Rapid Stabilization of Sands with Deep Mixing Method Using Polyester
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1 Introduction

Rapid stabilization of weak soils is one of the important and current topics in geotechnical researches such as military application and stabilization of landslides. Since World War II, the military has sought methods for rapid stabilization of weak soils in order to support its missions worldwide. Over the past 60 years, cement and lime have consistently been found to be among the most effective stabilizers for road and airfield applications (i.e., contingency airfield construction). However, recent developments on soil stabilization show the usability of polymeric materials [1]. Rapid stabilization is also needed for landslides and embankments applications. While many researches are focused on standard deep mixing applications, there is a limited number of studies in the literature on the rapid stabilization of soils with deep mixing. The developments show that the construction period can be accelerated in deep mixing applications where rapid stabilization is possible in a short time [2].

Deep mixing method is generally used for slope stability, embankment supports, hydraulic cut-off walls, excavation support walls, liquefaction mitigation, environmental remediation, in situ reinforcement and large volume ground treatment applications [3–6]. Deep mixing involves in-situ mixing of soil and binder materials with special equipment, frequently using rigs with counter rotating augers [7]. Lime and cement have been used as the primary binder material in deep mixing applications since 1970s, which have been extensively used worldwide. Commonly, two different techniques are utilized; column installation and slurry pressure injection. These techniques decrease soil moisture contents thereby reducing shrinkage/swelling and enhancing strength and compaction properties [3,4,8–12].

The binder type and its ratio are the two important factors affecting deep mixing performance. A great number of experimental studies dealing with the effects of binder type and ratio on deep mixing method are available in the literature. Some of these studies focused on water/cement-lime (w/c) ratio [13,31] and others dealt with curing period [32,33]. Most of the researchers pointed out that the unconfined compressive strength (UCS) increased when the w/c ratio and curing time were increased.
When compared to cement or lime, there is a limited number of studies in the literature on the utilization of waste materials in the improvement of soils using deep mixing method [34–36]. Silica fume, fly ash and slag are generally used with or without cement in deep mixing applications. The fly ash based mixture represents a cost effective alternative to conventional cement based mixture commonly in use. However, some previous research studies in this area demonstrated that many soil additives had little or no benefit for silty and sandy soil types. Sandy soils are problematic soils for stabilization and often require cement, asphalt emulsion or resins to bind the soil particles into a cohesive unit [37,38]. Therefore, some researchers focused on the stabilization of soils with polymers [39–45]. Although polymers are widely used in the industry, they are rarely used in soil stabilization applications. In this respect, some commercially available and non-traditional soil stabilization additives can be used as soil stabilization binder, such as emulsions, acids, lignin derivatives, enzymes, tree resins, and silicates. These binders may be in liquid or solid form and can be applicable for most soils [38].

Polyester is defined as condensation or step-growth polymers containing in-chain ester units as their essential polymer-forming chain linkage [46]. Polyester has 18% market share of all plastic materials and is widely used as thermoset plastic, fibre and fabric in many industries such as auto and marine body parts, building panels, clothing, carpet, furniture, etc. Thus remarkable researches have been done on polymer science [46,47]. However, very limited information has been reported on the concrete technology [48–51] and soil stabilization [52,53].

Loose sandy soils possess great potential of stability problems, such as landslides and liquefaction. Hence, studies on rapid stabilization of sandy soils with deep mixing method using polymers are important for geotechnical knowledge and practice. The present study was undertaken to investigate the effects of polyester on unconfined compressive strength and freeze-thaw properties of sandy soils at two different relative densities (i.e., 40% and 60%) and five different curing periods (i.e. 3 hour, 1 day, 3 days, 7 days and 28 days). In the experimental study, sand was mixed with polyester (i.e. 10%, 20% and 30% by dry weight of sand). Some of the tests were repeated for three times to assure the repeatability of the results.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

Natural sand was gathered from a sandy area near Erzincan-Turkey. Three different sandy soils were prepared at sieve ranges of 1.18 mm-2.00 mm (i.e. S1), 0.6 mm-1.18 mm (i.e. S2) and 0.3 mm-0.6 mm (i.e. S3) for experiments. The geotechnical properties and grain size distributions of sandy soils are given in Table 2 and Fig. 1, respectively. In the experiments, unsaturated polyester (casting type), Cobalt Naphthenate and Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide (MEKP) produced by Dewilux (Turkey) were used. Cobalt Naphthenate was used as accelerator, whereas, MEKP was used as catalyst. Some physical and chemical properties of mentioned chemicals obtained from manufactures are given in Table 3. Some previous studies indicated that Cobalt Naphthenate and MEKP should be used at the ratios of 2% and 0.4% (by the weight of polyester), respectively [52,53].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>S3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil classification (USCS)</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>SP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity, $G_s$</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max void ratio, $e_{max}$</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min void ratio, $e_{min}$</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{10}$, mm</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{50}$, mm</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{90}$, mm</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_v$</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_s$</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Grain size distributions of sandy soils

2.2 Specimen Preparation and Testing

Polyester mixture was prepared by adding 2% Cobalt Naphthenate as accelerator and 0.4% MEKP as catalyst. Firstly, polyester and accelerator were mixed for a minute by hand and then catalyst was added and mixed for a minute by hand. Subsequently, the prepared polyester mixture was added to sand and mixed for 5 minutes using a 150 rpm mechanical mixer. It should be noted that prepared “polyester mixtures” is named as “polyester” in the following sections. In the experiments, sand was mixed with polyester at the ratios of 10%, 20% and 30% by dry weight of sand. Test samples were prepared in accordance with the procedures described in JGS 0821 [54] and EuroSoilStab [55]. The prepared samples were then placed into metal cylinder moulds (i.e. each 38 mm in diameter and 76 mm in height) in three layers for achieving required relative densities. Inner sides of the moulds were lubricated to make the extrusion of the samples easier. In order to remove air bubbles, moulds were slightly vibrated. Prepared samples were cured in the moisture room where the temperature was kept at 20±3°C and relative humidity was 90%. Curing periods of 3 hour, 1 day,
Tab. 2. Some properties of polyester and chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Polyester</th>
<th>Accelerator</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Polyethylene terephthalate</td>
<td>Cobalt(II) naphthenate</td>
<td>2-Hydroperoxy-2-(2-hydroperoxybutan-2-yl)peroxybutane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>$\left(C_{10}H_8O_4\right)_n$</td>
<td>$C_{22}H_{22}O_4$</td>
<td>$C_8H_8O_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Colorless</td>
<td>Bluish-red</td>
<td>Water white</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid Ratio</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>6% ($Co^{2+}$)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Gravity</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 days, 7 days and 28 days were determined to study the effect of curing periods on strength. The effect of relative density was also investigated. For this reason, samples were prepared at 40% and 60% relative densities. At the end of each curing period, they were exposed to unconfined compression strength (UCS) test. UCS tests were conducted according to ASTM D 2166. The testing load was applied at a rate of 0.5 mm/minute.

The freeze–thaw tests were performed by a programmable cabinet. It should be mentioned that only the 28 days cured samples were exposed to freeze-thaw test. The samples were placed in to the cabinet and conditioned at $-20^\circ C$ for 6 hours. Then, they were thawed at $+25^\circ C$ for 6 hours [56]. This process was named as “one cycle”. All specimens were exposed to 20 freeze-thaw cycles.

3 Results and Discussion

In the following section, the effects of polyester ratio, curing periods and freeze-thaw effect on the unconfined compressive strength for sand samples are presented. Additionally, the findings from the experimental tests are compared with those from other studies in the literature and discussed.

3.1 The effect of polyester ratio and curing periods

The relationship between polyester ratio and UCS of 3 hours cured samples are given in Fig. 2. The UCS values of all reinforced samples increase with the increasing polyester ratio (Fig. 2). As can be seen in Fig. 2, the increment of UCS values between 10% and 20% is generally higher than the values between 20% and 30% polyester ratios. When the UCS strengths of the samples for 3 hours of curing time are compared, sample with the gradation range of 0.3 mm to 0.6 mm yielded the maximum value. The UCS values of this sample at 10% and 20% is generally higher than the values between 20% and 30% polyester ratios. When the UCS strengths of the samples for 3 hours of curing time are compared, sample with the gradation range of 0.3 mm to 0.6 mm yielded the maximum value. The UCS values of this sample at 10%, 20% and 30% is generally higher than the values between 20% and 30% polyester ratios. When the UCS strengths of the samples for 3 hours of curing time are compared, sample with the gradation range of 0.3 mm to 0.6 mm yielded the maximum value. The UCS values of this sample at 10%, 20% and 30% polyester ratios were obtained as 0.5, 1.1 and 1.3 MPa, respectively. On the other side, the sample of 1.18 – 2.00 mm at 40% relative density gave minimum UCS values for 3 hours curing period. The UCS values of this sample at 10%, 20% and 30% polyester ratios were obtained as 0.5, 1.1 and 1.3 MPa, respectively (Fig. 3).

In terms of sand gradation ranges, it was observed that the coarse sand (i.e., 1.18-2.0 mm) samples at 40% relative density give the maximum UCS values at both 10% and 20% polyester ratios (Fig. 3). Similarly, Akbulut et al. [52] reported that UCS values increase drastically after 3 hours curing period at each polyester ratios. Apparently, the UCS values of 3 hours cured samples have higher values than 0.5 MPa (i.e., the lower UCS limit of granular soil). There is a significant increase in UCS values of 20% and 30% polyester ratios with an increase on curing periods (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). However, the increase in the curing period has no important effect on the UCS values of 10% polyester samples especially after 1 day curing periods.

Fig. 2. UCS versus polyester ratio for 3 hours cured samples

The column graphs in 3-D are drawn to enable the investigation of the combined effects of polyester ratio and curing periods on UCS in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 for 40% and 60% relative densities, respectively. It can be clearly seen in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 that UCS values increase drastically after 3 hours curing period at each polyester ratios. Apparently, the UCS values of 3 hours cured samples have higher values than 0.5 MPa (i.e., the lower UCS limit of granular soil). There is a significant increase in UCS values of 20% and 30% polyester ratios with an increase on curing periods (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). However, the increase in the curing period has no important effect on the UCS values of 10% polyester samples especially after 1 day curing periods.

Fig. 3. 3-D column illustration of UCS-Curing period-Polyester ratio of the samples at 40% relative density with respect to gradation ranges

In terms of sand gradation ranges, it was observed that the coarse sand (i.e., 1.18-2.0 mm) samples at 40% relative density give the maximum UCS values at both 10% and 20% polyester ratios (Fig. 3). Similarly, Akbulut et al. [52] reported that UCS values...
values increased with an increase in the grain size of the sand. On the other side, at 60% relative density, there was no general behaviour observed when compared to 40% relative density (Fig. 4). The maximum UCS values of the samples at 10%, 20%, 30% polyester ratios were obtained as 1.18-2.0 mm, 0.3-0.6 mm and 1.18-2.0 mm, respectively. Additionally, the highest UCS value of this study was obtained from the sample of 0.6-1.18 mm grain size, 30% polyester ratios and 40% relative density. The UCS values of the samples at 10%, 20%, 30% polyester ratios were obtained as 1.18-2.0 mm, 0.3-0.6 mm and 1.18-2.0 mm, respectively. Additionally, the highest UCS value of this study was obtained from the sample of 0.6-1.18 mm grain size, 30% polyester ratios and 40% relative density. The UCS values of this sample for 3 hours, 1 day, 3 days, 7 days and 28 days curing periods were obtained as 3.4, 25.7, 34.2, 41.9 and 52.3 MPa, respectively (Fig. 3). This different behaviour is also attributed to the fact that the samples become a polyester-sand composite material when 30% polyester is added to sandy soil. In other words, polyester dominates the behaviour of the samples mixed with 30% polyester. Following the trends in Figs. 3 and 4, it can be argued that strength increases due to mixing granular soils with polyester approaches an asymptote after 30%.

It is well known that the strength of sandy soils increases by increasing relative density \([57, 58]\). However, it can be seen that the increment of relative density has no effect on the strength of the samples when Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 are examined. Obtaining similar findings with this study, Akbulut and Sağlamer \([59]\) also reported that stabilized loose sandy soils at lower relative densities (i.e., <60% relative density) gave higher strength than stabilized dense sandy soils at higher relative densities (i.e., >60% relative density) in stabilization applications.

The deformation behaviour of the highest UCS values’ sample and photos of this sample is showed in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. It is clearly seen that the behaviour changes from ductile to brittle deformation when curing period increases from 3 hours to 28 days (Fig. 5). Additionally, the UCS value of the sample (i.e. 0.6-1.18 mm-30% polyester-Dr\(=40\%\)) increases up to 52.3 MPa for 28 days curing period. It should be mentioned that the photos of the samples given in Fig. 6 were taken after unconfined compression test. From Fig. 6 it is clear that none of the samples disintegrated and bulged after testing. This rapid and drastic increase in UCS is attributed to rapid cure and adhesion properties of polyester. With a similar manner, researchers found out that the polymers used in their studies increased the unconfined compressive strength for shorter curing periods \([41, 48]\). The reason of this strength increment is considered to be sand particles’ forming of a new solid structure with polyester (Fig. 6). The cross-linking of polyester with sand particles (i.e., polyester adheres sand particles) results in this new solid structure. Similar findings were reported by researchers studied both on polymer and polyester \([41, 48, 52]\).

### 3.2 The effect of freeze-thaw

In order to determine the freeze-thaw effect on the samples, a serious experimental study was conducted on 28 days cured samples. The samples were subjected to 20 freeze-thaw cycles. The UCS values of the samples subjected (i.e. 20 cycles) and not subjected (i.e. 0 “zero” cycle) to freeze-thaw cycles are given for 28 days curing period in Fig. 7. It was discovered that the freeze-thaw cycles did not have any effect on the UCS values of the stabilized samples. The reason for this situation is considered to be the durability of polyesters to extraordinary environmental impacts. Similarly, Welling \([60]\) reported that with an increase in the polymer content, the durability of stabilized sandy soils increased. In order to improve the mechanical behaviour of sandy soils, which are exposed to freeze-thaw cycles, polyester can be used as binder material.

### 4 Conclusions

In this study, in order to investigate the effect of sandy soils stabilized with polyester, unconfined compression tests were performed on the samples. The following conclusions are induced, based on the test results and the discussion presented in this study:

- The UCS values of all samples increased with the increasing polyester ratio.
- The maximum UCS value for 3 hour cured samples was obtained from the sample of 0.3 – 0.6 mm at 60% relative density. The UCS values of this sample for 10%, 20% and 30% polyester ratios were determined as 1.0, 5.8 and 6.5 MPa, respectively.
- There is a significant increase in the UCS values of 20% and 30% polyester ratios with an increase on curing periods.
Rapid Stabilization of Sands with Deep Mixing Method Using Polyester

It was observed that the freeze-thaw cycles did not have any effect on the UCS values of 10% polyester samples especially after 1 days curing periods. However, the increase on curing period has no important effect on the UCS values of 10% polyester samples especially after 1 days curing periods.

- The highest UCS value of this study was obtained from the sample of 0.6-1.18 mm grain size, 30% polyester ratio and 40% relative density. The UCS values of this sample for 3 hours, 1 day, 3 days, 7 days and 28 days curing periods were obtained as 3.4, 25.7, 34.2, 41.9 and 52.3 MPa, respectively.

- The UCS values of 3 hours cured samples were higher than those of the suggested lower UCS limit (i.e., 0.5 MPa) of deep mixing. For this reason, polyester can be used for deep mixing applications and for rapid stabilization of soils.

- It was observed that the freeze-thaw cycles did not have any effect on the UCS values of the stabilized samples. It could be said that soil stabilizations to be performed using polyester can also be used as an alternative to cement and lime in environments prevailed by cold climate and in fields where freeze-thaw is effective.

It can be concluded that polyester may be used in the field applications which need rapid soil stabilization such as slopes that are about to slide. It is recommended that a detailed cost analysis should be made considering the cheapness of cement and lime with respect to polyester.
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