SOME RECENT APPLICATIONS OF THE KERNEL FUNCTION OF GENERALIZED WEYL FRACTIONAL INTEGRALS

Miklós MIKOLÁS

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Technical University of Budapest H-1521 Budapest, Hungary

Received: June 1, 1992

Abstract

Quite recently, connections of unusual type have been discussed by the author between the so-called 'fractional calculus' as a new branch of analysis and strong summation processes, furthermore, between fractional integration and certain number theoretic approximation methods. In the following, two different aspects of these inherences are considered: I. a new verification for the powerful method of (D)-summation in case of trigonometric series is given; II. such a generalization of the famous Franel theorem on Riemann's hypothesis (1924) is presented which shows the deeper background of the topic in the field of Diophantine approximations.

Keywords: fractional integration, Fourier analysis, summation methods, zeta-functions, Diophantine approximations.

Introduction

About thirty years ago, the author published a new theory of generalized integro-differential operators (called ' W_s -limits'), widening H. WEYL's concept of fractional integration. (See MIKOLÁS, 1959.) As it is well known, the Weyl fractional integral of order $\theta > 0$ is defined by

$$f_{\theta}(x) = \Gamma(\theta)^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t)(x-t)^{\theta-1} dt \qquad (0 < \theta < 1, \quad 0 < x < 1), \quad (1-1)$$

where f denotes a Lebesgue integrable function of period 1 with $\int_{0}^{1} f(t)dt = 0$. (Cf. WEYL, 1917). (1-1) is a pendant of the classical RIEMANN-LIOUVILLE fractional integral over (x_0, x)

$${}_{x_0}I_x^{\nu}f = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)}\int\limits_{x_0}^x f(t)(x-t)^{\nu-1}dt \qquad (\operatorname{Re}\nu > 0), \qquad (1-2)$$

which can be regarded as a natural extension to non-integral order ν of Cauchy's integral solution for the initial-value problem

$$y^{(m)}(x) = f(x) \quad (x_0 < x < x_1);$$
(1-3)
$$y(x_0) = y'(x_0) = \dots = y^{(m-1)}(x_0) = 0,$$

and has become since the turn of this century — together with (1-1) — an essential expedient of mathematics, physics and technical sciences. (Cf. MIKOLÁS, 1975.)

In the above-mentioned theory of generalized Weyl fractional integrals of complex order the following fundamental facts hold: for the ' W_s -integral' in question we have the representation

$$f_s(x) = \int_0^1 f(x-t) [\mathcal{Z}_s(t) - \mathcal{Z}_s(x)] dt \quad (\text{Re}\,s > 1)$$
(1-4)

and the so-called kernel function $\mathcal{Z}_s(u)$ occurring here may be written in the form

$$\mathcal{Z}_s(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{(2n\pi)^s} \cos\left(2n\pi u - \frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \quad (u \text{ real, non-integer}); \quad (1-5)$$

the corresponding fractional (' W_{s-} ') derivatives are received on the basis of the holomorphy of (1-4) as function of s. Herewith — surprisingly — the theory is closely connected with properties of an important class of higher special functions by relation:

$$\mathcal{Z}_s(u) = \Gamma(s)^{-1} \zeta(1 - s, \langle u \rangle), \qquad (1-6)$$

 $\langle u \rangle = u - [u]$ denoting the 'fractional part' of u.

On the right of (1-6), $\zeta(s, u)$ means the zeta-function of Hurwitz, familiar in number theory, defined for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$, u real, $u \neq 0, -1, -2, \ldots$ as the sum $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (m+u)^{-s}$ and for other $s \neq 1$ by analytic continuation with respect to the complex variable s. (We know that $\zeta(s, 1) = \zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta-function.)

Remark that the main properties of the kernel Z_s are:

¹ For the terms 'kernel function' and 'singular integral' we refer e.g. to HARDY-ROGOSINSKI (1944) and FEJÉR (1949).

(I) For any fixed $u \in (0,1)$, $\mathcal{Z}_s(u)$ is an entire function of s, i. e. it is regular everywhere on the s-plane; furthermore for any fixed s and non-integral real u, the formula $\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \mathcal{Z}_{s+1}(u) = \mathcal{Z}_s(u)$ holds. (II) Since $\mathcal{Z}_0(u) \equiv -1$, $\mathcal{Z}_p(u) = -B_p(u)$ (p = 1, 2, ...), the kernel

(II) Since $Z_0(u) \equiv -1$, $Z_p(u) = -B_p(u)$ (p = 1, 2, ...), the kernel $Z_s(u)$ can be regarded as a common generalization of the Bernoulli polynomials.²

(III) We have the relations:

$$(-1)_s = \mathcal{Z}_s(u)$$
 for $u \in (0,1)$, s arbitrary; (1-7)

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{Z}_{s_{1}}(u) \mathcal{Z}_{s_{2}}(x-u) du = \mathcal{Z}_{s_{1}+s_{2}}(x)$$
(1-8)

for $x \in (0, 1)$ and $\operatorname{Re} s_1, \operatorname{Re} s_2 > 0$.

Application of $\mathcal{Z}_s(u)$ to New Summation Methods for Ordinary Fourier Series

In an international congress report held lately (see MIKOLÁS, 1990a), a general idea due to the author has been discussed in detail, namely that the fractional integral (1-2) can be useful for summation of series not only as *function of the variable x* (this way was successfully followed in a famous monograph of HARDY-RIESZ (1915), introducing the so-called 'typical means'), but there is also another, alike so fruitful possibility: the application of fractional integrals as *functions of the order* ν . The most comprehensive summation process thus obtained is now called in the literature (M)-summation, and it is defined for any series of functions $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x)$ so that the fractional integrals occurring on the right of (2-1) exist, by the formula:

$$^{(M)}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\varphi_n(x) = \lim_{\nu \to +0}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{x=0}^{\infty}I_x^{\nu}\varphi_n.$$
(2-1)

Let us stress that the method (2-1) is specially fit e. g. for summing trigonometric Fourier series of the type

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n e^{2n\pi i x}, \qquad c_n = \int_0^1 f(t) e^{-2n\pi i t} dt.$$
 (2-2)

²We denote by $B_p(u)$ (p = 0, 1, 2, ...) the coefficients in the expansion $we^{uw}(e^w - 1)^{-1} = B_0(u) + B_1(u)w + B_2(u)w^2 + ... (|w| < 2\pi).$

M. MIKOLÁS

In this particularly important case it is suitable to split the definition (2-1) so to say into two variants:

$${}^{(M\pm)}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}c_{n}e^{2n\pi ix} = c_{0} + \lim_{\theta \to +0}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}c_{n}(\pm 2n\pi i)^{-\theta}e^{2n\pi ix}$$
(2-3)

and to use the terms (M_+) -summation and (M_-) -summation, respectively. (Cf. MIKOLÁS, 1960a and 1960b.)

By means of (2-3), considering the closed (integral) form of the sums on the right and utilizing also some properties of the occurring kernel function \mathcal{Z}_s , we can obtain such results on efficiency of the $(M\pm)$ -methods which highly exceed the corresponding ones in the theory of any classical summation process. Nevertheless, we want to investigate now another, similar but simpler method: the *Dirichlet* [briefly (D)-] summation. Its definition for an arbitrary series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k$ is:

$$^{(D)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} = A_{0} + \lim_{\vartheta \to +0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_{k} \cdot k^{-\vartheta}, \qquad (2-4)$$

where we have to assume the convergence of the right-hand auxiliary series for $\vartheta > 0$ small enough.³ We shall see that this way implies also the kernel \mathcal{Z}_s , but enables us to argue in the most direct manner.

We need an identity and two elementary estimates which can be deduced easily from the preliminaries about the Hurwitz zeta-function:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\vartheta} \cos n\tau =$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^{\vartheta} \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} \left[\zeta \left(1 - \vartheta, \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \right) + \zeta \left(1 - \vartheta, 1 - \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \right) \right]$$

$$(0 < \vartheta < 1, \quad 0 < \tau \le 2\pi),$$

$$(0 < \eta < 1, \quad 0 < \tau \le 2\pi),$$

$$|\zeta(\Theta, x)| \le x^{-\Theta} + (1 - \Theta)^{-1} + 1$$
 (0 < Θ < 1, 0 < $x \le 1$), (2-6)

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\vartheta} \cos n\tau\right| < \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 - \vartheta}\right) \pi^{1-\vartheta} \left[\tau^{\vartheta-1} + (2\pi - \tau)^{\vartheta-1}\right] \quad (2-7)$$
$$(N \ge 2, \quad 0 < \tau < 2\pi).$$

396

³The process (2-4) was firstly applied to trigonometric series by the author in (MIKOLÁS, 1960-61). For further special literary references see (ZELLER, 1958).

THEOREM 1. The trigonometric Fourier series

$$\alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_n \cos nx + \beta_n \sin nx)$$

with

$$\alpha_{0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(t)dt, \quad \alpha_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(t) \cos nt dt,$$

$$\beta_{n} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(t) \sin nt dt$$
(2-8)

of a bounded, 2π -periodic function f is (D)-summable at a point x if and only if the limit

$$f[[x]] = \lim_{\vartheta \to +0} \left[\vartheta \int_{0}^{\delta} \varphi(x,t) t^{\vartheta-1} \right] dt, \varphi(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} [f(x+t) + f(x-t)] \quad (2-9)$$

 $(\delta > 0, arbitrarily small)$ exists. The value (2-9) does not depend on δ , and yields the (D)-sum of (2-8) at x, provided that it exists.

In particular, $f[[x]] = \frac{1}{2}[f(x+0) + f(x-0)]$ holds at any point x where both unilateral limits of the function exist; furthermore, the (D)summability is uniform in each closed continuity interval of the function (including bilateral continuity at the end-points).

In our case, the domain of effectiveness of the (D)-method is greater than that of any Cesàro method or of the Abel-Poisson summation.

PROOF: 1° Having in mind the definition (2-4) of the (D)-method, let us form the auxiliary series

$$\alpha_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\vartheta} (\alpha_n \cos nx + \beta_n \sin x) \quad (0 < \vartheta < 1, \quad 0 \le x < 2\pi), \quad (2-10)$$

 α_n , β_n denoting the ordinary Fourier coefficients on $[0, 2\pi]$ of a bounded (L)-integrable function f (with the period 2π).

By the above, the closed integral expression of (2-10) may be written:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(t) [1+2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\vartheta} \cos n(x-t)] dt =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(x,u) du + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(x-\tau) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\vartheta} \cos n\tau d\tau =$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(x,u) du + \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{\vartheta - 1} \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2}\right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} \cdot$$

$$\cdot \int_{0}^{2\pi} f(x-\tau) \left[\zeta \left(1-\vartheta, \frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right) + \zeta \left(1-\vartheta, 1-\frac{\tau}{2\pi}\right) \right] d\tau$$

which by

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} f(x-\tau) \left[\zeta \left(1-\vartheta, \frac{\tau}{2\pi} \right) + \zeta \left(1-\vartheta, 1-\frac{\tau}{2\pi} \right) \right] d\tau = \\ = \int_{0}^{2\pi} [f(x-\upsilon) + f(x+\upsilon)] \zeta \left(1-\vartheta, \frac{\upsilon}{2\pi} \right) d\upsilon$$

takes the form

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(x, v) Z_{\vartheta}(v) dv \qquad (2-11)$$

with the kernel

$$Z_{\vartheta}(v) = 1 + (2\pi)^{\vartheta} \left(\cos\frac{\pi\vartheta}{2}\right)^{-1} \mathcal{Z}_{\vartheta}\left(\frac{v}{2\pi}\right).$$
(2-12)

[Cf. (1-6).]

Regarding the reverse of order of the integration and summation, we have to stress the following: 1. the existence of the integral (2-11) is assured by the boundedness of f and by the fact that the sum of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\vartheta} \cos n\tau$ as a function of τ belongs to $L(0, 2\pi)$; 2. the estimate (2-7) for the partial sums $\sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-\vartheta} \cos n\tau$ justifies the termwise integration carried out; 3. this involves simultaneously the convergence of the series (2-10) for every ϑ and x in consideration.

Taking the properties of $\zeta(\Theta, u)$ into account, we see that (2-11) is a so-called *singular integral* with one single singular (exceptional) point at v = 0. Namely the kernel function $Z_{\vartheta}(v)$ (for any fixed $\vartheta > 0$) becomes infinite in order $v^{\vartheta-1}$ as $v \to +0$, but it is *continuous and monotonously decreasing* at every $v \in (0, 2\pi)$.

Actually, the circumstance will be most important for us that after subtracting an appropriate term bearing the 'singularity' at v = 0, the remaining part of the kernel function Z_{ϑ} tends uniformly to 0 in $0 \le v \le 2\pi$ as $\vartheta \to +0$. More precisely, by the definition of $\zeta(s, u)$ and using elementary properties of the gamma-function, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| Z_{\vartheta}(v) - 2\pi \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} v^{\vartheta - 1} \right| &\leq \\ &\leq \left| 1 - (2\pi)^{\vartheta} \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)^{-1} \right| + \\ &+ (2\pi)^{\vartheta} \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \frac{\vartheta}{\Gamma(\vartheta + 1)}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(2-13)$$

and both terms of the last bound tend to 0 with ϑ , independently of v.

2° Let now split the integral (2-11) into three parts:

$$J = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(x, v) \left[Z_{\vartheta}(v) - 2\pi \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} v^{\vartheta - 1} \right] dv + \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} \int_{0}^{\delta} \varphi(x, v) v^{\vartheta - 1} dv + \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} \int_{\delta}^{2\pi} \varphi(x, v) v^{\vartheta - 1} dv = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 \right)$$
(2-14)

 δ denoting a fixed positive number < 1.

As far as the first term is concerned, with any given $\varepsilon > 0$ a number $\vartheta'_{\varepsilon} < 1$ can be associated such that

$$|J_1| \le \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |\varphi(x, v)| \cdot \varepsilon dv = \varepsilon \cdot K \qquad (\vartheta < \vartheta'_{\varepsilon}), \qquad (2-15)$$

where $K = \sup_{t \in [0,2\pi]} f(t)$. On the other hand, using again the gamma-function we get:

In the other hand, using again the gamma-function we get.

$$\begin{vmatrix} J_2 - \vartheta \int_0^{\delta} \varphi(x, v) v^{\vartheta - 1} dv \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$= \vartheta \left| \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)^{-1} - 1 \right| \left| \int_0^{\delta} \varphi(x, v) v^{\vartheta - 1} dv \right| < \qquad (2-16)$$

$$< \left| \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)^{-1} \right| \cdot K \vartheta \int_0^1 v^{\vartheta - 1} dv =$$

$$= K \left| \left(\cos \frac{\pi \vartheta}{2} \right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta + 1)^{-1} - 1 \right| < K \varepsilon$$

provided that $\vartheta < \vartheta''_{\varepsilon}$.

Finally, there exists a number $\vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime\prime\prime} > 0$ such that

$$|J_3| < \left(\cos\frac{\pi\vartheta}{2}\right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta)^{-1} \cdot K(2\pi)^{\vartheta-1} \int_{\delta}^{2\pi} \left(\frac{\vartheta}{2\pi}\right)^{-1} d\vartheta < (2-17)$$

$$< \vartheta \left(\cos\frac{\pi\vartheta}{2}\right)^{-1} \Gamma(\vartheta+1)^{-1} \cdot K \cdot 2\pi \log(2\pi/\delta) < K\varepsilon,$$

if only $\vartheta < \vartheta_{\varepsilon}^{\prime\prime\prime}$.

Summing up, (2-14)-(2-17) yield together

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\varphi(x,v)Z_{\vartheta}(v)dv-\vartheta\int_{0}^{\delta}\varphi(x,v)v^{\vartheta-1}dv\right|<3K\varepsilon$$

for ϑ sufficiently small; this is equivalent to the statement that the limits

$$\lim_{\vartheta \to +0} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \varphi(x, v) Z_{\vartheta}(v) dv \right], \quad \lim_{\vartheta \to +0} \left[\vartheta \int_{0}^{\delta} \varphi(x, v) v^{\vartheta - 1} dv \right]$$

can exist only simultaneously, and in case of existence they are equal.

3° Assuming that both of the limits f(x+0) and f(x-0) exist, we obtain for $0 < \eta < \delta < 1$:

$$\begin{split} \left| \vartheta \int_{0}^{\delta} \varphi(x,v) v^{\vartheta-1} dv - \frac{1}{2} [f(x+0) + f(x-0)] \right| \leq \\ \leq \frac{\vartheta}{2} \int_{0}^{\delta} [|f(x+v) - f(x+0)| + |f(x-v) - f(x-0)|] v^{\vartheta-1} dv + \\ + \frac{1}{2} |f(x+0) + f(x-0)| \left| \vartheta \int_{0}^{\delta} v^{\vartheta-1} dv - 1 \right| \leq \\ \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sup_{v \in [0,\eta]} [|f(x+v) - f(x-v)|] + \sup_{v \in [0,\eta]} [|f(x-v) - f(x-0)|] \right\} + \\ + \frac{\vartheta}{2} \int_{\eta}^{\delta} [|f(x+v) - f(x+0)| + |f(x-v) - f(x-0)|] v^{-1} dv + \\ + \frac{1}{2} |f(x+0) + f(x-0)| (1-\delta^{\vartheta}). \end{split}$$

The last upper bound becomes plainly as small as we please, if first η , next (after fixing η) the number ϑ is chosen small enough. Since the bounds in (2-15)-(2-17) are independent of x, also the assertion on uniform summability follows.

4° In order to show that the (D)-method is more effective than any Cesàro or the Abel-Poisson process, we refer to the well-known fact that the divergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1+i\tau)}$ ($\tau \neq 0$), by a Tauberian theorem of HARDY and LITTLEWOOD, is summable by *none* of the methods just mentioned. Nevertheless, this series is plainly summable in the (2-4) sense, because the continuity of $\zeta(s)$ for $s \neq 1$ implies

$$\lim_{\vartheta \to +0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1+i\tau)} \cdot n^{-\vartheta} = \lim_{\vartheta \to +0} \zeta(1+\vartheta+i\tau) = \zeta(1+i\tau).$$
(2-18)

Thus the verification of the theorem is completed.

Connection of the Integro-Differential Operator \mathcal{Z}_s with Diophantine Approximations and the Riemann Hypothesis

Let us denote by $\langle x \rangle$, as earlier, the difference x - [x], i.e. the so-called 'fractional part' of a real number x. According to a classical theorem of

M. MIKOLÁS

KRONECKER (1884), which is of fundamental significance in the theory of *Diophantine approximations*, the sequence $\langle nx \rangle$ (n = 1, 2, ...) lies everywhere densely on the real line in case of any fixed *irrational* x; furthermore, these points are at the same time *uniformly distributed* modulo 1 in H. WEYL's sense. (See e.g. WEYL, 1916.)

After a further important result of SIERPINSKI, namely that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \langle nx \rangle = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} B_1(\langle nx \rangle) = o(N)$$
(3-1)

for every fixed irrational x, since the twenties, numerous applications of Diophantine (ordinary or integral) mean estimates relating to *Bernoulli polynomials* have been found in number theory, analysis, television and radio technology. (Cf. e.g. HARDY-LITTLEWOOD, 1922a, 1922b, GÁL, 1949; GÁL-KOKSMA, 1950; MIKOLÁS, 1957, 1960c, 1990b; MORDELL, 1958; VAN DER POL, 1953).

This situation and the fact that recently the kernel function $\mathcal{Z}_s(u)$ turned out to be a natural extension of all Bernoulli functions $B_r(\langle nx \rangle)$ together (see the introduction), suggested looking for deeper connections between the 'fractional' operator \mathcal{Z}_s and the theory of Diophantine approximations. In the sequel, we shall deal with such a contribution to the problem which concerns *Riemann's* famous hypothesis (1859): each complex zero of the function $\zeta(s)$ has the real part 1/2.

First of all, we recall a few concepts and theorems from the analytic theory of numbers. Let $\mathcal{M}(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mu(n)$ denote the well-known summatoric Möbius function, $\Phi(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \varphi(n)$ the summatoric pendant of Euler's function. Then $\Phi(N)$ gives simultaneously the number of all fractions (rational numbers) h/k with $0 < h \leq k \leq N$, (h, k) = 1, $k = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ in ascending order, i.e. of the so-called *Farey series of order* N. The usual notation for the ν -th term of this sequence is ϱ_{ν}^{N} ($\nu = 1, 2, \ldots, \Phi(N)$).

A classical theorem of LITTLEWOOD (1912) which has been later strongly generalized by MIKOLÁS (1949, 1950, 1951a, 1951b) asserts that the validity of the estimate

$$\mathcal{M}(N) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\Phi(N)} \cos 2\pi \varrho_{\nu}^{(N)} = O\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$
(3-2)

is equivalent to the Riemann hypothesis. On the other hand, we have the nice theorem of FRANEL (1924) saying that Riemann's hypothesis is true if and only if

$$\mathcal{Q}(N) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{\Phi(N)} \left(\varrho_{\nu}^{(N)} - \frac{\nu}{\Phi(N)} \right)^2 = O\left(N^{-1+\varepsilon} \right), \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$
(3-3)

We remark at once that the proof of Franel's theorem is based on an important expedient of the theory of Diophantine approximations, a formula due to Landau:

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left(\langle au \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\langle bu \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) du = \frac{1}{12} \frac{(a,b)}{\{a,b\}} = \frac{(a,b)^{2}}{12ab},$$
(3-4)

where a, b are natural numbers and (a, b), $\{a, b\}$ denote the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of this couple, resp.

For our purposes, it is also essential that *Franel's sum* (3-3) has an alternative representation (cf. e.g. LANDAU, 1927, pp. 172-173):

$$\mathcal{Q}(N) = \frac{1}{\bar{\Phi}(N)} \left\{ \int_{0}^{1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\langle nx \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{N}{n} \right) \right]^{2} dx - \frac{1}{12} \right\}, \quad (3-5)$$

which indicates by the occurrence of $B_1(\langle nx \rangle)$ on the right explicitly the 'Diophantine approximatic' background of Q(N). So we are led to the idea: a strong generalization of the square-integral in (3-5) with the kernel function $\mathcal{Z}_s(u)$ instead of $B_1(\langle u \rangle)$, i.e. the study of

$$\mathcal{H}_{s}(N) = \int_{0}^{1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathcal{Z}_{s}(nx) \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{N}{n}\right) \right]^{2} dx$$
(3-6)

could yield maybe a corresponding extension of Franel's result (3-3). The conjecture is correct, since

THEOREM 2. The Riemann hypothesis is true if and only if in the case of any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ for s > 1/2 we have the relation

$$\mathcal{H}_s(N) = O\left(N^{1+\varepsilon}\right). \tag{3-7}$$

PROOF: 1° Suppose that Riemann's hypothesis holds. Then, by the abovementioned theorem of Littlewood, to any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $C = C(\varepsilon)$ positive constant for which

$$|\mathcal{M}(N)| < C(\varepsilon) N^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \quad (N = 1, 2, \dots).$$
(3-8)

M. MIKOLÁS

On the other hand, an extension of (3-4) according to the author yields (cf. MIKOLÁS, 1957, p. 46; and 1960c, p. 159):

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{Z}_{s}(au) \mathcal{Z}_{s}(bu) du = \frac{2\zeta(2s)}{(2\pi)^{2s}} \left(\frac{(a,b)}{\{a,b\}}\right)^{2} \quad \left(s > \frac{1}{2}\right).$$
(3-9)

So, on the basis of (3-6) and (3-8), (3-9), we can write with $K_s = 2\zeta(2s)(2\pi)^{-2s}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}_{s}(N)| &= \left| \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{N}{a}\right) \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{N}{b}\right) \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{Z}_{s}(au) \mathcal{Z}_{s}(bu) du \right| \leq \\ &\leq K_{s} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \left| \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{N}{a}\right) \right| \left| \mathcal{M}\left(\frac{N}{b}\right) \right| \frac{(a,b)^{s}}{\{a,b\}^{s}} \leq \\ &\leq K_{s} C(\varepsilon)^{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \left(\frac{N}{a}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \left(\frac{N}{b}\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \cdot \frac{(a,b)^{2s}}{(ab)^{s}} = \\ &= K_{s} C(\varepsilon)^{2} N^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \frac{(a,b)^{2s}}{(ab)^{s+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}}; \end{aligned}$$

and hence, using the notations (a, b) = c; $a = \alpha c$, $b = \beta c$:

$$\frac{|\mathcal{H}_s(N)|}{K_s C(\varepsilon)^2 N^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,c=1\\(\alpha,\beta)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{c^{2s}}{(\alpha c \cdot \beta c)^{s+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}}} \leq \sum_{\alpha,\beta,c=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha^{s+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \cdot \beta^{s+\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2}} \cdot c^{1+\varepsilon}}.$$

Since the triple series in the last term is plainly convergent, if $s + \frac{1+\varepsilon}{2} > 1 + \varepsilon > 1$, for every s > 1/2 we obtain (3-7).

2° Conversely, assume that in the case of each s > 1/2, to any given $\varepsilon > 0$ a number $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$ and a constant $\Lambda = \Lambda(\varepsilon)$ can be found for which we have the inequality

$$|\mathcal{H}_s(N)| < \Lambda(\varepsilon) N^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (N \ge N_0(\varepsilon)).$$

Then putting s = 1, we get specially that for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, and at suitable choice of certain constants $N_0 = N_0(\varepsilon)$, $\Lambda = \Lambda(\varepsilon)$, it holds [cf. (3-4) and the positivity of the integrand]:

$$|\mathcal{H}_{1}(N)| = \int_{0}^{1} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(\langle nu \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \right) \mathcal{M} \left(\frac{N}{n} \right) \right]^{2} du =$$
$$= \frac{1}{12} \sum_{a,b=1}^{N} \mathcal{M} \left(\frac{N}{a} \right) \mathcal{M} \left(\frac{N}{b} \right) \frac{(a,b)^{2}}{ab} < \Lambda(\varepsilon) N^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (N \ge N_{0}(\varepsilon)).$$

Hence it follows by (3-5) and $\Phi(N) \sim \frac{3}{\pi^2} N^2 \ (N \to \infty)$:

$$\mathcal{Q}(N) = \frac{1}{\Phi(N)} \left(\mathcal{H}_1(N) - \frac{1}{12} \right) = O\left(N^{-1+\varepsilon} \right).$$
(3-10)

But a well-known inequality for $|\mathcal{M}(N)|$ yields

$$\mathcal{M}(N) = O(N\sqrt{\mathcal{Q}(N)}),$$

so that the application of (3-10) leads to

$$\mathcal{M}(N) = O\left(N^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}\right).$$

Taking still into account Littlewood's theorem (3-2), we can conclude the validity of Riemann's hypothesis.

References

- FEJÉR, L. (1949): Intégrales singuliers à noyau positif. Commentarii Math. Helvetici, Vol. 23, pp. 177-199.
- FRANEL, I. (1924): Les suites de Farey et le problème des nombres premiers. Göttinger Nachrichten, Jahrg. 1924, pp. 198-201.
- GÁL, I. S. (1949): A Theorem Concerning Diophantine Approximations. Nieuw Archief Wisk., Vol. 23, pp. 13-38.
- GÁL, I. S. KOKSMA, J. F. (1950): Sur l'ordre de grandeur des fonctions sommables. Indagationes Math., Vol. 12, pp. 192-207.
- HARDY, G. H. LITTLEWOOD, J. E. (1922a): The Lattice Points of a Rightangled Triangle, I. Proc. London Math. Soc., Ser. 3, Vol. 20, pp. 15-36.
- HARDY, G. H. LITTLEWOOD, J. E. (1922b): The Lattice Points of a Rightangled Triangle, II. Abhandl. Math. Sem. Uni. Hamburg, Bd. 1, pp. 212-249.
- HARDY, G. H. RIESZ, M. (1915): The General Theory of Dirichlet's Series. Cambridge Tracts in Math., Nr. 18.
- HARDY, G. H. ROGOSINSKI, W. W. (1944): Fourier Series. Cambridge Tracts in Math., No. 38.
- LANDAU, E. (1927): Vorlesungen über Zahlenthorie II. Leipzig, Hirzel.
- LITTLEWOOD, J. E. (1912): Quelques conséquences de l'hypothèse que la fonction $\zeta(s)$ de Riemann n'a pas de zéros dans le demiplan R(s) > 1/2. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Vol. 154, pp. 263-266.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1949): Sur l'hypothèse de Riemann. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris, Vol. 228, pp. 633-636.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1950): Farey Series and Their Connection with the Prime Number Problem, I. Acta Sci. Math., Vol. 13, pp. 93-117.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1951a): Farey Series and Their Connection with the Prime Number Problem, H. Acta Sci. Math., Vol. 14, pp. 5-21.

- MIKOLÁS, M. (1951b): Über summatorische Funktionen von Möbiusschem Charakter. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Bulgare, Vol. 4, pp. 9-12.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1957): Integral Formulae of Arithmetical Character Relating to the Zetafunction of Hurwitz. *Publicationes Math.*, Vol. 5, pp. 44-53.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1959): Differentiation and Integration of Complex Order of Functions Represented by Trigonometrical Series and Generalized Zeta-functions. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., Vol. 10, pp. 77–124.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1960a): Applications d'une nouvelle méthode de sommation aux séries trigonométriques et de Dirichlet. Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung., Vol. 11, pp. 317-334.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1960b): Sur la sommation de la série de Fourier au moyen de l'intégration d'ordre fractionnaire. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, Vol. 251, pp. 837-839.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1960c): On a Problem of Hardy and Littlewood in the Theory of Diophantine Approximations. *Publicationes Math*, Vol. 7, pp. 158-190.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1960-61): Über die Dirichlet-Summation Fourierscher Reihen, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sectio Math., Vol. 3-4 (in mem. L. Fejér), pp. 189-195.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1975): On the Recent Trends in the Development, Theory and Applications of the Fractional Calculus. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 457, pp. 357-381.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1990a): A New Method of Summation Based on Fractional Integration and Generalized Zeta-functions. Proc. III. Int. Conf. 'Fractional Calculus', Tokyo, May 29-June 1, 1989. The 100th Anniversary of Nihon University, College of Engineering, Koriyama, pp. 106-109.
- MIKOLÁS, M. (1990b): Einige neuere Aspekte und analytisch-technische Anwendungen Diophantischer Approximationen. Results in Mathematics — Resultate der Mathematik, Vol. 18, pp. 298-305.
- MORDELL, L. J. (1958): Integral Formulae of Arithmetical Character. Journal London Math. Soc., Vol. 33, pp. 371-375.
- VAN DER POL, B. (1953): Radio Technology and the Theory of Numbers. Journal Franklin Inst., Vol. 255, pp. 475-495.
- WEYL, H. (1916): Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. Math. Annalen, Bd. 77, pp. 313-352.
- WEYL, H. (1917): Bemerkungen zum Begriff des Differential-Koeffizienten gebrochener Ordnung. Vierteljahrsschrift Naturf. Ges. Zürich, Bd. 62, pp. 296-302.
- ZELLER, K. (1958): Theorie der Limitierungsverfahren. Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, Springer.