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Abstract
An experimental study was carried out on BME Department of Construction Materials and Engineering Geology to analyse the bending strength of glass pane. The influence of edge strength of single ply and laminated glass specimens were also investigated. Non heat treated and tempered single ply glass specimens of different thicknesses 6 mm, 12 mm and 19 mm and laminated (2×6 mm, 3×6 mm) glass specimens with constant span of 1.0 m and width of 0.36 m were tested in a four-point bending according to EN 1288-3:2000. The effect of interlayer material (resin and EVA foil) and the effect of temperature (-20°C, +23°C, +60°C) on the structural behaviour of glass products were also studied. Strains were measured with strain gages in two regions of the surface of the glass pane (Region1 and Region2), see Fig. 1.

Surface stresses in two regions and bending strength of glass specimens were determined.
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1 Introduction
Where would buildings be without windows? Glass is everywhere in modern life (lighting, household wares, cars, glass fibers etc.). Nowadays in architecture glass is used not only in windows but also in load bearing structures. The architects prefer to design more transparent buildings. By increasing the transparency of buildings, the engineers can meet with special designing and construction problems for glazing and load bearing glass structures. Designing and calculating load bearing glass is a special area of civil engineering, yet the engineers are afraid of the breaking nature of glass, therefore glass constructions are mostly secondary or tertiary structural elements (in term of structural hierarchy of a building). There is also a lack of useful technical documents and standards especially for engineers in Hungary. An experimental study was carried out on load bearing capacity on non heat treated and tempered and laminated glass specimens, too, see Fig. 1.

2 Tempered and non heat treated float glass
Single glass is a homogeneous isotropic material with linear-elastic behaviour. Glass has very high compressive strength and theoretically very high tensile strength, but the surface of the glass has many irregularities, which act as weaknesses when glass is subjected to tensile stress. These irregularities are
caused by attack from and by contact with hard materials (harder than glass itself) and can be modified by moisture, which is always present in the air. Tensile strengths of around 10 000 N/mm² can be predicted from the molecular structure, but glass normally fails at stresses considerably below 100 N/mm². The presence of the irregularities and their modification by moisture [4, 5] contributes to the properties of glass which need consideration when performing tests of strength. Because of the high compressive strength, glass always fails under tensile stress. Since glass in buildings was introduced, the most important property for load resistance has been its tensile and bending strength [6].

Heat tempered glasses are produced by heating up the glass to approximately 650 °C and then cooled down to create compressive stresses within the external layers. Cooling rate defines if the glass is heat strengthened or tempered. Because of the resulting compressive stresses in the external layers, the tempered glass is approximately twice as strong as the heat strengthened glass of the same thickness [7]. The most dramatic and important difference between heat strengthened float and tempered glasses is the post failure characteristics of the products, i.e. their failure pattern, see Fig. 2, 3.

### 3 Bending strength of glass

The following factors influence the bending strength of glass products:

a) heat treatment,

b) surface condition (e.g. non-slip characteristics for stairs),

c) rate and duration of loading,

d) area of surface stressed in tension,

e) relaxation [8],

f) ambient medium, through stress corrosion cracking as well as healing of surface damage in the glass [4],

g) age, i.e. time elapsed from the last mechanical surface treatment or modification to simulate damage [5],

h) ambient temperature,

i) edgework (roughness, region of initialization of cracking) [9],

j) laminate is influenced by interlayer material too (aging, delamination, behaviour at different temperatures or humidity, etc.) [10].

### 4 Bending behaviour of laminated safety glass

If tempered glass fractures, it falls apart into numerous small pieces, the edges of which are generally blunt. Interlayer is used between the glass panes in laminated glass products, which help to hold the fragments together if glass breaks. They mostly used interlayer is a kind of foil such as PVB (polyvinyl-butyral) or kind of resins (styrene). The mechanical behaviour of the composite is determined by the ability of the interlayer material to transfer shear between the glass panes. All parameters that influence bond also act on the structural behaviour of the composite.

#### 4.1 Physical characteristics of the interlayer

The structural behaviour of laminated safety glass lies between two limits. The lower limit of the load bearing capacity is the so-called "layered limit", in which the glass panes react without shear bond. The upper limit of the load bearing capacity is the "monolithic limit" in which all glass panes are rigidly connected [11]. For both limits stresses in the glass pane can be calculated using the known formulas and models. In reality the maximum stress in the glass panes of the laminate lies between those two limits. In this research work resin and new type of foil EVA (ethyl-vinyl acetate) was used as interlayer material [12, 13]. The bond in laminate is also influenced by the rheologic behaviour of the interlayer.

Fig. 4 and Table 1 indicate the influence of temperature on properties of polymer interlayer. The stress transition temperature (glassy region – transition region – rubbery plateau – flow zone) of polymers are important factors on the behaviour of laminated glass as a composite. The perfect bond in the laminate

---

**Fig. 2.** Fracture pattern of float glass tested according to EN 1288-3:2000

**Fig. 3.** Fracture pattern (painted) of tempered glass tested according to EN 1288-3:2000
### Tab. 1. Physical properties of tested resin and EVA interlayer and commonly used PVB [12][14]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>RESIN (Styrene Unilam1418)</th>
<th>EVA (Ethylene Vinyl Acetate)</th>
<th>PVB (Polyvinyl Butyral)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile strength at 23°C</td>
<td>N/mm²</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>14-22</td>
<td>20 &lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at 23°C</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>465 &lt;</td>
<td>250 &lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young’s Modulus at 23°C</td>
<td>N/mm²</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.2 &lt;</td>
<td>1.5 &lt; (∼10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratio at 23°C</td>
<td></td>
<td>~ 0.40</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>~ 0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress transition temperature (vitrification)</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>−31</td>
<td>−28</td>
<td>∼ +14 (depending on the content of softeners)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water absorption</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td>Air bubbles can generate around the edge. Problem in bonding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Note</td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Also good in outdoor condition</td>
<td>Using between +15 and 60 °C.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4. Stress-strain diagram of polymers](image)

depends also on the manufacturer. The better bond is not insured when the bonding process is performed around or below the glass transition temperature of the adhesive. Water content and aging of interlayer material can reduce both the adhesion to the glass surface and bond-strength [10][14]. The edge strength [9] of the laminate is also influenced by the interlayer material.

### 5 Method of testing (four-point bending)

Non heat treated and tempered single ply glass specimens of different thicknesses 6 mm, 12 mm and 19 mm and laminated (2×6 mm, 3×6 mm) glass specimens with constant span of 1.0 m and width of 0.36 m were tested in a four-point bending, see Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. Interlayer materials were resin (cast in place styrene) or EVA (ethyl-vinyl-acetate) foil. There were tested specimens only with spacer and without interlayer material (between the glass panes near to the edges elastic strip width of 5 mm). The load, temperature and deflection at mid-span and the strain at selected points (in Region 1 and Region 2, see Fig 1) on the surface of the glass panes were measured in all tests. The test programme is a semi-dynamic short-term test. The experiments were carried out at room temperature +23°C and also specimens were heated up to +60°C or cooled down to -20°C. The heated or cooled specimens were isolated to provide an environment with constant temperature and humidity [3].

![Fig. 5. Method of testing according to EN 1288-3 where](image)

5.1 Calculation of bending strength

Soda-lime silica glass products have a density of 2.5 g/cm³. ASTM 1300-04 [15] gives in Appendix for Young’s Modulus 71.7 × 10³ N/mm² while EN 1288-3 gives 70 × 10³ N/mm². To determine the bending strength the following formula can be applied [1]:

\[ \sigma_{bb} = k \left[ \frac{F_{max} 3(L_s - L_b)}{2Bt^2} + \sigma_{bg} \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Bending stress imposed by the self-weight of the specimen:

\[ \sigma_{bg} = \frac{3 \rho g L_r^2}{4t} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

![Fig. 6. Testing of laminated safety glass](image)
where

\[ B \] width of specimen;
\[ t \] thickness of specimen;
\[ L_s \] distance between the centre lines of the supporting rollers;
\[ L_b \] distance between the centre lines of the bending rollers;
\[ y \] central deflection of the specimen;
\[ k = k_e \] dimensionless factor as function of \( y/t \) to determine the stress at the mid of span \( k = 1 \);
\[ \sigma_{bB} \] bending strength;
\[ \sigma_{bG} \] bending stress imposed by the self-weight of the specimen.

Factor \( k \) is used when it is required to determine the bending strength of glass where the effects of the edge are important. For calculating the overall bending strength or equivalent bending strength of the surface area, including the edges the value \( k = 1 \) shall be used.

For calculating the bending strength or equivalent bending strength of the free edges of the glass pane \( k = k_e \) shall be used.

The appropriate value of \( k_e \) for use in Eq. 1 shall be obtained from Fig. 7 which gives the value of \( k_e \) as a function of the value of \( y/t \) [6].

![Fig. 7. Dimensionless factor \( k_e \) as a function of \( y/t \) [6]](image)

Simple theory (beam model) assumes that there are no stresses across the width of a beam when it is subjected to bending along its length, but the Poisson effect (\( \mu = 0.23 \) is given for float soda lime silicate glass) generates significant stresses across the width of wide beams, so the longitudinal stress cannot be regarded as uniform across the width. The value \( k \) takes into account this effect to calculate the bending stress developed at the edges of the beam. Using plate model to calculate stresses and deflection could also highlight the difference between stress in Region1 and Region2, but Region2 is affected by the edge work too.

5.2 Testing parameters and testing program

To study the effects of the interlayer and temperature on the load bearing capacity of the glass specimens following factors were determined:

Constants were: rate (type) of loading; supporting; geometry; surface treatment; edge work; etc.

Variables were: tempering; type of interlayer see Table 1 (resin, EVA or without interlayer); piece of glass layer in laminate; temperature. Fig. 8 illustrates the schematic diagram of the testing program.

![Fig. 8. Schematic diagram of the testing program](image)

6 Test results

6.1 Effect of tempering on load bearing capacity of single glass

The average maximal surface stresses \( \sigma \) and the bending strength \( \sigma_{bB} \) of the single glass specimens are summarized in the following table. By strain gage measurements the surface stresses have been calculated with Hook’s law. There have been calculated with Eq. 1 the mid pane strength \( \sigma_{bB} \) (Region 1) and edge strength \( \sigma_{bB\text{edge}} \) (Region 2) on the lower surface of single non heat treated float (\( F_1 \)) and tempered (\( E_1 \)) glass specimens.

Table 2 indicates the surface stresses at maximal force and the calculated bending strength of single 6 mm, 12 mm, and 19 mm thick glass specimens are different. The surface stresses are \( \sim 17 \% \) lower than the bending strength of the 6 mm thick glass specimens. With thinner than 6 mm glass panes – both tempered or float – the maximal surface stresses should be considered. The bending strength of tempered specimens is always higher than the surface stresses of them. Fig. 9 indicates the size effect of bending strength of glass panes. Using the fitted curves and log-log plot of the graphs straight lines are indicating the size effect. For tempered glass pane the slope is about 1:6.25 which indicates small size effect and the reason for the size effect is rather stochastic distribution of the defects in the glass pane (Weibull type size effect, otherwise to call statistical size effect). For float glass pane the slope is about 1:2.6 which is strong size effect and the reason for that is development of large cracks. The linear elastic fracture mechanics provides a good model for this type of glass panes.

Bending strength of 6 mm thick single tempered glass spec-
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**Tab. 2.** Summarizing table of measured values and calculated surface stress and bending strength of single float ($F_1$) and tempered ($E_1$) glass specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimens</th>
<th>Measured values (avg.)</th>
<th>Measured stress $\sigma = E\varepsilon$</th>
<th>Calculated values (avg.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$F_{max}^{avg}$</td>
<td>$y^{avg}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{max}^{avg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>kN</td>
<td>m/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E_1</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>63.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>46.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 mm</td>
<td>15.52</td>
<td>24.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F_1</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>18.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 mm</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>17.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19 mm</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>11.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 9.** Ratio of calculated bending strengths and surface stresses of single glass specimens with different thicknesses in, %.

Calculated bending strength compared to surface stress of single glass specimens with different thickness in, %.

The stresses compared to surface tension stresses have shown that in-plane stresses lead earlier to failure than bending stresses, especially in the case of tempered and thin float ($t < 10$ mm) glass panes, see Table 2, Fig. 9. In the case of taking the bending strength equal to ultimate surface strength for tempered glass maximum 150 N/mm$^2$ the maximal force is calculated with Eq. 3. The ultimate surface strength for tempered glass depends on the manufacturers, also standards prefer to temper glass to induce stresses in outer layer 120-150 N/mm$^2$. Table 3 indicates that there are differences in calculated force and deflection compared to the measured values.
Table 3. Summarizing table of measured values and calculated surface stress and bending strength of single float (F_f) and tempered (E_f) glass specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>F_{max,avg} (kN)</th>
<th>Y_{avg} (mm)</th>
<th>F_{max,calc} (kN)</th>
<th>Y_{calc} (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E_1 tempered</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>63.34</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>70.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.94</td>
<td>46.15</td>
<td>6.36</td>
<td>43.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15.52</td>
<td>24.73</td>
<td>16.03</td>
<td>21.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10. Effect of temperature on load bearing capacity of 6 mm thick float glass specimen, where on Force-Deflection diagram the symbols mean: E- tempered glass; F- non heat treated float glass;

By using Eq. [3], which is a common formula to calculate the maximal force the effect of: rate of loading and surface and edge treatment, tempering, temperature etc. which are influencing factors on glass, can’t be taken into account.

Eq. [4] gives the deflection of the glass pane considering beam model and small deflection theorem. However the deflection is large according the data of the tests. This could give the explanation for the difference between the calculated and measured displacement. The deflection in this tests caused by self weight are about 1% of total maximal deflection in case of thick (12 mm and 19 mm) specimens and is about 2.2 % (=1.52/68.5) of 6 mm thick specimen, which can be neglected in Eq. [4] because the accuracy of the engineering measurement is also about 2%. But it is important to notice that large scale glazing units can have significant deflection caused by their self weight which can’t be neglected and is dependant from the supporting condition.

The calculated maximal deflection (y_{calc}, with measured F_{max,avg}) of 6 mm glass specimen is overestimated 11%, but 14% underestimated of 12 mm and 19 mm thick specimens. An ultimate surface strength can be considered, which should be reduced by safety factors to safe calculation of ultimate force (F_{max,calc}) or desing force (F_{max,design}) of a glass pane.

6.2 Effect of temperature on load bearing capacity of single glass

Single float and tempered glass was also tested at different temperatures to determine the influence of temperature on the load bearing capacity of glass.

The temperature does not have an effect on the load bearing capacity of tempered glass. Not heat treated float glass specimens were more affected by temperature especially at −20°C, which behave more rigidly than at room temperatures, see Fig. [10].

By increasing temperature, the measured strains on lower surface of glass specimens increased in both Regions (Region 1, and Region 2). Edge stresses are always about 10% higher than the mid pane stresses. Reaching the maximum value of strains in edge region, which is about 700 μm/m of float glass and 2000 μm/m of tempered specimens, the fracture occurred. Table 4 indicates the measured values of strains before fracture of specimens in Region1 and Region2 and the calculated surface stresses. To calculate the surface stresses of non heat treated specimens at −20°C, Young’s modulus E= 74×10^3 N/mm² should be applied.

6.3 Effect of laminating material on load bearing capacity of glass

The Fig. [11] indicates laminated 3 plies tempered glass specimens with different interlayer, EVA (E_3_F) or resin (E_3_R) or without laminating material (E_3_D). At room temperature the EVA laminated specimens behave more rigidly than with resin laminated specimens. Taking the force F=4 kN the measured different deflections of laminates indicate the layered limits (deflection of single glass is ∼6 mm < y < without interlayer is
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Tab. 4. Effect of temperature on strain and surface stress of 6 mm thick single glass specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain and surface stress of 6 mm thick glass specimens</th>
<th>Mid of Lower pane</th>
<th>Edge of Lower pane</th>
<th>Young’s modulus E</th>
<th>Mid of Lower pane</th>
<th>Edge of Lower pane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ε_{max,avg} µm/m</td>
<td>ε_{max,avg} µm/m</td>
<td>10^3 N/mm^2</td>
<td>σ_{max,avg} N/mm²</td>
<td>σ_{max,avg} N/mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20°C F float</td>
<td>631.71</td>
<td>671.25</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23°C</td>
<td>638.85</td>
<td>704.46</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>49.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°C</td>
<td>689.45</td>
<td>728.72</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−20°C E tempered</td>
<td>1903.06</td>
<td>2131.26</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>133.2</td>
<td>149.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23°C</td>
<td>1952.49</td>
<td>2068.81</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>136.7</td>
<td>144.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°C</td>
<td>2177.57</td>
<td>2282.52</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>158.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 11. Effect of interlayer on load bearing capacity of laminated tempered glass, where on Force-Deflection diagram the symbols mean: E- tempered glass; 3-pieces of glass layers, different interlayer EVA (E_3_F) or resin (E_3_R) or without interlayer (E_3_D)

−48mm) of the laminated glass. EVA is such that the laminated glass may be considered as a solid one there is no slip between the glass layers due to the shear deformation of the EVA layer. In case of applying resin there is considerable slip between the glass layers due to the large shear deformation of the resin layer.

If we join two slabs together, one on top of the other, with a shear-resistant connection, then the loads can no longer be split in proportion to the strengths but instead are carried by a composite unit. The strength in the glass panes are influenced by the shear transfer of the interlayer. If they are strong bonded and the strain of the interlayer is small, the composite behaves relatively monolithic, see Fig. 12 [3].

The load bearing capacity at 23°C is 4 times higher of EVA laminate and 3 times higher of resin laminate than of the laminate without interlayer. The interlayer has great influence on the post failure behaviour.

When the first pane fractures, the maximal force falls on the level of ~35% of the maxima and starts to increase up to 150% while the deflection is increasing too, see Fig 11. When the second glass layer fractures, the force falls on the same level as the load-deflection of a single glass pane, and then starts to increase up to the maximal load bearing capacity of a single 6 mm glass pane till it fractures.

6.4 Effect of temperature on load bearing capacity of laminated glass

The results showed that the behaviour of the interlayer is influenced by the temperature for both non heat treated laminates and for tempered laminates. At higher temperatures (+60°C) both interlayer material will soften. The viscosity of the resin
will increase and the EVA increases too. At lower temperatures (−20 °C) the resin interlayer behaves more rigidly. It has an effect on the load bearing capacity of the laminate, Fig. [13].

The deflections at force \( F = 4 \) kN with resin laminated tempered glass specimens are at -20 °C: 17 mm; at +23 °C: 23 mm; at +60 °C: 38 mm, where of EVA laminate are at -20 °C: 18 mm; at +23 °C: 21 mm; at +60 °C: 26 mm, see Fig. [13], Fig. [14].

The EVA bonded laminates are more rigidly bonded at room temperatures and at +60 °C than with resin bonded specimens. The results showed that the EVA-layer had a better bearing capacity at room temperatures or higher temperatures. For changing climate conditions the using of EVA interlayer is recommended.

With the testing of tempered laminated glass at room temperature, the failure of the specimen in the case of EVA-layer was always the fracture of glass and not of the interlayer, but in the case of non heat treated specimens the interlayer was also damaged. At +60 °C the fracture of all laminated specimens was the failure of glass and sometimes the interlayer too. The shear composite effect should be neglected especially under higher temperature and long-term-loads when the interlayer tends to creep.

The conditions or circumstances which lead to delamination instead of breaking of glass can be summarized:
- type of interlayer (viscoelastic nature of interlayer on different temperatures),
- poor adhesion or shear bond is not ensured between the glass panes,
- high temperatures,
- adsorption properties or aging of interlayer,
- long-term-loads and when the interlayer tend to creep etc.

The exposition classes for glazing (climatic zone or indoor/outdoor units, see Fig. [15], [16] and Fig. [17]) should be also determined in standards. Recommended testing temperature for laminated glass should depend on the exposition class of it. Fig. [16] illustrate a bridge for passageway (Gresham Palace, Budapest) with non slippery sand blasted laminated glass in outdoor conditions. Fig. [15] and Fig. [17] illustrate glass slabs (in Sándor and Gresham Palace. Budapest) in indoor conditions without surface treatment.

7 Conclusions

An experimental study was carried out to analyse the effect of tempering and influence of interlayer material and temperature on the structural behaviour of glass pane.

The results of these experiments can be thus summarized:

Before the fracture of glass pane the deflections can be high especially in the case of single glass. Deflections of glass pane should be limited also because of the sealing and watertightness, and not to override the adhesion strength by large deformations of glass panes.

The stresses, compared to surface tension stresses, have shown that in-plane stresses lead earlier to failure than bending stresses, especially in the case of thin glass panes.

By increasing temperature of glass specimens, the measured strains on lower surface increased in both Regions (Region 1, and Region 2). Edge stresses are always about 10% higher than the mid pane stresses. Reaching the maximum value of strains in edge region the fracture occurred, therefore the effect of the edge quality is important on load bearing and durability of glass. The decrease of the edge stresses decreases the load bearing capacity.

The viscoelastic nature of the interlayer material has an effect on the load bearing capacity of the laminate. For changing climate conditions [19] the using of EVA interlayer is recom-
Fig. 13. Effect of temperature on loadbearing capacity of composite (laminate) where on Force-Deflection diagram the symbols mean: E- tempered glass, R- with resin laminated, 2- pieces of glass layers.

Fig. 14. Effect of temperature on loadbearing capacity of composite (laminate) where on Force-Deflection diagram the symbols mean: E- tempered glass, F- with EVA foil laminated, 2- pieces of glass layers.

Fig. 17. Load bearing glass slab in Sándor Palace, calculations of glass and steel construction by K. Pankhardt, in 2001.

mended. The exposition classes for glazing (climatic zone or indoor/outdoor units etc.) should be also determined in cods or standards. Recommended testing temperature for laminated glass specimens should be determined depending on the exposure classes. The shear composite effect should be neglected especially under higher temperature and long-term-loads and when the interlayer tends to creep.

The remaining stability of glass depends also on the interlayer. The maximal load bearing capacity and load bearing capacity after fracture (post-failure behaviour) of the glass should be also obtained when glass is used in „first ordery” structures such as beams, columns, slabs etc. or when it is used in overhead areas like roofs or canopies where high safety demands have to be granted.
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