agricultural waste such as oil palm empty fruit bunch (OPEFB) through enzymatic saccharification although this process requires a long reaction time of about 24 to 72 hours [9, 10]. Waluyo et al. [11] performed the enzymatic hydrolysis of alkaline pretreated OPEFB particles with 5 mm average diameter using the cocktail of Cellic®CTec2 and Cellic®HTec2 enzymes (5:1) in batch mode at 50 °C for 72 hours to obtain glucose concentration of 63.83 g/L. Fed-batch enzymatic hydrolysis of alkaline and steam explosion pretreated OPEFB particles with 5 mm average diameter using the cocktail of Cellic®CTec2 and Cellic®HTec2 enzymes (5:1) in batch mode at 50 °C for 72 hours could result in 172 g/L of glucose concentration [12]. Chin et al. [13] studied the acid hydrolysis of alkaline and bleaching pretreated OPEFB using 5 N sulfuric acid at 139.5 °C for 4.16 hours and 4.63 hours hydrochloric acid at 133.7 °C for...
2.05 hours to obtain respectively 30.61% and 39.81% total reducing sugar. Sumathi et al. [14] reported that the estimated value of world annual production of oil palm empty fruit bunch could reach approximately 37.7 million tons. As the world’s leading crude oil palm producers, both Indonesia and Malaysia generate roughly 17 million tons of OPEFB every year from their crude palm oil extraction process [13]. In general, the OPEFB contains about 42.7 to 65% cellulose, 17.1 to 33.5% hemicellulose, 13.2 to 25.31% lignin and 1.30 to 6.04% ash [15], which offers large potential as a raw material for glucose preparation [16].

Batch fermentation of glucose offers easy operation and control with low investment costs to obtain high bioethanol yield [17]. The main influential factors that affect the bioethanol production from glucose fermentation are time [18], temperature, pH [19, 20], and inoculum concentration [21]. Basically, both *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as the mesophilic and *K. marxianus* as the thermotolerant yeasts are acidophilic microorganisms. Therefore, they would prefer to grow under acidic rather than basic fermentation environment. The optimum pH range for yeast to grow can be different from 4.0 to 6.0, which largely depends on the temperature, existence of oxygen, and strain of yeast [22]. Waluyo et al. [11] studied bioethanol production by fermentation of glucose with initial glucose concentration of 63.83 g/L at 32°C and pH 4.8 for 92 hours using free and immobilized *S. cerevisiae* cells on Ca-alginate beads and reported that the highest ethanol concentrations obtained were 29.44 g/L and 29.76 g/L, respectively. The optimum conditions for glucose fermentation using *S. cerevisiae* to achieve maximum ethanol production were at 25 to 40°C [23, 24], pH 5 [17, 25], and inoculum concentration of 1 × 10⁹ cells/mL for 48 hours [26, 27]. Fermentation techniques using immobilized yeast cells produced higher ethanol concentrations than free cells [28, 29] because the immobilized cells possess restricted movement spaces [30]. Cell immobilization in certain bead particles provides interesting benefits, which include easy separation of yeast cells from the medium, a considerable cost reduction due to cell reuse in subsequent fermentation cycles, and a lower tendency of contamination [31].

The simplest immobilization technique used for the entrapment of yeast cells is by extrusion dripping [32]. The Na-alginate isolated from seaweed or brown algae is the most used immobilization matrix due to its strong ability to form round beads with high cell density [28, 33]. A higher concentration of Na-alginate matrix has been reported to adsorb a higher density of yeast cells [28]. The optimum concentration of Na-alginate in bioethanol production from discarded carrot is 2% (w/v), which produces uniform beads with a strong mechanical structure [34].

To the extent of our knowledge, most of the previous publications reported the production of bioethanol through fermentation of substrate containing reducing sugar originated from fruit and sweet sorghum juice wastes, starch hydrolysate and refined glucose using free yeast cells or yeast cells immobilized on calcium-alginate beads [11] and/or various cellulose based porous matrices. Although numerous research groups have carried out ethanol production studies through fermentation using immobilized yeast; however, low beads reusability, productivity and mechanical strength have been reported as the main weaknesses. There is also a lack of information on the direct fermentation of substrate made of crude glucose derived from OPEFB into bioethanol using *S. cerevisiae* immobilized on Na-alginate beads. Therefore, the aims of this study are to investigate the best conditions for fermentation of crude glucose derived from OPEFB by *S. cerevisiae* immobilized on Na-alginate beads, to compare the performance of fermentation using immobilized and free cell system and to investigate the effect of beads reuse by observing the stability and activity of microorganisms attached on the beads to obtain a more efficient process with low production cost.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Source of OPEFB, yeast and enzymes

The OPEFB used in this work was a kind donation from PT. Perkebunan Nusantara VII, Lampung Tengah, Indonesia. Whereas the *S. cerevisiae* was obtained from Instant Dry Yeast ("Fermipan", Indonesia). The amount of yeast mass used was 0.5183 g with a cell density of 1 × 10⁸ cells/mL [26]. The number of yeast cell was quantified by the haemocytometer cell counting method [35]. Celluclast 1.5 L (Cellulase) and Novozyme 188 (Cellobiase) were purchased from Novozymes Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.

2.2 Chemicals

Crude glucose was obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose extracted from autohydrolysis delignified oil palm empty fruit bunches using a cocktail enzyme comprised of equal amount of Celluclast 1.5 L and Novozyme 188 as suggested by Pasma et al. [36]. The crude glucose was further concentrated in a vacuum evaporator at 50°C for 30 minutes to obtain glucose concentration of 172 g/L. The chemicals utilized in this study were all of analytical grade with minimum purity of 99.8% w/w. They were the product of Sigma Aldrich and were purchased from CV,
2.3 Cells suspension

A 50 mL growing medium containing 5 g/L peptone and 10 g/L crude glucose obtained from enzymatic hydrolysis of oil palm fruit bunch was prepared in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. The mixture was then sterilized using an autoclave at 121 °C for 15 minutes and it was further equilibrated to room temperature [31]. Upon the achievement of room temperature (30 °C), a carefully weighed (0.50 g) S. cerevisiae instant dry yeast was added to the sterile cultivation medium. Furthermore, this sterile solution was introduced to an orbital shaker and let the shaker to operate at 150 rpm under aseptic conditions for 24 hours at ambient temperature (30 °C) to prevent or minimize the contamination by undesirable microbes in the early stage of growth of the yeast. After 24 hours aseptic mixing, the cell suspension was suspended in 100 mL sterile water and was ready for use [37].

2.4 Immobilization of yeast cells

One-gram Na-alginate (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in 50 mL distilled water to obtain a concentration of 2 % (w/v) and mixed with cell suspension in a ratio of 1 : 1 (w/v) using a centrifuge at 4000 rpm for 30 s to obtain high concentrations of yeast cells in a small volume [25]. Then, the solution of yeast cells and Na-alginate mixture was discharged into a 0.1 M CaCl₂ solution at 30 °C through a syringe needle with the assistance of a syringe pump, which was operated at maximum capacity and delivered the solution at 0.25 mL/minute. Since the syringe needle diameter was very small (3.5 mm), a syringe adapter was placed between the syringe and syringe needle so that they were perfectly connected. The Na-alginate solution containing the yeast cells was discharged at the tip of the needle in the form of droplets [37, 38]. The spherical Na-alginate beads were allowed to solidify in CaCl₂ solution as a result of ionic reaction between calcium and alginate under gentle agitation for 30 minutes using a magnetic stirrer [39]. The formed beads were then stored in a CaCl₂ solution for 16–20 hours at 4 °C [25]. The beads were filtered and washed with distilled water twice before being used for the fermentation process [31].

2.5 Batch fermentation

2.5.1 Cellulose extraction from OPEFB

Prior to cellulose extraction, the autohydrolysis pretreatment was carried out to the delignify the OPEFB. A carefully weighed 50 grams of OPEFB dried powder (± 1 mm) was added into 500 mL deionized water in a 2 L stainless steel reactor. The autohydrolysis was performed at 101.33 kPa and 120 °C with continuous stirring for 2 hours. As the reaction finished, the reactor was cooled to room temperature, the solid residue was recovered by filtration and dried in an electric oven at 105 °C for overnight. Then, the oven dried – auto hydrolyzed OPEFB was milled (± 200 µm) and mixed with 500 mL of 80 % v/v aqueous ethanol and 0.2 % w/w (based on the dried biomass) sulfuric acid as catalyst. The suspension was heated at 101.33 kPa and 120 °C for 1 hour, filtered and washed with methanol [40]. The solid residue was further treated by heating it in 500 mL of 2 % v/v hydrogen peroxide solution at 101.33 kPa and 50 °C for 4 hours to obtain cellulose.

2.5.2 Enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose to obtain crude glucose

Enzymatic hydrolysis experiment was carried out in 100 mL Erlenmeyer flask at 40 °C and 145 rpm in an incubator shaker. A thoroughly weighed 1.0 g of cellulose was added to 9 mL sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 4.7) and incubated for 2 hours (40 °C and 145 rpm). After this preincubation step, hydrolysis was initiated by adding 8 mL of 10 mg/mL total enzyme cocktail (a mixture of novozyme 188 and cellulase with volume ratio of 1 : 1) (activity: 700 EGU/g). The enzymatic reaction was terminated by immediately incubating the sample in a hot water bath at 90 °C for 20 min to deactivate the enzyme [41]. Reaction was carried out at 40 °C, for 94 hours to yield glucose with 168 g/mL concentration.

2.5.3 Batch fermentation of crude glucose

The fermentation medium used for the preparation of ethanol using both immobilized cells and free cells consists of nutrients (2.5 g/L yeast extract; yeast nitrogen base 1.7 g/L; 5 g/L ammonium sulfate; 6 g/L magnesium sulfate) and glucose as a substrate with a concentration of 172 g/L [25]. The pH of the fermentation medium was regulated to pH 5.0 by the addition of 1 M HCl and 1 M KOH. Prior to fermentation experiments, the fermentation medium was sterilized by autoclaving it at 121 °C and 15 lb pressure for 20 minutes. Batch fermentation was carried out in a 100 mL fermenter with a working volume of 50 mL at 30 °C. A predetermined 30 % (w/v) alginate beads were introduced into the fermentation medium in the fermenter.

This experiment was carried out with variations of fermentation time (24, 48, 72, and 96 hours), temperature (25, 30, 35, 40 °C), pH (3, 4, 5, and 6), and immobilized yeast...
cell loading (0.25, 0.5, 0.75 and 1 g) to determine the optimum conditions of fermentation in the immobilized cell system. At the end of the fermentation, the sample was filtered and centrifuged at a speed of 2000 rpm for 20 minutes and the supernatant was subjected to ethanol and final glucose concentration analysis [25]. After 8 hours of fermentation, the Na-alginate beads were filtered from the fermentation broth and were reused for the second fermentation stage using a fresh fermentation medium containing the same amount of yeast mass of 0.75 g. The fermentation was also performed at 30°C and pH 5 for 48 hours with liquid samples were withdrawn at 8 hours intervals. As a comparison, the fermentation of glucose using a free cell system was also conducted in this study. Cell suspension with a yeast mass of 0.75 g was added to the fermenter at 30% (w/v). Similar to the fermentation using an immobilized cell system, the fermentation free cell system was also performed at 30°C and pH 5 for 48 hours with liquid samples were withdrawn at 8 hours intervals.

2.6 Analytical procedures

2.6.1 Glucose concentration

Standard glucose solutions were prepared in concentrations of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 mg/100 mL in a 250 mL Erlenmeyer flask. A carefully measured 1 mL of Nelson reagent (A and B) was added to 1 mL of standard glucose solutions of zero concentration (blank solution), 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 mg/100 mL. Then Erlenmeyer flask was hermetically closed, gently shaken until homogeneous, and then heated in a water bath heater at 100°C for 15 minutes. The solution was equilibrated to ambient temperature before the addition of 1 mL of arsenomolybdate reagent. The solution was then let to stand for 1 minute to allow the precipitate to dissolve. After dilution of the blue solution with 50 mL distilled water, the absorbance was determined using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at wave length of 540 nm. A glucose concentration versus absorbance plot was drawn to acquire a linear correlation. The absorbance value of the sample was entered into this linear equation to obtain the glucose concentration of the sample [42, 43].

2.6.2 Ethanol concentration

The ethanol concentration in the fermentation broth was quantified according to the modified spectrophotometric method, which involves solvent extraction and dichromate oxidation reaction [44]. Anhydrous ethanol (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved in distilled water to obtain ethanol solution with concentrations ranged from 0.5 to 100 g/L. A carefully weighed 4.262 g of K₂Cr₂O₇ (Sigma Aldrich) was dissolved with 100 mL distilled water in a 1000 mL volumetric flask. It was further added with 50 mL concentrated sulfuric acid solution and followed by a careful addition of distilled water to the scale of the volumetric flask. A carefully measured 1 mL of acidic dichromate solution was taken and diluted to 10 mL with distilled water. Approximately 5 mL of the dilute solutions was taken and introduced to the center of the conway cup. Meanwhile, the outside of the conway cup was filled with 5 mL of ethanol solution and 1 mL of 20% Na₂CO₃ solution. The conway cup was covered and heated at 50°C for 2 hours. The absorbance was measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer with a maximum wave length of 446 nm [45]. A calibration curve between the concentrations of the ethanol standard solution and their respective absorbance values was drawn to obtain a linear correlation.

In order to extract the ethanol from the fermentation broth sample, 1 mL of Tri-n-butyl phosphate (TBP) was vigorously vortexed with 1 mL of aqueous fermentation broth sample in a microtube for 1 minute. The mixture was then centrifuged at 3,420 g for 5 min to allow the separation of two phases. The upper phase was a clear and transparent TBP layer, while the lower phase was a turbid water layer. Then, 500 µL of the upper phase was mixed with 500 µL of dichromate reagent (containing 10% w/v of K₂Cr₂O₇ in 5 M of H₂SO₄ solution) in a new microtube, and vigorously vortexed for 1 minute. The mixture was let to stand for 10 minutes at 30°C to allow the oxidation product in the lower phase developed blue green color. Prior to absorbance measurement using UV-Vis Spectrophotometer with a maximum wave length of 446 nm, a carefully measured 100 µL of the oxidation products were diluted with 900 µL of deionized water. The ethanol concentration in the sample was calculated from the ethanol standard curve, which correlates the absorbance at 446 nm and the ethanol concentrations.

2.6.3 Microstructure of the immobilized yeast cells

Cell growth in alginate beads samples before and after 48 hours of fermentation was observed using a scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Phenom ProX desktop SEM with EDX). The alginate beads sample was cut into two parts to observe the inner (cross-sectional) surface. Samples were frozen for approximately 10 minutes before observation.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Fermentation using immobilized yeast

3.1.1 Effect of time on the ethanol production

In this study, the first stage of the experiment was performed at pH 5.0, 30 °C and 0.50 g dry yeast to obtain the optimum fermentation time of the fermentation of glucose derived from oil palm empty fruit bunch using immobilized yeast cells, which resulted in the highest ethanol concentration. The ethanol concentration, ethanol productivity and final glucose concentration at various fermentation time are presented in Table 1.

Table 1 shows that the ethanol concentration increases with the increase of fermentation time and decreases in glucose concentration. The concentration of ethanol increased significantly by 79.86 g/L in the first 16 hours, which was supposedly due to the speedy growth of yeast cells. Remarkable decrease of glucose concentration from 172.00 g/L to 0.81 g/L indicated that the yeast cells were alive, consumed glucose as the main substrate and grew rapidly and subsequently increased the immobilized cell activity. Based on their observation to the viable cells, da Silva et al. [46] found that yeast S. cerevisiae strain BB.09 showed resistance up to 8 % v/v ethanol concentration, which is equivalent to 63.12 g/L even when temperature was increased to 35 °C. However, the alginate matrix gave protection to the entrapped yeast cells against ethanol stress and subsequently increased the number of survival immobilized cells as compared to free cells as reported by Norton and D’Amore [47]. The decline of glucose concentration during fermentation shows the effectiveness of yeast cells in consuming glucose as the main substrate [48]. This result is also consistent with those reported by previous research works [49, 50].

As expected, the ethanol concentration did not change significantly after 24 hours fermentation and the ethanol concentration remained constant beyond 48 hours of fermentation, which is most likely due to the depletion of glucose as substrate [51] or inhibition of yeast cells by ethanol or both. However, different phenomenon was observed by Waluyo et al. [11] as they reported that ethanol concentration gradually decreased after 48 hours fermentation of glucose using S. cerevisiae immobilized on Ca-alginate due to the evaporation of ethanol from the fermentation broth. Although the pH and temperature applied in the fermentation are closely similar, the use of higher initial glucose concentration, different source of S. cerevisiae and Na-alginate as the immobilizing media have resulted in a far higher value of the highest ethanol concentration achieved in this work than that was reported by Waluyo et al. [11]. Zhang et al. [52] observed that ethanol as the end product of the fermentation of glucose is the main factor that potentially inhibits the growth of yeast cells and reduces fermentation activity. As the exogenous ethanol concentration exceeded 84.84 g/L, the yeast cells completely stopped growing and fermenting the glucose. Further, they also reported that highly concentrated ethanol could inhibit the glucose mass transfer phenomenon and metabolic mechanism, and finally restricted the downstream metabolism route.

3.1.2 Effect of temperature on the ethanol production

The effect of temperature of fermentation was studied at 25 °C, 30 °C, 35 °C and 40 °C using 0.50 g dry yeast, while the pH was maintained at 5.0. Refer to the result obtained in the study of the effect of fermentation time, the fermentation process for the effect of temperature was performed up to 48 hours with sample withdrawal was set at 8 hours interval. The effect of fermentation temperature on ethanol and glucose concentrations is depicted in Fig. 1.

As intended, the growth of the yeast cells changed at different temperatures. The common microorganism growth curve involving a sequence of short-lag, exponential,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Glucose Conc. (g/L)</th>
<th>Ethanol Conc. (g/L)</th>
<th>Ethanol Prod. (g/L·h)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>172.00 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27.30 ± 0.08</td>
<td>65.30 ± 0.04</td>
<td>8.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>91.02 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.08</td>
<td>79.86 ± 0.10</td>
<td>4.99 ± 0.05</td>
<td>91.29 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.02</td>
<td>81.33 ± 0.10</td>
<td>3.39 ± 0.05</td>
<td>92.93 ± 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.02</td>
<td>82.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.56 ± 0.02</td>
<td>94.19 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.03</td>
<td>83.67 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.03</td>
<td>94.45 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>84.84 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td>96.68 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.10</td>
<td>84.84 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.06</td>
<td>96.68 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>84.84 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.05</td>
<td>96.68 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
stationary and death phases was found at 25 °C from the reduction of glucose concentration and the increase of ethanol concentration. It can also be observed that at temperatures of 30 °C, 35 °C, and 40 ºC the glucose as the substrate was almost completely depleted at a shorter fermentation time (16 hours). Finally, this phenomenon may have reduced the fermentation rate, which leads to the occurrence of no further increase in ethanol concentration [53].

Fig. 1 shows that as the fermentation temperature further increases to beyond 30 °C, the ethanol concentration produced decreases [23, 25]. This is due to the tendency of disruption of \textit{S. cerevisiae} cells activity causing the process of converting glucose into ethanol is inhibited as indicated by a slightly higher final glucose concentration [49]. Torija et al. [53] found a remarkable reduction of viable yeast cells at high temperatures, especially at 35 °C and higher. In addition, the high fermentation temperature causes the reduction of the mechanical strength of the Na-alginate beads, which subsequently leads to the reduction of cell stability [23, 25].

At 48 hours fermentation time, glucose concentration decreased sharply from 172.00 g/L to 0.54 g/L and 0.27 g/L, respectively at temperature of 25 °C and 30 ºC. Whereas at temperatures beyond 30 °C, higher final glucose concentrations were observed, i. e. 1.02 g/L and 1.07 g/L for fermentation at 35 °C and 40 °C, respectively. It is supposed that at high temperatures a large number of yeast cells have died, causing a slower glucose conversion to ethanol. Fig. 1 also presents that ethanol concentration increased from 78.98 g/L to 84.84 g/L as the fermentation temperature increased from 25 °C to 30 °C suggesting that the \textit{S. cerevisiae} yeast cells from instant dry yeast "Fermipan" is slightly thermoresistant. It is clearly shown in Fig. 1 that the highest ethanol concentration was achieved when fermentation was carried out at 30 °C. Accordingly, some previous studies on fermentation of glucose using various strains of \textit{S. cerevisiae} immobilized on Na-alginate beads and sweet sorghum stalks under acidic condition also reported that the optimum temperature exists at 30 °C [17, 25, 26]. However, ethanol concentration value declined from 84.84 g/L to 81.33 g/L as the fermentation temperature was further risen from 30 ºC to 40 °C. This is because the optimum temperature for the yeast cells to grow exists between 25 °C to 30 °C [17].

### 3.1.3 Effect of pH on the ethanol production

Because of fermentation processes needs active living yeast cells, variation in initial pH values should result in notable effects on ethanol yields and productivity. The effect of pH on ethanol production from glucose fermentation was studied at 30 °C using immobilized yeast loading of 0.50 g for 48 hours. The results of the study are presented in Fig. 2.

Immobilized \textit{S. cerevisiae} yeast cells were active in the range of initial pH values of 3.0 to 6.0 and maximum ethanol productivity at a pH of 5.0. Fig. 2 demonstrates that at pH 5, approximately 84 % of the glucose has been consumed by yeast cells in just 16 hours. A previous study on glucose fermentation at pH of 4.5, 5.0, and 5.5 by Lee et al. [17] also revealed that 90 % of the glucose had been converted to ethanol at pH 5 in about 19.5 hours. Later, they concluded that the optimum pH for fermentation of glucose using \textit{S. cerevisiae} immobilized on calcium alginate beads is at pH 5.0, which is in good agreement with the result of this study. Similarly, Narendranath and Power [22] also suggested that the optimum pH for glucose fermentation for ethanol preparation exists between 5.0 and 5.5.
In this study, the ethanol concentration produced at pH 5.0 as the optimum pH was 84.84 g/L with a final glucose concentration of 0.27 g/L. It is necessary for the yeast to keep a fixed intracellular pH for optimum growth. There are various enzymes playing important roles inside the yeast cells throughout their growth and metabolism. Unfortunately, every enzyme requires an optimum pH, which is acidic due to the acidophilic characteristic of the yeast itself. At lower pH values, the yeast cells have to provide more energy to either drive in or push out hydrogen ions in order to keep the optimum intracellular pH. Therefore, if the extracellular pH is largely different from the optimum pH range, it becomes extremely hard for the cells to keep the intracellular pH to be constant, and causes the enzymes are not able to work customarily. Hence, if the enzymes undergo considerable deactivation, the yeast cells will be unable to grow and effectively convert glucose to ethanol [22]. Fermentation conditions at a pH below 5.0 can shorten the incubation time and this situation induces the production of acetic acid, whereas at pH beyond 5.0 causes ethanol production to decrease due to the formation of butyric acid [23]. The formation of acid in the fermentation media alters the permeability of some nutrients into the yeast cells [50], thus effectively hindering the growth of the yeast cells, and finally impacting the production of ethanol [35]. At a higher pH value (pH 6.0), there is a smaller aberration between the internal and external pH values (ΔpH) as a result of the accumulation of undissociated acids inside the cell, which finally decreases the inhibition of yeast growth [22].

3.1.4 Effect of immobilized yeast cell loading on the ethanol production

The effect of immobilized yeast cell loading to determine the optimum conditions was studied at 30 °C and pH 5.0 for 48 hours and the results are presented in Fig. 3. Fig. 3 shows that glucose consumption by yeast cells was very slow (70 %) at immobilized yeast cell loading of 0.25 g. As the yeast mass increased up to 0.75 g, the glucose consumption also increased and achieved a maximum value of 82 %. This result would suggest that virtually a high level of inoculum was obtained and all of the entrapped yeast cells actively took part in the fermentation [34, 54, 55]. However, a further increase in immobilized yeast cell loading to 1.0 g resulted in a reduction of glucose consumption (76 %). Ethanol induces inhibition of cell viability and growth and the fermentation rate. This situation further triggers the formation of acetic acid, which is reported to be strain-dependent [56]. *Saccharomyces sp.* is presumably unable to withstand a modest ethanol concentration [57]. Casey and Inglede found that at an ethanol concentration of 20 % (v/v) [58], the *S. cerevisiae* to perform fermentation is hindered, but yeast growth inhibition may happen at a much lower ethanol concentration [56]. Theoretically, ethanol must have impeded alcohol hydrogenase 1 and activated the alcohol hydrogenase 2, which catalyzes the oxidation of ethanol to acetaldehyde and further oxidizes it to acetic acid by aldehyde dehydrogenase. Surprisingly, the use of larger immobilized yeast cell loading did not produce higher ethanol yield because the alleged conversion of glucose into ethanol is not optimal due to extremely rapid yeast cell growth on the Na-alginate beads [29]. An increase in yeast cell concentration within a certain range caused rapid glucose consumption, which leads to a significant increase in ethanol productivity [55]. As a result, the fermentation time becomes shorter as the yeast cells grow rapidly and directly consume glucose to produce ethanol [21]. This finding is in good agreement with a previous report that an increase in cell concentration of 3 to 6 % was found to shorten the fermentation time from 72 hours to 48 hours [59].

3.2 Evaluation of yeast cells growth on immobilized alginate beads

To evaluate the growth of *S. cerevisiae* immobilized cell on Na-alginate beads, the outer surface of the fresh beads and beads after 48 hours of fermentation was observed with a magnification of 1000× and 2500× using SEM analysis as depicted in Figs. 4 and 5.

As seen in Fig. 4, the diameter of the Na-alginate beads slightly increased as result of the yeast growth inside
the beads. The SEM images present that Na-alginate beads were densely covered with yeast cells both inside and on the external surface, reaching the maximum capacity of entrapped yeast cells. A similar observation was reported previously for immobilized \textit{S. cerevisiae} yeast cells in hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) gels [49].

Fig. 5 shows that \textit{S. cerevisiae} cells in fresh beads grow and attach to the polymer walls of Na-alginate and have not formed any yeast cell colonies. After 48 hours of fermentation, the surface of the Na-alginate beads was covered by yeast cells in the form of new colonies. It was also observed that some yeast cells had come out from the surface of the Na-alginate beads, which was thought to be due to the rupture of the outer part of the Na-alginate polymer walls [49]. This finding was observed due to the high microbial load used and cell growth.

\subsection*{3.3 Reusability of \textit{Saccharomyces cerevisiae} immobilized on Na-alginate beads in successive fermentation cycles}

To investigate reusability, the \textit{S. cerevisiae} immobilized on Na-alginate beads were reused in fermentation by employing the optimum conditions obtained for glucose fermentation to produce ethanol in the immobilized cell system. Fig. 6. presents the profile of ethanol concentration during the first and second fermentation cycles.

Fig. 6 demonstrates that the final ethanol concentration in the first and second fermentation cycle did not differ greatly, namely 86.56 g/L and 88.13 g/L, respectively. The result is a good accordance with the previously published papers [34, 49, 60]. Surprisingly, ethanol concentrations in the second fermentation cycle were significantly higher than those in the first fermentation cycle as observed in the first, second and third hours, namely 24\%, 16\%, and 12.7\% respectively. This phenomenon occurred because the lag phase time is shortened and the number of yeast cell inside Na-alginate beads is higher than that of the first fermentation cycle [34]. This is in accordance with several studies of reuse of alginate beads including being able to increase cell growth by up to 66\% in hydrogen production on the 9\textsuperscript{th} day [38], increasing ethanol production from carrot waste using \textit{S. cerevisiae} immobilized in Na-alginate beads by 10\% [34] and ethanol production...
from glucose using immobilized *S. cerevisiae* yeast cells in hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) gels [49].

### 3.4 Effect of using free and immobilized yeast cells

Free cell glucose fermentation was conducted using the optimum conditions obtained from glucose fermentation with immobilized cells system investigated in this study, which used initial glucose concentration of 172.00 g/L at 30 °C, pH 5.0, immobilized yeast cell loading 0.75 g for 48 hours.

The ethanol concentration obtained from fermentation using immobilized cell system was 86.56 g/L or approximately 17 % greater than that of the free cell system (74.00 g/L). The difference could be due to the fact that yeast cells are entrapped in Na-alginate beads, which are relatively protected from alterations of the environment condition [61]. Eiadmum et al. [8] also observed that a monoculture of *S. cerevisiae* immobilized on thin-shell silk cocoon was efficient for glucose fermentation, resulting in ethanol concentrations of 81.40 g/L and 77.30 g/L when using temperatures of 37 °C and 40 °C and cane juice as substrate at an initial sugar concentration of 220 g/L. A similar result was reported by Nuanpeng et al. [27] who obtained about 2 % higher ethanol concentration in an immobilized cell fermentation system than in fermentation using free cells. Whereas Behera et al. [60] found around 9 % higher ethanol production from fermentation of glucose from Mahula flowers using an immobilization cell system than that of using a free cell system. This result is expected because Na-alginate beads used in the immobilized cell system functions as a barrier to cell release [31].

In general, the free cell systems are less tolerant to initial glucose concentrations and high ethanol concentration, which leads to a lower glucose conversion. From this view, the immobilized cell system is more robust and feasible fermentation technique than the free cell system because it shows higher ethanol productivity [29]. The immobilized cell system has been reported to be versatile in overcoming the disruption of nutrients by other microorganisms, environmental stress, extraneous toxins or poisons, and ultraviolet irradiation [62].

### 4 Conclusion

The combined autohydrolysis and organosolv pretreatments of OPEFB to produce cellulose and enzymatic hydrolysis of cellulose using cocktail enzymes (novozyme 188 and celluclast) have been satisfactorily performed to produce crude glucose with concentration of 172.00 g/L. Ethanol fermentation from crude glucose derived from oil palm empty fruit bunch with an initial concentration of 172.00 g/L using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* immobilized on Na-alginate beads has been successfully conducted to achieve higher ethanol yield, ethanol concentration and ethanol productivity than previously published similar works. The highest ethanol concentration and productivity obtained at 48 hours fermentation time were respectively 86.56 g/L and 1.8 g/L.h leaving a final glucose concentration of 0.21 g/L. Fermentation time, temperature, pH, immobilized yeast cell loading, and fermentation system are found to influence the ethanol productivity. The ethanol produced by fermentation using an immobilized cell system was 9 % higher than that of the free cell system due to the fact that immobilization having the ability to support stable fermentation. The optimum conditions for ethanol production using fermentation with immobilized yeast cells system are at 30 °C, pH 5.0, and immobilized yeast cell loading 0.75 g for 48 hours. The reusing of Na-alginate beads has been proven to result in a higher yield than fermentation using fresh beads. The ethanol concentration obtained from the second fermentation cycle was 88.13 g/L with a productivity of 1.84 g/L.h.
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