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#### Abstract

The failure process of concrete is usually described by the relative volume change function. The initial part of this function is constant. Balázs has named the upper limit of the constant part $\sigma_{k a}$ (lower critical stress). His hypothesis is that, until this point is valid the law of linear creep. With the reviewed pre-experiments we examined the validity of this hypothesis. Due to this reason high strength concrete was long-term loaded up to $30 ; 50 ; 62$ and $75 \%$ of the prism strength and the properties of the concrete were examined.

According to the experiment the law of linear creep is probably valid until $0.50 R / R_{c, p r}$ value, but it has to be proved by further experiments.
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## 1. Short Literature Review

Feret [1] has already stated that the compressive strength of concrete is a function of the volume ratio of the constituents and air understood as one of the constituents. The water-cement ratio law of Abrams [2], the water-air-cement factor of Palotás [3] meant one newer one step in taking into consideration the structure of concrete more precisely. 30 years ago Ujhelyi and Balázs realized the significance of the paste saturation at the same time. UJHELYI based his concrete design method on this, while under the leadership of BaLÁzs [5], the young scientists of Department of Building Materials at the Technical University of Budapest were doing a research about the connection between the paste saturation and the properties of concrete.

Part of the cement paste covers the surface of the particles with a thin layer while the other part fills the gaps between the particles. In the case the paste is just enough to cover the surface of the particles and to fill the gaps, then the concrete is cement paste saturated. If the cement paste is less, then the concrete is undersaturated, in any other case it is over-saturated.

For designing the concrete to be paste saturated we must know inevitably the gap volume of the aggregate. For concretes with natural sandy gravel aggregate
(MSA=8-63 mm) UjHELYi, for sand concretes (MSA=1-4 mm) Thanh [6], [10], [11] and for crushed aggregates Zsigovics [7] introduced formulas to determine the gap volume of the aggregates.

Thanh [10] described the paste content, filling the gap volume as the theoretical paste saturation $\left(V_{p . t h}\right)$, and the optimal paste content regarding the strength of concrete as the practical paste saturation $\left(V_{p, p r}\right)$. During the researches made with mortars, the gap volume of the aggregate structure (theoretical paste saturation) was determined. It was shown that for the Semi Plastic and Plastic sand concrete the water demand of the aggregate can be expressed by the formula of:

$$
w_{a}=3.4 \sqrt{S}+w_{0} ;(\mathrm{m} \%),
$$

where:
$S \quad$ specific surface of the aggregate $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{kg}\right)$,
$w_{0}$ water demand of aggregate, in case of natural sandy gravel aggregate it can be taken approximately as $w_{0}=2.0 \mathrm{~m} \%$, if the particle grading is continuous $w_{0}=1.5 \mathrm{~m} \%$, if the particle grading is gradual.
Thanh [10] has also determined that the paste demand between the theoretical and practical paste saturation decreases with the increase of MSA that is with the decrease of the specific surface of sand.

For crushed andesites Zsigovics [3] has found that for their water demand the formulas introduced for river sandy gravel by UJhelyi [8] can be used successfully.

BALÁzs [9] has verified the importance of paste-saturated concrete by several experiments.

During further experiments on prisms under compression we determined the stress-strain diagrams ( $\sigma-\varepsilon_{x}$ ) in the direction of the load and $\left(\sigma-\varepsilon_{y}\right)$ orthogonal to the load and calculated the stress-specific volume change diagram. Three basically different types of this can be differentiated (Figure 1):

1. type: following an initial linear compression the concrete's density increases and the crumbling process starts.
2. type: following an initial linear compression of the concrete, directly the crumbling process follows.
3. type: following an initial linear compression of the concrete it fails after the first slow, then a quick density increase process.

The applied measuring method and the internal stresses of unloaded specimen mostly can make trouble in the characters of fracture mechanism process. We eliminated this own stress of unloaded specimen with loading - de-loading process (Figure 2).
a) The initial values of $\Delta V$ are $1.25-4.40$.
b) The beginning of the volume decrease $(0.2-0.5) \sigma / R_{c p r}$.
c) The place of $\Delta V=0(0.6-0.9) \sigma / R_{\text {cpr }}$.


Fig. 1. The basic types of $\sigma / R_{c, p r}-\Delta V$ curves

On the Figure 1 of the maximum value the 1 . type graph is called $\sigma_{k}$ by professor Rusch. Here begins the rapid failure of concrete and equals the longterm strength. The beginning of the volume change was named by Balázs $\sigma_{k a}$. According to his hypothesis the law of linear creep is valid until this point.

In the region of paste-saturated concretes prepared using aggregate following the A16 curve (max. 20-25 $1 / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ over-saturation) $\sigma_{k a}$ is:
in case of curing under water, ca. $0.8 \sigma / R_{\text {cpr }}$,
in case of curing in laboratory air, ca. $0.6 \sigma / R_{c p r}$,
in case of dried concrete, ca. $0.6 \sigma / R_{\text {cpr }}$.

## 2. The Description of the Experiment

With the investigation, which we considered as a preliminary experiment, our aim was to estimate the limit of long-term loading until which the law of linear creep is valid (creep is proportional to the loading stress).

We prepared a high strength, paste-saturated concrete. At this time we also investigated how the stress vs. strain properties of young ( $2-3$ days old) concrete will change under long-term loading. The knowledge of this is very important in case of some up to date technologies (e.g. bridge construction by free cantilever method by natural hardening).

The cement was 450R pc from Vác (new name: CEM I 42.5). Mineral composition:

AM: $\quad 1.55 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \quad 45.20 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\beta \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ : $\quad 25.69 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~A}: \quad 8.55 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{AF}: \quad 10.80 \mathrm{~m} \%$
The standard physical properties of the cement:
Water demand: Water-cement ratio $0.287 \mathrm{~m} \%$
Beginning of set: $\quad 2$ hours 20 minutes
End of setting: 4 hours 20 minutes
Sieve residue
Retained on the 0.2 mm sieve: $\quad 0.05 \mathrm{~m} \%$
Retained on the 0.09 mm sieve: $\quad 1.25 \mathrm{~m} \%$
Specific surface: $\quad 345.2 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{kg}$
Density: $\quad 3.172 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$
Soundness by boiling: acceptable
The standard strength of cement is given in Table 1.

Table 1. The standard strength of cement

| Age in days | Average bending-tensile <br> strength | Average compressive <br> strength |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MPa | MPa |
| 1 | 4.68 | 17.2 |
| 3 | 7.40 | 26.5 |
| 7 | 8.62 | 34.0 |
| 28 | 9.34 | 47.3 |

The aggregate was washed, dried, sandy gravel from River Danube, separated by fractions, the particle size distribution curve of which was between the A16-B16 boundary curves.

The gap volume of the aggregate skeleton was determined as follows:
For the determination of the gap volume we used a cylinder of $\emptyset 150 \times 300 \mathrm{~mm}$. We mixed the dried aggregate fractions $(0 / 1 ; 1 / 4 ; 4 / 8 ; 8 / 16)$ in the percentage ratios given by the experimental aggregate grading boundary curve. Then with a moisture content of $2,4,6,8$ volume\% determined the bulk density of the mixture. We plotted a graph of bulk density against moisture content and determined the water demand belonging to the optimal vibrating time (compaction).

We considered the water keeping and water demand of the examined material optimal, when - following compaction - the moisture content was the same for the
samples taken from the top, the middle and the bottom of the cylinder. Knowing the bulk density we calculated the gap volume of the aggregate as follows:

$$
V_{h}=1-\rho_{h} / \rho_{a}=1-t_{a}
$$

where:
$V_{h}$ is the gap volume,
$t_{a}$ is the solidity of the aggregate,
$\rho_{a}$ is the density of the aggregate,
$\rho_{h} \quad$ is the bulk density.
By this method the gap volume of the experimental aggregate was about $240 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (this was expressed by THANH [6] as theoretical paste saturation $V_{p, t h}$ ), based on the results of our earlier experiments we estimated the practical paste saturation to be $V_{p, p r} 280 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (paste excess $40 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ). We have put this much paste into the concrete.

We used the plasticizer CHEM 611 LK distributed by CHEM BETON Ltd. in a $40 \%$ solution and $4 \mathrm{~m} \%$ dose. We obtained silica powder from the Zagyvaróna Alloy factory.

The properties of the silica powder:
Physical properties:
Specific surface according to Blaine: $2000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{kg}$
Density: $\quad 2.29 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{l}$
Chemical composition:
Loss of glow: $\quad 1.08 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ : $\quad 94.7 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{CaO}: \quad 0.62 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{MgO}: \quad 0.22 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \quad 0.51 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \quad 0.38 \mathrm{~m} \%$
$\mathrm{SO}_{3}: \quad 1.28 \mathrm{~m} \%$

Constituents of concrete:

| Cement (from the factory Vác) $450 \mathrm{Rpc}:$ | $460.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water: | $110.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Admixture (CHEM 611 LK): | $28.4(4 \mathrm{~m} \%)$ |
| Silica powder: (Elkem 940 UH): | $46.0(10 \mathrm{~m} \%)$ |
| Aggregate: |  |
| 0/1 fraction (25\%): | $464 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| 1/4 fraction (22\%): | $408 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $4 / 8$ fraction (20\%): | $371 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| 8/16 fraction (33\%): | $612 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| $\rho$ fresh concrete: | $2490 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| water-cement ratio: | $x=0.4$ |
| water-(cement+silica powder) ratio: | 0.25 |

Table 2. Properties of fresh concrete

| Series | Consistence |  |  | Pieces of specimens, pcs. |  | Density of fresh concrete |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $K_{t}$ | $k_{r}$ | $k_{C F}$ | Cube | Prism |  |
|  | cm | mm | - | $150 \times 150 \times 150$ | $120 \times 120 \times 360$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| 1 | 37 | 125 | 0.910 | 6 | 5 | 2450 |
| 2 | 36 | 98 | 0.850 | 12 | 5 | 2456 |
| 3 | 38 | 147 | 0.935 | 13 | 5 | 2448 |
| 4 | 39 | 195 | 0.962 | 14 | 5 | 2444 |
| 5 | 36 | 195 | 0.914 | 14 | 5 | 2427 |
| average | 37 | 152 | 0.914 | Total: 59 | Total: 25 | 2445 |

We prepared the concrete mixture in DZ 50 type concrete mixer. At first the dry materials were mixed for 1 minute, then about $80 \%$ of the mixing water was added and mixed for a further 1 minute and finally added the admixture diluted with the remaining water and a further 1.5 minute mixing was applied.

At first we determined the properties of the fresh concrete (Table 2), then prepared the necessary sample specimens. In the table $k_{t}$ is the value of spread, $k_{r}$ is the slump value and $k_{C F}$ is the compacting factor. With the water-cement ratio and the admixture we set the consistence to semi plastic.

Table 3. Designed and real values of load levels

| Sign | Load level, $\sigma / R_{c r p} ;(\%)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Designed value | Real value |
| 1 | 70 | 75.7 |
| 2 | 60 | 62.5 |
| 3 | 50 | 50.0 |
| 4 | 30 | 30.3 |

Using the cubes of $150 \times 150 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ we prepared, we determined the compressive strength of the concrete at the ages of $1,2,3,7,14,28,91$ and 141 days. On the prisms of $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ at the age of 2 days when starting the long-term loading and later at the age of 28 and 147 days we determined the prism strength, the modulus of elasticity and the volume change function. The remaining $2-2$ prisms were put into the long-term loading device (spring instrument) to determine the creep factor and to examine the shrinkage.

The designed and real long-term loadings compared to the prism strength we gave in Table 3.


Fig. 2. Drawing and calculation of modulus of elasticity

## 3. Results of the Examination and Evaluation of the Results

### 3.1. Cube Strength

Following the removal of shuttering from the age of 1 day we kept the cubes under water until the time of examination. We tested cube compressive strength using Amsler 5000 kN instrument at the ages of 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 28, 91 and 141 days.

According to the results of the examination following the age of 28 days the concrete practically had no post-hardening. This is partially due to the used rapid cement and partially due to the under-water curing. The average cube strength at the age of 2 days was 67.5 MPa while at the age of 28 days 96.1 MPa .

### 3.2. Failure Process (Prisms Which Were Not Loaded Previously)

The prisms of $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ following the removal of the shuttering we kept for 2 days under water then at normal laboratory ambient conditions until the time


Fig. 3. Construction of $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ function
of testing. We determined the prism strength and other failure factors at the age of 2,28 , and 147 days on prisms which were not loaded before. The examination results of the 2 days concrete are in Table 4 while the 147 days results are given in Table 5.

On these samples we determined the mechanism of failure, the initial ( $E_{0}=$ zero starting point) modulus of elasticity and the modulus of elasticity after unloading $\left(E_{e}\right)$. We carried out the loading in such a way that we unloaded from about $30,50,62$ and $75 \%$ of the estimated prism strength. The unloading procedure was as follows: we loaded to the estimated level five times and kept it for about 10 minutes each. Following that we loaded the specimen till failure excluding the remaining deformation. The tangent of the last graph gives the modulus of elasticity $\left(E_{e}\right)$. An example is shown on Figure 4.

Figure 2 also shows the calculation method of initial modulus of elasticity. The $E_{0}$ initial modulus of elasticity was calculated from the wrapping curve of the force-strain diagram, the $E_{e}$ modulus of elasticity was determined from the curve of loading following the last unloading. From now we call $E_{0}$ and $E_{e}$ as the modulus before unloading and after unloading.

During the examination on the two opposite plain surfaces of the $120 \times 120 \times$ 360 mm prisms at the half of the height we placed D32 type 100 mm , and 80 mm base length induction elongation measuring devices. We draw the $\sigma_{x}-\varepsilon_{x}$ and $\sigma_{x}-\varepsilon_{y}$ functions using an $x-y-y^{\prime}$ plotter for short-term static load. Together with

Table 4. The results of $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ unloaded prisms at the age of 2 days

| The properties belonging to $\sigma / R_{c p r}$ unloading levels. Age: 2 days |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Properties | 30.3\% | 50\% | 62.5\% | 75.7\% |
| $R_{\text {c.pr. }}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 51.01 | 52.77 | 53.37 | 54.55 |
|  | 52.61 | 53.20 | 54.32 | 54.22 |
|  | 54.21 | 53.20 | 55.28 | 53.89 |
| $E_{0}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 38940 | 38830 | 37453 | 35806 |
|  | 39275 | 37548 | 37401 | 35305 |
|  | 39609 | 36267 | 37350 | 34803 |
| $E_{e}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 38940 | 36267 | 37453 | 34350 |
|  | 37452 | 37549 | 35968 | 34785 |
|  | 35965 | 38830 | 34482 | 35221 |
| $\varepsilon_{r}(\% \mathrm{o})$ | 0.393 | 0.727 | 0.891 | 1.202 |
|  | 0.423 | 0.704 | 0.946 | 1.180 |
|  | 0.453 | 0.680 | 1.002 | 1.158 |
| $\varepsilon_{x}(\% \mathrm{o})$ | 1.770 | 2.110 | 2.000 | 1.83 |
|  | 1.785 | 1.905 | 2.000 | 1.88 |
|  | 1.800 | 1.700 | 2.000 | 1.83 |
| $\varepsilon_{y}(\% \mathrm{o})$ | - | - | 0.550 | 0.525 |
|  | - | 0.568 | 0.575 | 0.563 |
|  | - | 0.568 | 0.600 | 0.600 |
| $\mu_{0.5}$ | - | - | 0.164 | 0.189 |
|  | - | 0.158 | 0.172 | 0.187 |
|  | - | 0.158 | 0.180 | 0.185 |
| $\Delta V_{\text {initial }}$ value$\left(\cdot 10^{-5}\right)$ | - | 10.25 | 10.25 | 9.50 |
|  | - | 10.25 | 10.00 | 9.75 |
|  | - | - | 9.75 | 10.00 |
| $\sigma_{k a}$ | - | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.45 |
|  | - | 0.50 | 0.55 | 0.45 |
|  | - | - | 0.60 | 0.45 |
| $\sigma_{k}$ | - | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.80 |
|  | - | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.80 |
|  | - | - | 0.90 | 0.80 |
| Type of $\Delta V$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

the cycles of loading-de-loading the time needed for failure was about 30 minutes.
We have divided the stress-strain diagrams to parts corresponding to $\Delta R=$ $0.1 \times R_{c, p r}$ (Figure 3), then calculated according to BÉRES [2] with correct $\pm$ sign in every point where the values of the relative volume changes using the formula:

$$
\Delta V=\Delta V_{e} / \Delta R=\left(\Delta \varepsilon_{x}-2 \Delta \varepsilon_{y}\right) / \Delta R
$$

The values calculated we plotted in the $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ coordinate system and connected the points with a continuous line, obtaining the $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ functions.

Table 5. The results of $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ de-loaded prisms at the age of 147 days

| The properties belonging to $\sigma / R_{\text {cpr }}$ unloading levels. Age: 147 days |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Properties | 30.3\% | 50.0\% | 62.5\% | 75.7\% |
| $R_{c . p r .}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 89,58 | 83.33 | 86.81 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 90.28 & \\ 92.36 & 91.32 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $E_{0}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 45017 | 44803 | 44745 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 43403 & \\ & 45025 \\ 46647 & \end{array}$ |
| $E_{e}(\mathrm{MPa})$ | 45017 | 44803 | 44745 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 43403 & \\ 46647 & 45025 \end{array}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{e}(\% \mathrm{o})$ | 0.663 | 0.930 | 1.213 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 1.575 & \\ & 1.537 \\ 1.499 & \end{array}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{x}(\% \mathrm{o})$ | 2.270 | 2.100 | 2.160 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 2.200 & \\ 2.180 & 2.190 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\varepsilon_{y}(\% \mathrm{o})$ | 0.455 | 0.495 | 0.385 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.455 & \\ & 0.463 \\ 0.470 & \end{array}$ |
| $\mu_{0.5}$ | 0.138 | 0.167 | 0.145 | 0.150  <br> 0.170 0.160 <br>   |
| $\Delta V_{\text {initial value }}\left(\cdot 10^{-5}\right)$ | 14.50 | 12.00 | 13.50 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 13.60 & \\ 14.00 & 13.80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| $\sigma_{k a}$ | 0.40 | 0.50 | 0.60 | 0.70  <br> 0.80 0.75 |
| $\sigma_{k}$ | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.90 | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.90 & \\ & 0.90 \\ 0.90 & \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Type of $\Delta V$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

The characteristic points of the functions are shown in Figure 1.
The shape of $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ function greatly depends on the structure of the concrete and the magnitude of strength. In our case the characteristic form of the function is according to the ' 1 ' type in Figure 1. We have summarized the characteristic values of the function in Tables 4-5.


Fig. 4. The test results of previously unloaded prisms as a function of loading levels. a) Age: 2 days; b) Age: 147 days

## Results of the Examination

a) The strength of the de-loaded prisms compared to the cube compressive strength is surprisingly big. In case of high strength concretes the assumed 3/4 ratio seems not valid and the ratio also depends on age.
Age of concrete: $\quad 2 \quad 28 \quad 147$ days
Average prism strength: $\quad 53.6 \quad 82.4 \quad 87.7 \mathrm{MPa}$
Average cube strength: $\quad \begin{array}{llll}67.5 & 96.1 & 97.2 \mathrm{MPa}\end{array}$
Ratio:
$79.4 \quad 85.4 \quad 90.3$
b) We gave the values of strength, initial and unloaded modulus of elasticity and


Fig. 5.


Fig. 6.
characteristic values of $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ function with its nature of the prisms examined at the age of 2, 28, 147 days in Tables 4-5 and on Figs 4-7.

- We de-loaded from higher level we obtained lower values for the modulus of elasticity, while the prism strength was nearly the same.
- The elastic deformations increased linearly by increasing the load levels.
- As the age increased so decreased the difference between the initial and de-loaded modulus of elasticity belonging to $\sigma$ stress.
- The nature of $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ function is of type ' 1 ' independently from the magnitude of the de-loading level. The initial value of the function


Fig. 7.
increased by the age of concrete. The magnitude of the constant part increased linearly with the age of concrete.

- The Poisson factor can be taken as a constant until 0.5 related stress value. Its value decreased with the increase of the age of concrete.


### 3.3. Shrinkage and Long-term Deformation

We determined the long-term deformation of concrete in spring equipment. The examination was prepared as follows: We determined the strength of 2-2 places of 2 days old $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ prism ( $R_{c, p r}$ ), the modulus of elasticity ( $E_{0}$ and $E_{e}$ ) and the failure-mechanical characteristics at the stress levels of $\sigma / R_{, p r}=0.3$; $0.5 ; 0.6$ and 0.75 . Following this we loaded in the springed instrument the two prisms - from identical series - with stress according to the above. The shrinkage of the concrete we measured by so-called one hundredths mm sensitive mechanical measuring clocks. The elongated measuring shafts of the clocks we fixed into one of the two measuring cams prepared for this purpose. The cams were glued in pairs to two smooth opposite sides of the prisms at a distance of about 210 mm adjusted to the half of the height in such a way that the measuring shaft is just touching the other cam. Following the loading we repeated the measurement at the age of 2,3 , $4,5,6$ and 7 days later once or twice weekly.

Together with the long-term deformation we also measured the shrinkage of the same concrete. The long-term loading was terminated at the age of 147 days (21 weeks). Just after dismantling the spring device we determined the strength, the modulus of elasticity, the characteristics of the deformation and the characteristic values of the $\Delta V-\sigma / R_{c, p r}$ function of the prisms (Table $\sigma$ ).

Table 6. The results of $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ prisms following the long-term loading


We processed the results of the long-term testing to the results of the previously unloaded prisms. For comparison purposes we show together the properties of the previously unloaded and the long-term loaded prisms on Figure 5. At the age of 147 days of the concrete we checked the actual loading stresses in the spring devices (Table 7).

In Table 8 we give an example for the results of the long-term loading experiments. We calculated the deformation arising from creep in such a way that from the value of total deformation at a given time we subtracted elastic deformation - calculated with the formula $\varepsilon_{e}=\sigma / E_{e}$ - and the shrinkage occurred from the time of loading till the measurement. We have characterized the creep with the creep factor $(\varphi)$. By the creep factor we mean the quotient of at this given time the

Table 7. Actual loading stress value to the prism strength

| Serial Number | Loading stress |  | Decrease <br> of long-term <br> loading, $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | At age of <br> 2 days, MPa | At age of <br> 147 days, MPa |  39.8   <br> 2 40.0 31.9 94.8 <br> 3 33.0 31.3 86.7 <br> 4 26.4 22.9 100.0 l |

measured creep $\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right)$ and at the time of loading the measured (calculated) elastic deformation $\left(\varepsilon_{e}\right)$.

Conclusions derived from the result of the experiment:

- The strength of the long-term loaded concrete by less than $60 \%$ of the prism strength is $10 \%$ higher than the unloaded prisms. We explain this by the densening under the load.
- There is no difference in modulus of elasticity of the loaded prisms [initial $\left(E_{0}\right)$ and after de-loading $\left.\left(E_{e}\right)\right]$. This means that long-term loading eliminated the remaining deformation.

Date of Figure 5

| Loading | $R_{\text {cpr.o }}$ | $R_{\text {cpr.t }}$ | $E_{\text {eo }}$ | $E_{\text {et }}$ | $\varepsilon_{\text {eo }}$ | $\varepsilon_{e t}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Levels $\%$ | MPa | MPa | GPa | GPa | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 30.30 | 89.58 | 97.89 | 45.02 | 48.39 | 0.66 | 0.61 |
| 50.00 | 83.33 | 84.03 | 44.80 | 44.27 | 0.93 | 0.95 |
| 62.50 | 86.81 | 92.88 | 44.75 | 44.02 | 1.21 | 1.28 |
| 75.70 | 91.32 | 87.50 | 45.03 | 41.67 | 1.54 | 1.59 |

Date of Figure 6

| Loading <br> Levels \% | Creep factor $\varphi$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7 days | 14 days | 28 days | 56 days | 91 days | 147 days |  |
| 30.30 | 0.529 | 0.548 | 0.398 | 0.299 | 0.478 | 0.294 |  |
| 50.00 | 1.328 | 1.095 | 1.168 | 1.123 | 0.988 | 0.915 |  |
| 62.50 | 1.107 | 1.197 | 1.148 | 1.319 | 1.176 | 0.971 |  |
| 75.70 | 1.234 | 1.399 | 1.362 | 1.466 | 1.239 | 1.122 |  |

- Examining the load levels we can experience that the magnitude of the modulus of elasticity until $62.5 \%$ was equal to the ones of the previously unloaded prisms (Table 8) but at the $75.7 \%$ level it was about $8 \%$ less than the long-term loaded prisms.

Table 8. Results of long-term deformation measurements: Actual loading level 75.7\%

| Age | Sign of samples |  |  | Shrinkage |  |  | Creep |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $V / 1$ | $\Delta_{l}$ | $\Delta_{l}$ | $\varepsilon_{t}$ | $\varepsilon_{t}$ | $\varepsilon_{t}$ | $\Delta_{l}$ | $\varepsilon_{s h r}$ | $\varepsilon_{c r}$ |
|  | $2^{*}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 2 | 0.445 | 0.410 | 1.894 | 1.673 | 1.784 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.604 | 0.511 |
| 3 | 0.565 | 0.510 | 2.404 | 2.082 | 2.243 | 0.020 | 0.056 | 1.007 | 0.854 |
| 6 | 0.685 | 0.605 | 2.915 | 2.469 | 2.692 | 0.050 | 0.139 | 1.373 | 1.164 |
| 7 | 0.705 | 0.625 | 3.000 | 2.551 | 2.776 | 0.050 | 0.139 | 1.457 | 1.234 |
| 8 | 0.720 | 0.635 | 3.064 | 2.592 | 2.828 | 0.060 | 0.167 | 1.481 | 1.255 |
| 9 | 0.735 | 0.640 | 3.128 | 2.612 | 2.870 | 0.060 | 0.167 | 1.523 | 1.291 |
| 13 | 0.765 | 0.680 | 3.255 | 2.776 | 3.015 | 0.070 | 0.194 | 1.641 | 1.391 |
| 14 | 0.765 | 0.685 | 3.255 | 2.796 | 3.026 | 0.070 | 0.194 | 1.651 | 1.399 |
| 15 | 0.775 | 0.695 | 3.298 | 2.837 | 3.067 | 0.080 | 0.222 | 1.665 | 1.411 |
| 16 | 0.780 | 0.695 | 3.319 | 2.837 | 3.078 | 0.080 | 0.222 | 1.676 | 1.420 |
| 18 | 0.780 | 0.700 | 3.319 | 2.857 | 3.088 | 0.090 | 0.250 | 1.658 | 1.405 |
| 22 | 0.785 | 0.705 | 3.340 | 2.878 | 3.109 | 0.090 | 0.250 | 1.679 | 1.423 |
| 24 | 0.800 | 0.710 | 3.404 | 2.898 | 3.151 | 0.090 | 0.250 | 1.721 | 1.459 |
| 28 | 0.820 | 0.715 | 3.489 | 2.918 | 3.204 | 0.150 | 0.417 | 1.607 | 1.362 |
| 30 | 0.820 | 0.735 | 3.489 | 3.000 | 3.245 | 0.140 | 0.389 | 1.676 | 1.420 |
| 34 | 0.820 | 0.745 | 3.489 | 3.041 | 3.265 | 0.130 | 0.361 | 1.724 | 1.461 |
| 41 | 0.820 | 0.755 | 3.489 | 3.082 | 3.285 | 0.120 | 0.333 | 1.772 | 1.502 |
| 51 | 0.825 | 0.765 | 3.511 | 3.122 | 3.317 | 0.110 | 0.306 | 1.831 | 1.552 |
| 58 | 0.825 | 0.770 | 3.511 | 3.143 | 3.327 | 0.150 | 0.417 | 1.730 | 1.466 |
| 69 | 0.825 | 0.770 | 3.511 | 3.143 | 3.327 | 0.170 | 0.472 | 1.675 | 1.419 |
| 77 | 0.825 | 0.785 | 3.511 | 3.204 | 3.357 | 0.200 | 0.556 | 1.622 | 1.374 |
| 85 | 0.825 | 0.785 | 3.511 | 3.204 | 3.357 | 0.240 | 0.667 | 1.511 | 1.280 |
| 98 | 0.835 | 0.805 | 3.553 | 3.286 | 3.419 | 0.280 | 0.778 | 1.462 | 1.239 |
| 107 | 0.840 | 0.807 | 3.574 | 3.294 | 3.434 | 0.300 | 0.833 | 1.421 | 1.204 |
| 119 | 0.845 | 0.814 | 3.596 | 3.449 | 3.522 | 0.320 | 0.889 | 1.453 | 1.232 |
| 127 | 0.846 | 0.817 | 3.600 | 3.335 | 3.467 | 0.340 | 0.944 | 1.343 | 1.138 |
| 133 | 0.850 | 0.817 | 3.617 | 3.335 | 3.476 | 0.340 | 0.944 | 1.351 | 1.145 |
| 147 | 0.850 | 0.817 | 3.617 | 3.335 | 3.476 | 0.350 | 0.972 | 1.324 | 1.122 |

Where: 2* before long-term loading,
Elastic deformation: $\varepsilon_{e}=1.180 \%$ o
Remaining deformation: $\varepsilon_{m}=0.604 \% \mathrm{o}$
$\varepsilon_{c r}=\varepsilon_{t}-\varepsilon_{e}-\varepsilon_{s h r}, \quad \varphi=\varepsilon_{c r} / \varepsilon_{e}$
base length: $l_{0}(I I / 1)=235 \mathrm{~mm}$,
base length: $l_{0}(I I / 4)=245 \mathrm{~mm}$

- The measured elastic deformation has increased linearly to the different loading and de-loading levels (Table 9).

Table 9. Elastic deformation is proportional to the loading level

| Load levels | $30 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $62.5 \%$ | $75.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| their ratio: | 1.00 | 1.67 | 2.08 | 2.52 |
| Elastic deformation | $0.613 \%$ | $0.950 \%$ | $1.282 \%$ | $1.590 \%$ |
| their ratio: | 1.00 | 1.55 | 2.09 | 2.59 |

- The value of the total longitudinal deformation $\left(\varepsilon_{x}\right)$ belonging to failure in both the unloaded and the long-term loaded case of the prisms was $2-2.1 \%$. However, the orthogonal deformation was $0.55-0.75 \%$ in case of the longterm loaded prisms. This is $20-30 \%$ bigger than the unloaded prisms. The effect of this is experienced at lower level (instead of $0.60-0.80$ between $0.45-0.50)$ and $\sigma_{k a}$ will occur.
- The long-term loading until the $50 \%$ load level has increased the value of Poisson factor $\left(\mu_{0.5}\right)$ by $5-10 \%$ comparing to the unloaded prisms.
- The stress level, causing failure ( $\varepsilon_{k}$ ) both in the long-term loaded and unloaded prisms case was the same (about 0.80).
- In case of all 4 series the shrinkage value of the same mixture but different mixing time has reached the level of $1 \%$ o.
- In Figure 6 we illustrate the creep factors against the load levels at the age of $7,14,28,56,91$ and 147 days. We can experience that the creep factor will deviate over the $50 \%$ load from the linear function. It is also an experience from our experiments that in following experiments we must place one more step between the $30-50 \%$ load levels.
- Figure 7 shows a decreasing tendency of the modulus of elasticity by the increase of load levels, which can with a good approximation be expressed as a linear function.


## 4. Summary

We have prepared high strength concrete and we examined the strengthening process using cubes. Then the $120 \times 120 \times 360 \mathrm{~mm}$ prisms at the age of 2 days were long-term loaded to about $30,50,62$ and $75 \%$ of their prism strength and the creep factor was determined. Further we have determined the prism strength, the initial $\left(E_{0}\right)$ and the $\left(E_{e}\right)$ modulus of elasticity the latter of which belongs to load levels, the elastic deformation $\left(e_{e}\right)$, Poisson factor $\left(\mu_{0.5}\right)$, the diagram of volume change and its significant values of both the previously unloaded and following unloaded in case of the long-term loaded prisms.

The strength of the prisms long-term loaded by less than $60 \%$ stress - due to densening - has increased by $5-10 \%$.

The failure value $\sigma_{k}=0.8$ in case of both the long-term loaded and the unloaded prisms. By the increase of the age, the value of $e \sigma_{k a}$ increased. The
long-term loading decreased the value of $\sigma_{k a}$ to $0.35-0.5$. The creep factor proportionally increases until about $0.5 \sigma / R_{c, p r}$ value with the loading stress, so most probably until $\sigma_{k a}$ is valid the law of linear creep, but this hypothesis must be proved by further experiments.
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