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This note is closely connected to some previous works ([1] [2]), and also the notations are the same as in them. This paper consists of four parts. First a necessary (but applicable) condition for semi-groups of endomorphisms will be given, the second part contains some counter-examples and a new version of extention of operator transformations. In the third section applications will be presented. The last part is devoted to the applications of the semi-group theory and the Cauchy-problem.

## 1.

Let $\Phi$ be a linear operator transformation with $\mathcal{D}(\Phi) \subset M$. Since $M$ and $Q$ are isomorphic and the isomorphism is continuous, there is a linear subspace $Q_{0} \subset \mathcal{D}(\tilde{\Phi})$, and the mapping $\tilde{\Phi}$ corresponding to $\Phi$ which maps $Q_{0}$ into $Q$. If $\Phi$ is an isomorphism, then $\tilde{\Phi}$ is, too. When $a \in \underline{Q}_{0}$, then $\tilde{\Phi}(a)=\left\{\varphi_{n}(p)\right\}$ is a field sequence, and if $\Phi$ depends on a parameter, so does $\tilde{\Phi} ; \tilde{\Phi}=\tilde{\Phi}^{a}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^{a}(a)=\left\{\varphi_{n}(p, \alpha)\right\}$. If $\Phi^{a}$ depends continuously on the parameter, then $\left\{\varphi_{n}(p, \alpha)\right\}$ represents a continuous operator function. In the special case when $\Phi^{a}$ is a semi-group (or a group - see [1]), $\tilde{\Phi}^{\circ}(a)=$ $=\left\{a_{n}(p)\right\}=\left\{\varphi_{n}(p, 0)\right\}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^{\alpha+\beta}(a)=\left\{\varphi_{n}(p, \alpha+\beta)\right\}$. Assume that $\Phi^{\alpha}$ is a continuous endomorphism of $M$ for each $\alpha$, then by representation theorem (see [2], [9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{x}(\varphi)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \exp \left(-\lambda \Phi^{x}(s)\right) \varphi(\lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\varphi \in C^{0}$. From here one can see at once that it is "enough" to know the operator $\Phi^{a}(s)$. Let us assume that $\tilde{\Phi}^{a}(p)$ can be representated by a single meromorphic function (i.e., $\tilde{\Phi}^{a}(p)$ has an $n$-independent representation) for each $\alpha \geqq 0$. If $\Phi^{\alpha}$ is a continuous semi-group (every $\Phi^{\alpha}$ is an endomorphism but $\left\{\Phi^{\alpha}\right\}$ forms a semi-group only on $\mathcal{D}\left(\Phi^{a}\right)$ ), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi^{\alpha}(\varphi)}{\mathrm{d} \alpha}=A_{0} \Phi^{x}(\varphi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}\left(\Phi^{a}\right)$. (See [1].) Let $\tilde{\Phi}^{a}(\varphi)=\left\{\varphi_{n}(\omega(p, \alpha))\right\}$ be a field sequence representation of $\Phi^{a}(\varphi)$ in $\operatorname{Re}(p) \geqq \sigma_{0}>0$. Hence we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi_{n}(\omega(p, \alpha))}{\mathrm{d} \alpha}=\left[\tilde{A}_{0}\left\{\varphi_{n}(\omega(p, \alpha))\right\}\right]_{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[.]_{n}$ is the $n$-th member of the field sequence. Since $\tilde{A}_{0}$ is linear, it follows that $\left[\tilde{A}_{0}\left\{\varphi_{n}(\omega(p, \alpha))\right\}\right]_{n}=\tilde{A}_{0}\left[\varphi_{n}(\omega(p, \alpha))\right]$; where $\tilde{A}_{0}$ is the correspondence of $A_{0}$ on the Ditkin-Berg model. If $\omega(p, \alpha)$ has the derivative with respect to $\alpha$, then from (1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\omega(p, \alpha)) \cdot \omega_{z}^{\prime}(p, \alpha)=\tilde{A}_{0}\left(\varphi_{n}(\omega(p, \alpha))\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows. At $\alpha=0$ :

$$
\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(\omega(p, 0)) \cdot \omega_{z}^{\prime}(p, 0)=\tilde{A}_{0}\left(\varphi_{n}(\omega(p, 0))\right),
$$

and by virtue of $\Phi^{0}=I$ :

$$
\varphi_{n}^{\prime}(p) \cdot \omega_{n}^{\prime}(p, 0)=\tilde{A}_{0}\left(\varphi_{n}(p)\right) .
$$

We can conclude that $A_{0}=\omega_{x}^{\prime}(s, 0) D$, where $\omega_{x}^{\prime}(s, 0)$ is the operator from $M$ which has the correspondence $\omega_{z}^{\prime}(p, 0)$ in $Q$ and $D$ is the operation of algebraic derivation.

Let us consider the operator function $\Phi^{x}(\exp (-\lambda s)) . \Phi^{x}(\exp (-\lambda s))=$ $=\exp \left(-\lambda \Phi^{*}(s)\right)=\exp (-\lambda \cdot \omega(p, \alpha))$. Using the previous results and assumptions:

$$
-\lambda \exp (-\lambda \cdot \omega(p, \alpha)) \frac{\partial \omega(p, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha}=\left.\frac{\partial \omega(p, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha}\right|_{\alpha=0} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} p}(\exp (-\lambda \cdot \omega(p, \alpha)),
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \omega(p, \alpha)}{\partial \alpha}=\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}(p, 0) \frac{\partial \omega(p, \alpha)}{\partial z} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing, we obtained
Theorem I. Let $\left\{\Phi^{x} \mid \alpha \geqq 0\right\}$ be a continuous semi-group of continuous endomorphisms on $D\left(\Phi^{\alpha}\right) \subset M$. Assume $s \in D\left(\Phi^{x}\right)$ and $\tilde{\Phi}^{x}(p)=\omega(p, \alpha)$ is continuously differentiable with respect to $\alpha$. Then the infinitesimal generator of the semi-group is $\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}(s, 0) D$ where $\omega_{x}^{\prime}(s, 0)$ is the operator from $M$ which is representated by $\omega_{z}^{\prime}(p, 0)$ in the Ditkin-Berg model, moreover $\omega(p, \alpha)$ satisfies equation (1.5) with the initial data $\omega(p, 0)=p$.
An example: Let $\omega(p)=\left(p^{r}+\beta\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}$, where $r>0$ and $\beta$ is real. In this formula $p^{r}=\exp (r \cdot \log |p|+r \cdot i \cdot \operatorname{arc} p)$ with $0 \leqq \arg p \leqq 2 \pi$. Since $\omega(p)=p+0(|p|)$ as $\operatorname{Re}(p) \rightarrow \infty$ in $\operatorname{Re}(p) \geqq \sigma_{0}>0$, therefore $\omega(p)$ represents a continuous endomorphism - a substitution mapping (see [2]) -. It is easy to see that the transformations ${ }^{r} \Phi^{\beta}=\Phi \sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}$ form a group when $\beta$ is running over the reals and $r$ is fixed.

Now it will be shown that ${ }^{r} \Phi^{\beta}$ maps $D_{+}^{\prime}$ into $D_{+}^{\prime}{ }^{*}$ Indeed, if $x \in D_{+}^{\prime}$, then $x=\left\{\chi_{n}(p)\right\}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n+1}(p)=\chi_{n}(p)+p^{l n} \cdot 0(\exp (\gamma-n) p), \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\operatorname{Re}(p) \rightarrow \infty$, for $\operatorname{Re}(p) \geqq c>0, n=0,1, \ldots$, where $\chi_{0}(p) \equiv 0, \gamma$ is a real number independent of $n, l_{n}$ is a positive integer and $\chi_{n}(p)$ is analytic in $\operatorname{Re}(p) \geqq c>0$ for each $n$. (See [5].) If $l_{n}=l_{n_{0}}$ for $n \geqq n_{n_{0}}$ then $x$ is a distribution in finite order. Since ${ }^{r} \Phi^{\beta}(x)=\left\{\chi_{n}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)\right\}$, we obtain from (1.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n+1}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)=\chi_{n}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)+\left(p^{r}+\beta\right)^{\frac{l_{n}}{r}} 0\left(e^{(\gamma-n) \sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\operatorname{Re}(p) \rightarrow \infty$. Because $r>0$ and $\omega(p)=p+0(|p|)$, from (1.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n+1}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{\prime}+\beta}\right)=\chi_{n}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)+p^{l_{n}} 0\left(e^{(\gamma-n) p}\right) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since a representation with (1.6) is necessary and sufficient for $x \in M$ to be in $D_{+}^{\prime}$, (1.8) proves the assertion.

It must be noted that our proof works for any continuous endomorphism $\Phi$ for which $\Phi(s)=\omega(p)=c p+0(|p|), c>0$, as $\operatorname{Re}(p) \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us fix $x$ from $D_{+}^{\prime}$ and also $r>0$ be fixed. Then ${ }^{r} \Phi^{\beta}(x)=g(\beta) \in$ $C_{\infty}[(-\infty, \infty)] M$. Indeed, by (1.8):

$$
\frac{\chi_{n}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)}{e^{\sqrt{p}}}+0\left(e^{-n p}\right)=\chi_{a+1}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)
$$

as $\operatorname{Re}(p) \rightarrow \infty$ for each $n$, hence $\left\{e^{-\sqrt{p}} \chi_{n}\left(\sqrt[r]{p^{r}+\beta}\right)\right\}$ defines a continuous operator function, and in fact this function is differentiable in any order with respect to $\beta$. (Since if $x \in D_{+}^{\prime}$ then $e^{-\sqrt{s}}, x \in C^{0}$, see [5].)

It is easy to check whether the infinitesimal generator of the group ${ }^{r} \Phi^{\beta}$ is $\frac{1}{r} I^{r-1} D$. A familiar argument shows that $\left\{{ }^{r} \Phi^{\beta}\right\}$ is a strongly continuous group on $\mathrm{D}_{\star}^{\prime}$. (See [1], [10]). Let us mention two special cases of $r=1$ and $r=2$;

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{I} \Phi^{\beta}(a)=T^{-\beta}(a)=\left\{e^{-\beta t} a(t)\right\}  \tag{1.9}\\
{ }^{2} \Phi^{\beta}(a)=\left\{\int_{0}^{t} J_{0}\left(\sqrt{\beta\left(t^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)}\right) a(\lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda\right\} \sqrt{p^{2}+\beta} \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$
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if $a \in C^{0}$. Since, for $i \beta=\gamma, J_{0}\left(\beta\left(\sqrt{t^{2}-\lambda^{2}}\right)\right)=I_{0}\left(\sqrt{\gamma\left(t^{2}-\lambda^{2}\right)}\right)(1.10)$ holds for each real $\beta$. The endomorphisms ${ }^{\prime} \Phi^{\beta}$ are bijective as it can easily be checked, and ${ }^{\ulcorner } \Phi^{\beta}{ }^{r} \Phi^{-\beta}$ are inverse transformations for fixed $r$ and $\beta$.

Let us consider the operator function differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(\lambda)=\left(\frac{1}{r} l^{r-i} D\right)^{n}(y(\lambda)) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial data $y^{(k)}(0)=y_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots,(n-1)$. By theorem 4.8 of [1]. we have obtained that (1.11) has unique solution in $B^{(n)}(M)$ - see for the definition [1] - if $y_{k} \in D_{+}^{\prime}$ (See for application (3.8)).

## 2.

If $\omega(p)=o(|p|)$ as $\operatorname{Re}(p) \rightarrow \infty$ in $\operatorname{Re}(p)>\sigma_{0}$ and here $\operatorname{Re}(\omega(p)) \geqq \sigma_{0} \geqq 0$, then the $\omega(p)$-substitution transformation does not exist for each $x \in Q$ in general. There is an operator $\omega(p)=o(|p|)$ and $x \in Q$ such that by the formal $\omega(p)$-substitution $x(\omega(p))$, does not present an operator, although $x$ has an $n$-independent representation. We encounter this case, for example, when $\omega(p)=p^{-1}$, which corresponds to the Hankel transformation (see [7]); let now $x=p^{-2} \exp \left(-p^{-2}\right)$ (it is a function from $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ ), then $x(\omega(p))=p^{2} \exp \left(-p^{2}\right) \ddagger(M R) \subset \underline{Q}$ (see [5]]. Similar examples can be constructed for $\omega(p)=o\left(|p|^{\alpha}\right), \alpha \cong 0$.

There are examples when $w(p)$ defines an isomorphism of $(M R)$, i.e. for each $\sigma_{0}>0$ there is $\sigma_{1}>0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(\omega(p))>\sigma_{0}$ for $\operatorname{Re}(p)>\sigma_{1}$, but it cannot be extended to the whole. It can be shown that if $w(p)=\sqrt{p}$, then $f(t)=\exp \left(\exp t^{2}\right)$ cannot be $w(p)$-t transformed. (See [10].)

Now we shall show another possibility of making extension of the above kind of transformations. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of all complex functions $f$ which are defined on some right half plane, $\operatorname{Re}(p)>\sigma_{f}$, and meromorphic there. $\mathscr{L}$ will stand for the set of all holomorphic functions defined on a right half plane. If $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ belong to $\Omega$, then $f_{1}=f_{2}$ iff there exists a right half plane where $f_{:}(p) \equiv f_{2}(p)$. By the theorem of Mittag-Leffer and Weierstrass any $f \in \mathcal{M}$ can be written in a ratio of two functions from $\mathscr{R}$. We say that $f_{n}(p) \in \mathscr{R}$ tends to $f(p) \in \mathscr{A}$ if there exists a right half plane $\Omega$ such that $f_{n}(p), f(p)$ are holomorphic there and $f_{n}(p) \rightarrow f(p)$ uniformly on any compact subset of $\Omega$. Let $C_{0}^{\infty}$ denote the set of perfect functions, i.e. : $r(t) \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ if $r(t)$ is differentiable in any order, $r(t)=0\left(e^{c t}\right)$ for some $c>0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty, r^{(k)}(t) \in C^{0}($ for $k=0,1,2, \ldots)$ and $r^{(k)}(0)=0$ for each $k$. It can be proved that the quotient field ${ }^{*} Q_{0}$ of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ is isomorphic to (MR). (See [11], [12]). If $x \in C_{0}^{\infty \infty}$, then $\bar{x}(p)$ denotes its Laplace transform.

[^1]Let $x \in Q_{0}$, and $x=\frac{\{r(t)\}}{\{q(t)\}}$, then $\bar{x}(p)=\frac{\bar{r}(p)}{\bar{q}(p)} \in(M R)$. The sequence $x_{n} \in Q_{0}$ is called fundamental sequence if there is a representation of $x_{n}, x_{n}=\frac{r_{n}}{q_{n}}$ such that $\bar{r}_{n}, \bar{q}_{n} \in \mathscr{R}$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{r}_{n}(p)=\bar{r}(p)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{q}_{n}(p)=\bar{q}(p)$ in $\mathscr{X}$. Obviously $\bar{x}(p)=\frac{\bar{r}(p)}{\bar{q}(p)} \in \mathcal{M}$. The function $\bar{x}(p)$ is said to be the Laplace transform of the fundamental sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\rho\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=$ $=\bar{x}(p)$. Two fundamental sequences are said to be equivalent if they have the same Laplace transform. The set of the equivalence classes will be denoted by ot and the elements of $o t$ are called hyper-functions. The following theorem holds (see [11]): Theorem II. Let $A=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in \subset A$. Then the mapping $\mathcal{L}: \subset t \rightarrow M$ defined by $\mathcal{D}(A)=A(z)=$ $=\varrho\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)$ is an isomorphism betweenct and $\mathcal{M}$. If $r \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ then $I=\left\{\frac{r}{r}\right\} \in C$ is the operator cf identity and for $x \in Q_{0} X=\left\{x \cdot \frac{r}{r}\right\} \in c t$.

In the beginning of this section we saw transformations which cannot be extended to $Q_{0}$, i.e. there exists $x \in Q_{0}$ such that the image of $x$ - by the formal $\omega(p)$-substitution - is a meromorphic function not belonging to (MR). It can be seen that $x(\omega(p)) \in \mathcal{M}$, i.e. it is a hyper-function. One can show that if $x=r / q$, $r, q \in C_{0}^{\infty}$ and if

$$
r_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
r(t) & \text { if } 0 \leqq t<n, \\
0 & \text { if } t>n .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and

$$
q_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
q(t) & \text { if } 0 \leqq t<n \\
0 & \text { if } \quad t>n
\end{array}\right\}
$$

then

$$
\Phi^{\omega}(x)=\bar{x}(\omega(p))=\frac{\bar{r}(\omega(p))}{\bar{q}(\omega(p))}
$$

and $\lim _{\rightarrow \infty} \bar{r}_{n}(\omega(p))=\bar{r}(\omega(p)), \lim _{, \rightarrow \infty} \bar{q}(\omega(p))=\bar{q}(\omega(p))$ in $\mathscr{E}$.
An example. Consider the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=G(t)+\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2 \sqrt{t x}}{\sqrt{t}} F(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(t)$ is a given function. In the operator term (2.1) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=G+\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s}} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{x}{s}\right) F(x) \mathrm{d} x . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the transformation $\Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=G+\lambda \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}(F) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}\left(\Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}(F)\right)=F$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}(F)=\Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}(G)+\lambda \sqrt{\pi} \Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) \cdot F \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
F=\frac{1}{1-\pi \lambda^{2}}\left[G+\lambda \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{s}} \Phi^{\frac{1}{s}}(G)\right]
$$

hence in case $\lambda \neq \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t)=\frac{1}{1-\pi \lambda^{2}}\left[G(t)+\lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos 2 \sqrt{t x}}{\sqrt{t}} G(x) \mathrm{d} x\right] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the integral exists. Take $\{G(x)\}=\{1\}=l$, then the solution $F$ is not a function, and

$$
F=\frac{1}{1-\pi \lambda^{2}}(l+\lambda \sqrt{\pi s})
$$

is a distribution in finite order. Take, now, $\{G(x)\}=s^{-2} \exp \left(-s^{-2}\right)$, then the solution of (2.1) is a hyper-function, since $F(p) \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$
F=\frac{1}{1-\pi \lambda^{2}}\left(s^{-2} \exp \left(-s^{-2}\right)+\lambda s \sqrt{\pi s} \exp \left(-s^{2}\right)\right)
$$

but $F(p) \notin(M R)$.

## 3.

In this section some applications will be given. Let us consider the integral equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\int_{0}^{t} J_{0}(\sqrt{2 u(t-u)}) g(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is a given function. Using the endomorphism $\Phi^{p+\frac{1}{p}}$, (3.1) can be written in operator term as follows:

$$
\Phi^{p+\frac{1}{p}}(g)=p\{f(t)\} .
$$

Since $\Phi^{p+\frac{1}{p}}$ is invertible on $M$, the inverse transformation is $\Phi^{\omega(p)}$ where

$$
\omega(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+\sqrt{p^{2}-4}\right)
$$

and thus we get the solution

$$
g=\frac{1}{2}\left(p+\sqrt{p^{2}-4}\right) f\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(p+\sqrt{p^{2}-4}\right)\right)
$$

in operator term. Let us study the solution, assuming $f^{\prime}(t) \in C^{0}$ and $f(0)=0$. Then, by $p\{f(t)\}=f^{\prime}+f(0)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
g= & \left(p^{2}-4\right)\left\{\int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) \int_{\frac{1}{\frac{2}{2}}}^{t-\frac{1}{2}} I_{0}\left(2\left(t-\frac{1}{2} u-v\right)\right) I_{0}\left(2 \sqrt{v^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2} u\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} u\right\}= \\
& =\left\{\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} f^{\prime}(u) \int_{\frac{1}{2} u}^{t-\frac{1}{2} u} I_{0}\left(2\left(t-\frac{1}{2} u-v\right)\right) I_{0}\left(2 \sqrt{v^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2} u\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} u\right\}- \\
& -4\left\{\int_{0}^{f^{[i}} f^{\prime}(u) \int_{\frac{2}{2} u}^{t-\frac{1}{2} u} I_{0}\left(2\left(t-\frac{1}{2} u-v\right)\right) I_{0}\left(2 \sqrt{v^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{2} u\right)^{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} u\right\} \in C^{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $f^{\prime}(t) \in C^{0}$, but $f(0) \neq 0$, then the solution $g=\Phi^{\omega}\left(f^{\prime}\right)+f(0)$ is a distribution wihch is not a function.

The kernel function of equation (3.1) is a zero order Bessel function in first kind, if we use the transformation $\Phi^{p+\frac{1}{p}}$, and then we can solve the equation similarly :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{t-v}{v}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} v} J_{\nu}(2 \sqrt{v(t-v)}) g(v) \mathrm{d} v \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\nu}$ is the $\nu$-th order Bessel function in first kind, with $\operatorname{Re}(v)>-1$. Indeed, using the relation

$$
\frac{e^{-v\left(p+\frac{1}{p}\right)}}{p^{v+1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{llr}
0 & \text { if } & 0 \leqq t<v \\
\left(\frac{t-v}{v}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} v} J_{v}(2 \sqrt{v(t-v)}) & \text { if } & t>v
\end{array}\right\}
$$

(3.2) can be written in operator term:

$$
\Phi^{p+\frac{1}{p}}(g)=p^{v+1}\{f(t)\}
$$

The same method as it was treated for (3.1) can be applied for (3.2).
Applying the transformation $\Phi^{\sqrt[3]{p^{2}+y^{2}}}$ we could solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} J_{0}\left(v\left(t^{2}-u^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) g(u) \mathrm{d} u=f(t) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} I_{0}\left(v\left(t^{2}-u^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) g(u) \mathrm{d} u=f(t) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having different representations of $\exp \left(-u\left(p^{2}+v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ we can solve integral equations similar to (3.3) and (3.4).

Consider the following integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y(v, t)}{\partial v}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} x y(v, x) \mathrm{d} x=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation can be written in operator form as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(v)=1 / 2 l D(y(v)) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\frac{1}{2} l D$ is the infinitesimal generator of the transformation semi-group $\Phi^{\sqrt{p^{2}+v}}$, having the initial value at $v=0, Y_{0}=y(0)$, the solution of (3.6) in $D_{+}^{\prime} \subset D(\Phi)$ is

$$
y(v)=\Phi^{\sqrt{p^{2}+v}}\left(Y_{0}\right)=\left(p^{2}+v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} J_{0}\left(\left(v\left(t^{2}-u^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{t}}\right) Y_{0}(u) \mathrm{d} u\right\}
$$

assuming $Y_{0} \in L_{\text {loc }}$. By an easy computation, from (3.5) one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial v \partial t}(y(v, t))+t \frac{1}{2} y(v, t)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $y(0, t)=a(t), y_{y}^{\prime}(v, 0)=z(v)$ or $y(v, 0)=z_{1}(v)$. Assume that $Y(v)$ is a solution of (3.6) with $\{Y(0, t)\}=Y(0)=\{a(t)\}$, then the solution of (3.7) is $y(v)=Y(v)+z_{1}^{\prime}(v)$. Indeed, integrating (3.7) with respect to $t$ from 0 to $t$

$$
y_{v}^{\prime}(v, t)-y_{v}^{\prime}(v, 0)+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} x y(v, x) \mathrm{d} x=0
$$

follows and by $y_{v}^{\prime}(v, 0)=z_{1}^{\prime}(v)$ we have that

$$
Y^{\prime}(v)=\frac{1}{2} l D(Y(v))-\frac{1}{2} l D\left(z_{1}^{\prime}(v)\right)=\frac{1}{2} l D(Y(v))
$$

since

$$
\frac{1}{2} l D\left(z_{1}^{\prime}(v)\right)=z_{1}^{\prime}(v) \frac{1}{2} l D(1)=0 .
$$

If $y_{0}$ is assumed to be Laplace-transformable $y(0)=y_{0}=\left\{y_{0}(t)\right\}, y(0)=y^{\wedge}(p)$ then the solution is

$$
y(v, \underline{T} t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} e^{p t} y^{\wedge}\left(\left(p^{2}+v\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \mathrm{d} p .
$$

Here we should take care of certain conditions related to the differentiability of $y(v, t)$, but it can be found by a familiar argument.

Similar investigations can be made for the integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{v}^{\prime}(v, t)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{(t-x)^{r-1}}{\Gamma(r)} y(v, x) x \mathrm{~d} x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r>0,-\infty<v<\infty$ and $t \geqq 0$. If $r$ is not an integer, (3.8) cannot be reduced to a partial differential equation. The solution of (3.8) - compared with the equation (1.11) - is

$$
y(v, t)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{c=-i \infty}^{c+i \infty} e^{p t} y_{0}-\left(\left(p^{r}+v\right)^{1 / r}\right) \mathrm{d} p
$$

which is Laplace-transformable, whenever $y_{0} \in C^{0}$; if $y_{0}$ is not Laplace-transformable, then the solution exists also but theorem IV of [2] must be used. If $y_{0} \in D_{\psi}$, then the solution is similarly given by the same formula (see [2], theorem IV, (2.11)).

## 4.

One of the most familiar operations in classical analysis having the semi-group property is the Riemann-Lioville integral of fractional order. In the classical case we have the following : let $X$ be the Lebesgue space $\mathrm{L}([0,1])$, and let $\operatorname{Re}(v)>0$ and form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{v}(f)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-u)^{v-1} f(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well-known that $J_{v}(f) \in L([0,1])$, and has the semi-group property in the righ half-plane, we also have

$$
J_{v}=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}(J-I)^{n}\binom{v}{n}, \quad J=J^{1}
$$

and a theorem of Hille shows that $f(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ if there is an $\alpha, 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$ such that $J^{a}(f)$ is an absolutely continuous function of $t$. For such an $f(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(f)=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t \int_{0}^{t} K_{\alpha}(t-u) f_{\alpha}(u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\alpha}(t)=1 / \Gamma(1-\alpha) t^{-\alpha}(\log t-\Psi(1-\alpha)), f_{\alpha}(t)=J^{\alpha}(f)$ and $\Psi($.$) . is the logarithmic$ derivative of the gamma function.

Using the operator calculus, this semi-group can be defined on $L_{\text {loc }}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J^{\alpha}(f)=l^{a} f \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is real and $l=\{1\}$. By the use of the result of Boehme [3] it can be extended to the whole complex plane and it is an analytic strongly continuous group with infinitesimal generator $A=\ln l=s\{\ln t\}+C=s\{\ln \gamma t\}$, where $C=0.577 \ldots$ is Euler's constant and $\gamma=e C$. This semi-group, too, has the basis relation, for $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)>0$ and $f \in C^{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{d / d t J^{a+1}(f)(t)\right\}=J^{a}(f) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the resolvent formula can be given by

$$
R(\lambda, J)(f)=\lambda^{-1} f(t)+\lambda^{-2} \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left((t-u) \lambda^{-1}\right) f(u) \mathrm{d} u
$$

for all $f \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}$, or for $x \in M$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(\lambda, J)(x)=\lambda^{-1} x+\lambda^{-2}\{\exp (t / \lambda)\} x . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the solution of the singular integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(\lambda, t)=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t \int_{0}^{t} \ln (\gamma(t-u)) y(\lambda, u) \mathrm{d} u \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{y(\lambda, t)\}=l^{2} y_{0} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{0}=y(0)=\{y(0, t)\}$. To investigate the properties of the solution we might use the results of Boehme [3].

Finally we show another example of the Cauchy-problem. Consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{(n)}(v)=(D+s D)^{n}(y(v)), \quad Y_{i}=y^{(i)}(0) \quad(i=0,1, \ldots(n-1)) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the operation of algebraic derivation. It is not too difficult to prove that $D+s D$ is a bounded transformation on $D_{+}^{\prime}$, and using (1.6) one can prove that for any $x \in D_{+}^{\prime}$ there are $g_{x} \in C^{0}$ and $0 \neq q_{x} \in C^{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|q_{x}(D+s D)^{n}(x)\right\|_{\Omega} \leqq\left\|n g_{x}\right\|_{\Omega}
$$

herefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(v)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{v^{m n+k}}{(m n+k)!}(D+s D)^{m n}\left(Y_{k}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the unique solution of the Cauchy-problem in $C_{n}(C) D_{+}^{\prime}$. (See [1].)

## Summary

In the study of the operational calculus the notions of the linear operator transformations play a very important role and have proved very useful. This paper deals with semi-groups of endomorphisms, gives a sufficient condition for an operator to be the infinitesimal generator of a semigroup. Several examples, and applications of this subject can be found in this paper. Also some connections between distribution and operator transformation have been discovered here.
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[^0]:    * Footnote: $\mathrm{D}_{+}^{\prime}$ is the set of distributions with half-line support. For the embedding of $\mathrm{D}_{+}^{\prime}$ into $M$ see [5].

[^1]:    * with respect to the convolution product.

