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1 Introduction

Coal gangue is one of the largest solid wastes for mining enterprises. It is discharged when coal is exploited and washed in the production course. The accumulative stockpile of coal gangue has reached 3.8 billion metric tons and it is estimated that over 200 million metric tonnes of this waste is impounded annually in China [1]. A large number of simple piles of coal gangue take up lots of land. Mass storage of gangue can happen to spontaneously combust during long periods of exposure, meanwhile discharging SO₂, H₂S, CO, CO₂, NOₓ and heavy metal contamination [2], it is called “spontaneous combustion gangue” after that. All of above affect environment negatively. With the mass production of coal gangue, comprehensive utilization problem of gangue has been concerned in major coal-producing countries. In the west, some developed countries firstly carried on comprehensive utilization of gangue, until the late 1960s, wide attention was caused all over the world [3]. Some studies have shown that spontaneous combustion gangue can be utilized in concrete as aggregates [4–12], only needing to crush and screen. Aggregates take up about 70% volume of concrete, compared to other ways to apply spontaneous combustion gangue, it is reasonable, efficient and green way for gangue utilization in building materials field, and its economic and environmental benefits are also quite remarkable. Consequently, utilization of gangue in building materials not only solves various types of environmental problems caused by large accumulation of gangue, but also compensates for the lack of natural aggregates.

However, properties of spontaneous combustion gangue aggregates are more complex than natural aggregates, because of its diversity of chemical constituents, complexity of mineral composition, uncertainty of storing time and so on. People often worry about their quality when using for structural materials. Moreover, some researchers [13] have tested the rock strength of spontaneous combustion gangue, they found that the strength of spontaneous combustion gangue is lower than natural stone. Thus the properties of itself and concrete made of gangue aggregates have caused much attention. Zhou et al. [14] and Zhang et al. [15] investigated the mechanical properties of...
gangue as coarse aggregate. Moreover, Zhou et al. [16] studied the feasibility that spontaneous combustion gangue as an activated material is used in cement production, and the cement mixes with spontaneous combustion gangue coarse and fine aggregates to prepare concrete with different strength grade. You et al. [17] measured the durability parameters of spontaneous combustion gangue in Fuxin, China (The coal gangue used in this study is gathered from the same place), simultaneously, researched the current situations of spontaneous combustion gangue prefabricated concrete components. The results showed that the durability index of spontaneous combustion gangue met the corresponding requirements and durability of prefabricated components was good. However, Chen et al. [18] found the chloride ion permeability coefficient increased with the improvement of replacement ratio. It is due to the fact that spontaneous combustion gangue from different places has great differences. So we should test the properties of spontaneous combustion gangue fully and carefully. Previous studies focused on the material properties of the produce made of spontaneous combustion gangue. But there is few research on structure members made of spontaneous combustion gangue. Chen [19] only investigated the bearing capacity of flexural member made of gangue concrete. Li et al. [20–22] investigated the properties of gangue concrete filled steel tube members, the role of concrete, however, was weakened. And those studies were not sufficient for understanding behaviors of structural members so as to establish design methods. The aim of this paper is to investigate the applicability of spontaneous combustion gangue aggregate as a structural aggregate by comparing the flexural properties of three kinds of RSCG members (including reinforced spontaneous combustion gangue fine aggregate concrete (RSCG-F) beams, reinforced spontaneous combustion gangue coarse aggregate concrete (RSCG-C) beams and reinforced spontaneous combustion gangue coarse and fine aggregate concrete (RSCG-CF) beams) produced from spontaneous combustion gangue aggregate concrete with that of normal reinforced concrete members.

2 Experimental
2.1 Raw materials
Ordinary Portland cement (P·O42.5) is used in the test, with compressive strength of 49.51MPa, flexural strength of 8.89MPa at the age of 28 days. Spontaneous combustion gangue is taken from the mine in Fuxin (Liaoning Province, China), detailed preparation process of spontaneous combustion gangue coarse and fine aggregates is showed in Fig.1. Furthermore, the mountain of spontaneous combustion gangue and coarse, fine aggregates can be seen in Fig.2. Some properties of aggregates are showed in Table 1. The grading of spontaneous combustion gangue fine or coarse aggregate is good. Polycarboxylate superplasticizer is employed to maintain the properties of fresh gangue concrete. Fly ash and slag mainly improve the workability of fresh concrete.
2.2 Experimental design

2.2.1 Mix proportion design
In this test, the designing strength grade, C30, is widely used in general structure engineering. Spontaneous combustion gangue has features of large porosity, high water absorption, rough surface and so on. Above-mentioned features can be reflected from density, water absorption and crushing index in Table 1. Therefore, mix design method of ordinary concrete JGJ 55-2011 cannot be fully applied to design coal gangue concrete, if wanting to meet the requirements of liquidity and concrete strength grade. Additional water and pre-wetting methods, which are needed to introduce into mix design of gangue concrete from lightweight concrete and reduce water-binder ratio to keep concrete strength grade, simultaneously. According to previous studies and properties of the materials, additional water and pre-wetting time are determined by experiment [24–26]. Finally, the mix proportion of concrete is showed in Table 2.

2.2.2 Fabrication and curing of test specimens
In this experiment, according to the four combinations of aggregates, three beams were cast for each group to prevent the error influence. The parameters and details of test beams are showed in Fig. 3.

After the workability of fresh concrete was measured, the slumps of four kinds of concrete keep on 200mm. Then fresh concrete was cast into beam molds and vibrated with vibrating needle simultaneously. All the beams were allowed to stand for 24h in laboratory before demolding. Then, molds were stripped and all cubic and prism specimens for mechanical properties of concrete were cured with beams at room temperature and wet condition by watering every day. Sizes of cubic and prism specimens are as following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>Slag</th>
<th>Mixing water</th>
<th>Additional water</th>
<th>Water reducer</th>
<th>SCG-fine</th>
<th>Natural sand</th>
<th>Natural gravel</th>
<th>SCG-coarse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>1036</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG-F</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1095</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG-C</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG-CF</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>7669</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>612</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>999</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NC represents normal concrete prepared by natural sand and gravel; SCG-F represents the concrete made of spontaneous combustion gangue fine aggregate and natural gravel; SCG-C represents the concrete made of spontaneous combustion gangue coarse aggregate and natural sand; SCG-CF represents the concrete made of spontaneous combustion gangue fine and coarse aggregates (quantity of additional water = quantity of aggregate × water absorption × a ratio (100% for SCG-fine aggregate/80% for SCG-coarse aggregate)).

Fig. 3 Details of test beams (unit: mm)
recording data when numbers of dial indicator and strain tester are stable. At the same time, observing and marking crack of test beam, especially the load of initial crack as cracking load.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Properties of concrete and steel bar

The properties of concrete and steel bar are showed in Table 3. Different combinations of aggregates lead to different results for the compressive strength and splitting tensile strength of concrete, especially elastic modulus of concrete. Cubic compressive strength and axial compressive strength are in the range of 35~40MPa and 25~30MPa, which mean that the abovementioned kinds of concretes almost belong the same strength grade. It can be found that the larger the proportion of spontaneous combustion gangue aggregate in concretes, the lower the strength of concretes, shown in the Table 3. Because the porosity of spontaneous combustion gangue is larger than natural stone [29], the strength of spontaneous combustion gangue is lower than natural stone. That is consistent with the above results of crushing index. Therefore, the crack or damage of concrete would cross the spontaneous combustion gangue aggregates directly but bypassed the natural aggregates in the splitting tensile test, which is similar with other studies [12, 16]. The cross-section morphologies of concrete are showed in Fig. 5.

3.2 Experimental phenomenon

Although four kinds of reinforced concrete beams are made of different combinations of aggregates, almost having the similar failure process. In the initial section, load is small and concrete has not cracked, the beam is under the elastic stage. In this stage, deflection of beam linearly increases with load, and strains of concrete and reinforcement are small. Then load increases gradually until the edge of the mid-span tensile zone concrete reach ultimate strain, the concrete cracking. For an instant, partial stress of concrete transfers to reinforcement, resulting in the strain sudden change of it. Concrete and reinforcement start to work together and the strain increases stably. After concrete cracking, the beam enters the plastic state gradually. New cracks increase and extend, which results in deflection of the beam increases heavily with load. Until loading to make the reinforcement to yield, simultaneously, at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Cubic compressive strength $f_c$/MPa</th>
<th>Axial compressive strength $f_{cL}$/MPa</th>
<th>Splitting tensile strength $f_{ct}$/MPa</th>
<th>Elastic modulus $E_c$/MPa</th>
<th>Yield strength $f_y$/MPa</th>
<th>Ultimate strength $f_u$/MPa</th>
<th>Elastic modulus $E_s$/MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>30.23</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>31100</td>
<td>320.1</td>
<td>432.7</td>
<td>200000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG-F</td>
<td>36.33</td>
<td>26.43</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>28900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG-C</td>
<td>39.40</td>
<td>29.60</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>21200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCG-CF</td>
<td>35.83</td>
<td>25.26</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>18800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
compressive zone concrete on top of the beam is crushed. That is a symbol of beam failure. From the above description, deformation features and failure models of RSCG beams are similar to reinforced normal concrete (RNC) beams, having elastic, cracking, yield and ultimate stages. Cracks almost distribute in pure bending section. The failure process of RSCG beams is similar with other test results [30, 31]. All test beams belong under-reinforced members. Failure morphologies and crack distributions for all beams are showed in Fig. 6.

3.2.1 Plane section assumption
The material of spontaneous combustion gangue is uneven, which leads to the internal structure of SCG concrete having higher inhomogeneity and complexity so that macroscopic mechanical behavior has irregularity and nonlinearity. Aforementioned cases, bringing many difficulties to calculate the mechanical properties of members. Therefore, it is necessary to test and verify the plane section assumption for RSCG beams to simplify calculation, if wanting to apply the formulas in code GB50010-2010 [32].
Fig. 7 (a), (b), (c) and (d) show four kinds of beams, whose strain distribution of reinforcement and concrete along the section height under different load levels in the mid-span section. In the figure, no matter which combinations of aggregates, strain of reinforcement or concrete for each point on the section and distance of the point to the neutral axis are approximately proportional under a certain load. Therefore, RSCG beams conform to the plane section assumption in bending process [31], however, whose degrees of coincidence are not the same. RSCG-C and RSCG-F beams are clearly more suitable than RSCG-CF beams, but a little less than RNC beams. The reason is the internal structure of SCG concrete has higher inhomogeneity and complexity, especially spontaneous combustion gangue fine and coarse aggregates used in concrete at the same time. The inhomogeneity of aggregate results in the irregularity of concrete and member properties.
3.2.2 Cracking load

According to the calculating formula of cracking load in present code (GB50010-2010) to calculate the cracking load of test beams, and compared with the measured values. The formula is showed as follows:

\[ \gamma \cdot f_{ctk} \cdot W_0 \]

Where:
- \( M_{cr} \): is the cracking moment
- \( W_0 \): is the elastic resistance moment of transformed section to tensile edge
- \( \gamma \): is the plastic influence coefficient of sectional resistance moment for members, it is 1.55 according to the code and the test member
- \( f_{ctk} \): is the axis tensile strength standard values of concrete, in the paper it is replaced by conversion value of splitting tensile strength for reflecting the real case of different types of concrete

According to the section size of test beam and the measured data of material can calculate the cracking loads of test beams in the Table 4.
In Table 4, standard deviation (S) and coefficient variation (Cv) values reflect that discreetness of data is small; the average values (Ave) of \( M'_c/M''_c \) for RSCG-F and RSCG-C beams are significantly larger than that of RNC beams and RSCG-CF beams, which are similar to the measured values. Although splitting tensile strengths of SCG-F and SCG-C concrete are smaller than that of NC concrete, the cracking load of beam is not only influenced by one factor but many others (such as bond strength, internal structure of concrete and so on). Different combinations of aggregates lead to different performances of concrete [6]. And coordinative work properties of concrete and reinforcement are also different, because the bonding strength between concrete and reinforcement [33]. Therefore, it can be only sure that the two kinds of beams are good for crack resistance in the bending process. The calculated values of the cracking load for RSCG-F and RSCG-C beams have a large surplus resulting in decreasing economic efficiency of material. It can simply correct by multiplying correction coefficient 1.1 and 1.3, respectively. Nevertheless, further study should be conducted to confirm the underlying reason. The revised average values of \( M'_c/M''_c \) for RSCG-F and RSCG-C beams are 1.09 and 1.08 respectively, which are corresponding to 1.11, the RNC beam. Therefore, overall the present code (GB50010-2010) is suitable for calculation of cracking moment of RSCG beams.

### 3.2.3 Ultimate bearing capacity

According to the calculating formula of ultimate bearing capacity in present code (GB50010-2010) to calculate the ultimate bearing capacity of RSCG beams, and compared with their measured values. The formula is showed as follows:

\[
M_u = f_y A_t \left( h_0 - \frac{f_y A_t}{2 \alpha_s f_y b} \right)
\]

Where:
- \( f_y \): yield strength of longitudinal reinforcement;
- \( A_t \): area of longitudinal tension reinforcement;
- \( f_c' \): axial compressive strength of concrete;
- \( \alpha_s \): coefficient of equivalent stress figure, \( \alpha_s = 1.0 \) according to the code;
- \( b \): width of section for member;
- \( h_0 \): effective height of section for member.

According to the reinforcement figure, data of measured material strength and the formula can calculate ultimate loads of beams in Table 5.

In Table 5, standard deviation and coefficient variation values are small reflecting that discreetness of data is small; the average values of \( M'_c/M''_c \) or all beams including RNC beams focus on the range from 1.29 to 1.40. Obviously, measured values are generally larger than calculated values, and they have a big surplus for ultimate bearing capacity of the beam, although the surplus is necessary to be as safety margin in engineering. It may be due to the small test beam section and size inhomogeneity of mould.

The measured value of the ultimate bearing capacity of RSCG-F beam is the biggest in all beams, although the compressive strength of SCG-F concrete is not the biggest. That reflects flexural properties of SCG-F concrete are better than others. Compared with the ultimate bearing capacity of RNC beams, the ultimate bearing capacity of RSCG-C and RSCG-CF beams only reduces by 2.6% and 5.5%, respectively, only increases by 2% for RSCG-F beams. Therefore, the present code (GB50010-2010) is suitable for calculation of ultimate bearing capacity of RSCG beams [19, 31], and the results are safe and reliable.

### 3.2.4 Deflection under normal service state

It is likely to lead to excessive deformation for the RSCG members due to the fact that elastic modulus of SCG concrete is smaller than that of normal concrete. If wanting to use it in structural engineering, it is meaningful to study the deflection of RSCG members. Deflection checking for flexural member is under normal service limit state, according to present code (GB50010-2010), the normal section bears roughly 50% ~ 70% of the bearing capacity, and the deflection limit value is \( l_0/200 \) \((l_0 = \text{calculation span}, l_0 = 1500\text{mm in the test})\).
The deflection checking formula in present code is that:

\[ f = S \frac{M_i^2}{EI} = S \phi l_0^2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In deflection checking calculation formula, the short-term stiffness formula in present code is that:

\[ B_s = \frac{E_A h_0^2}{1.15 \psi + 0.2 + 6 \alpha_s \rho} \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where:
- \( S \): is coefficient associated with load form and supporting conditions
- \( l_0 \): is calculation span of beam
- \( \phi \): is sectional curvature means the angle of normal section on unit length
- \( E \): is strain non-uniform coefficient of longitudinal reinforce- ment in crack
- \( \rho \): is ratio of \( A_s/(b \times h_0) \)
- \( \alpha_s \): is \( E_s / E_c \)
- \( E_s \): is elastic modulus of reinforcement
- \( E_c \): is elastic modulus of concrete
- \( B_s \): is section bending rigidity of beam

According to above-mentioned calculation formula of deflection, comparing measured values with calculated values of deflection under normal service state in Table 6.
Table 6, standard deviation and coefficient variation values are small reflecting that discreteness of data is small, except for the data of RSCG-CF beams; the averages of $f'_c/f_c$ for all members except for RSCG-C concentrates on 1.00, showing the measured values and calculated values match well. The averages of deflection for RSCG-C beams concentrate on 0.85, meaning the measured values are smaller than calculated values. It is related to the deformation performance of RSCG-C beams. Generally, deformation performance of member is influenced by raw materials, especially aggregate. Although these properties of SCG concrete are not the best in all kinds, the cracking load in Table 5 and failure morphology in Figure 6 showing crack resistance of RSCG-C beams is better than others. The crack resistant is also related to deformation performance. Also, under the same moment, the deflection of beams increase in order of RNC, RSCG-F, RSCG-C and RSCG-CF, demonstrating adequately aggregate has great influence on deflection or deformation of the beam. In addition, reinforced concrete beam in bending is the process that reinforcement and concrete work harmoniously, the aforementioned case also related to the good harmony between SCG concrete and reinforcement. In a word, deflection checking formula is suitable for RSCG beams under normal service state.

4 ANSYS finite element simulation

4.1 Constitutive models and element types of reinforcement and concrete

Bending failure of beam is accompanied by nonlinear damage of its structural material, which increases the difficulties of calculation and analysis. Finite element simulation is a simple and effective method to solve above problems. In the paper, nonlinear analysis of RSCG beams is carried out by ANSYS finite element software, then compared with measured results.

An eight-node hexahedron element, Solid 65 which is special for modelling concrete in ANSYS, is used to model concrete in this paper. It can reflect cracking and crushing performances of concrete. Different aggregates affect the flexural properties of beams by affecting the performance of concrete. Thus, we input the measured axial compressive strength, elastic modulus of concrete and other measured results which are indispensable parameters in the structural design to reflect indirectly the influence of spontaneous combustion gangue aggregates on the flexural properties of beams. Link 8 element which is widely used in engineering is used to model reinforcement. The parameters of reinforcement also used the measured results to keep the conditions of test and model consistently.

Deformation features and failure modes of RSCG beams are similar with RNC beams in bending process, and there is no agreed-upon constitutive model of SCG concrete at present. Constitutive relation of concrete is a complicated problem and needs considerable data to support. Thus further research on the point is needed to investigate. In this paper, using the constitutive relation of common concrete replaces all kinds of concrete. According to the Code, the common compression constitutive model of concrete is showed in Fig.8, the tension constitutive model of reinforcement is showed in Fig.9.

4.2 Comparison of simulation and test results

4.2.1 Comparison of simulation strain curves

The comparison of measured and simulated load-reinforcement strain curves are showed in Fig.10.

Comparing simulated results with measured results, there are many differences between two curves in details, though the overall trend is comparable. Generally, load-reinforcement strain curves of beam are consisted of three segments, which are straight line segment without cracking, curve segment before reinforcement yielding and after concrete cracking and near-horizontal segment after reinforcement yielding. However, in the second segment (between two turning points) simulated result is described to a straight line, gap between simulated strain values and measured strain values becomes bigger and bigger with load increase. Because of the unsuitable precondition taking reinforcement as homogeneous material. In all lines, the cracking loads (the first turning point) of the simulation results are smaller than that of measured results, yielding or ultimate loads are also smaller than measured results. It is also connected to the aforementioned reason. Besides, the main reasons for above phenomena are that bond-slip effect between reinforcement and concrete is not considered in the finite element analysis [34]. Meanwhile coordination working capability of reinforcement with concrete and internal structure of concrete is idealized. Differences on ultimate strain values are partly due to limited experimental conditions to obtain limited data.
Fig. 10 Comparison of load-reinforcement strain curves

(a) RNC beams
(b) RSCG-F beams
(c) RSCG-C beams
(d) RSCG-CF beams

Fig. 11 Comparison of load-deflection curves

(a) RNC beams
(b) RSCG-F beams
(c) RSCG-C beams
(d) RSCG-CF beams
4.2.2 Comparison of mid-span deflection curves

The comparison of measured and simulated load-deflection curves are showed in Fig.11.

Fig.11 shows in bending process, simulated and measured load-deflection curves match well, and the curves also have three segments similarly to load-reinforcement strain curves. Especially the near-horizontal segments of curves for beams are almost unanimous and final measured and simulated deflection values are very close. However, under normal service state, measured deflection values are larger than simulated values at the same load, this reflects the stiffness of the test beams decrease faster in experimental process than that in the simulated process. In this condition, cracks increase and extend quickly with load increase leading factual section of the beam to reduce and stiffness decrease [35]. Late deformation ability of RSCG beams is good as shown in Fig.11.

4.2.3 Comparison of ultimate bearing capacity

The comparison of measured and simulated ultimate bearing capacity is showed in Table 7.

Table 7 shows the measured and simulated values of ultimate bearing capacity match very well, and the ratio of $M_u/M_s$ focus on the range (0.996–1.033). This is a further indication that the material definition, constraint conditions and loading method match well with experimental situations.

In conclusion, it is reliable to predict the ultimate bearing capacity of RSCG beams by ANSYS finite element simulation.

5 Conclusions

Through above experiments and analysis for reinforced concrete beams made of four different aggregate combinations. The following conclusions can be drawn that:

(1) The bending features of RSCG beams are similar with RNC beams, also having elastic, cracking, yield and ultimate stages. RSCG beams have basically the same flexural mechanism and failure process with RNC beams. RSCG beams meet plane section assumption in bending process.

(2) The calculated method and theory of cracking load in present code are also suitable to RSCG beams. Cracking loads of RSCG-CF and RNC beams have proper surplus, but RSCG-F and RSCG-C beams have a big surplus, this paper simply introduces parameters 1.1 and 1.3 to correct calculation formula, respectively. Further study should be conducted to confirm the underlying reason.

(3) Under the same conditions, ultimate bearing capacity of all beams is safe, especially RSCG-F beams, shown from the ratio of measured values to calculated values.

(4) Under normal service state, the measured mid-span deflection values of all beams match well with calculated mid-span deflection values, except for RSCG-C beams. The method and theory in present code are applicable to calculate the deflection of RSCG beams in bending process, and RSCG-C beams are safer than others. Thus it is feasible to use spontaneous combustion gangue aggregate in reinforced concrete structures under the proper design as well as within the proper limit of application.

(5) Based on the test data, the bending processes of RSCG beams are also analyzed by ANSYS finite element software. The results show that simulated load-deflection curves and ultimate bearing capacity of all beams match well with measured results. Although the load-reinforcement strain curves of two results have a comparative trend, they have many differences. Consequently, RSCG bending members can be analyzed by finite element model in engineering, especially predict ultimate bearing capacity of beam. But it is necessary to check by experiments, if wanting to know the bending process detailedly.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>RNC-1</th>
<th>10.63</th>
<th>11.28</th>
<th>11.33</th>
<th>0.996</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RNC-2</td>
<td>11.43</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>1.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNC-3</td>
<td>11.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-F-1</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-F-2</td>
<td>11.73</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.23</td>
<td>1.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-F-3</td>
<td>12.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-C-1</td>
<td>11.08</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-C-2</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>10.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>1.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-C-3</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-CF-1</td>
<td>10.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-CF-2</td>
<td>10.72</td>
<td>10.65</td>
<td></td>
<td>10.51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSCG-CF-3</td>
<td>10.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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