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## Symbols and abbreviations

| $\epsilon$ | element of |
| :---: | :---: |
| を | non element of |
| $\Rightarrow$ | implies |
| $\emptyset$ | empty set |
| $\tau$ | topology |
| $A^{\circ}$ | interior of $A$ |
| $A=\{\mathrm{a}:$ prop | ty of a definition of the set $A$ |
| $A / B$ | $A \backslash B=\{\mathrm{a}: \mathrm{a} \in A ; a \pm B\}$ |
| $A \subset B$ | $a \in A$ implies $a \in B$ |
| $A \times B$ | $A \times B=\{(a, b): a \in A ; b \in B\}$ |
| $A \cap B$ | intersection of $A$ and $B$ |
|  | $n$-dimensional linear normed space |
| $F: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ | mapping from $E_{1}$ into $E_{2}$ |
| $R(F)$ | range of $F$ |
| $F^{\prime}(x)$ | Fréchet derivative of $F$ |
| ${ }_{2}\left(E_{1} \rightarrow E_{9}\right)$ | linear operators from $E_{1}$ into $E_{9}$ |
| $\mathscr{S}\left(E_{1}-E_{2}\right)$ | bounded linear operators from $E_{1}$ into $E_{2}$ |
| $\langle x, y>$ | inner product |
| $\cdots \mathrm{Fog}$ | norm of $x$ of the mappings $F$ and $G$ such that $F \circ G(x)=F(G(x))$ |
| Fob $F(., y)$ | composition of the mappings $F$ and $G$ such that $F \circ G(x)=F(G(x))$ the mapping $F(x, y)$ for fixed $y$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{m \times} \times$ | set of $m \times n$ matrices |
| $\lambda(A)$ | Lebesgue measure of $A$ |
| $C^{(n)}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ | the set of the continuous functions $F:\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow R^{n}$ |
| $L^{(n)}\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right)$ | the set of the essentially bounded functions $F:\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \rightarrow R^{n}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & C^{\infty}(m \times n)\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \\ & L^{(m \times n)}\left(t_{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | the set of the continuous $m \times n$ matrices $F:\left[t_{0} \cdot t_{1}\right]-M_{m \times n}$ |

## 1. Introduction

In many optimization problems the quality of the process cannot be characterized by a single scalar-valued optimality criterion, because the user is simultaneously interested in several cost functionals. The scalar-valued cost functionals can be reduced to a single vector-valued performance criterion.

The linear state estimation problem also leads to an optimum problem with a nonscalar-valued performance criterion, if the covariance matrix of the error between the state and its estimation must be infimum. In this case the performance criterion is matrix-valued.

Dynamic optimization problems with nonscalar-valued performance criteria are studied in the present paper. The meaning of "better than" has to be defined, and this will be done by a partial-order relation. Partial ordering is defined by a positive cone. It is supposed that the performance criterion in the dynamic optimization problem has its range in a finite-dimensional partially ordered linear normed space (which is not necessarily an Euclidean space).

The necessary conditions of the local infimum are summarized in two theorems. These theorems establish a relation between the local maximum principle of Dubovickij, Miluutin and Girsanov [1], the infimum principle of Athans and Geering [2], and the author's results [3].

The proofs of the theorems in the appendix of the paper can be found in the auther's dissertation.

## 2. Partial ordering

Partial ordering on a set is a reflexive, (antisymmetric) and transitive relation. If the set is a linear topological space, then it will be supposed that the partial ordering is given by a closed and convex cone having a nonempty interior.

Definition 1: Let $(E, \tau)$ be a linear topological space, and let $P \subset E$ be a closed and convex cone such that $P^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. We say that $x \geq y$ if $x, y \in E$ and $x-y \in P$. A linear topological space with a relation $\geq$ defined in this way is said to be a partially ordered linear topological space. Notation: $(E, \tau, \geq)$. Since $x \in P \Leftrightarrow x \geq 0$, the cone $P$ will be called the positive cone (defining the relation $\geq$ ). If $\frac{ \pm}{ \pm} \in P \Rightarrow z=0$, then $\geq$ is antisymmetric, i.e. $x \geq y$ and $y \geq x \Rightarrow x=y$.

Example 1: Let $R^{n}$ be the usually $n$-dimensional Euclidean space. If $P=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in R^{n}: x_{i} \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$, then $P$ is a positive cone in $R^{n}$ and so $P$ defines a partial ordering in $R^{n}$. Notation: $\left(R^{n}, \geq\right)$. If $\|x\|=\|y\|=1$ and $x, y \in P$, then $\|x+y\| \geq 1$.

Example 2: Let $H$ be a Hilbert space. If $E=\{A \in \mathscr{B}(H \rightarrow H)$ : $A$ is self-adjoint $\}$ and $P=\{A \in E:<A x, x>\geq 0$ for all $x \in H\}$, then $E \subset \mathscr{A}(H \rightarrow H)$ is a closed subspace $\Rightarrow E$ is a Banach space and $P \subset E$ is a positive cone in $E$. Hence $P$ defines a partial ordering in $E$. Notation: $(E, \geq)$. If $\|A\|=\|B\|=1$ and $A, B \in P$, then $\|A+B\| \geq 1$.

Example 3: Notation is as in Example 2. Let $H=R^{n}$ (with fixed orthonormal basis.) Then $\mathscr{B}\left(R^{n} \rightarrow R^{n}\right)$ can be identified with the set of $n \times n$
matrices and similarly $E$ with the set of symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. Then $P$ is the set of positive semidefinite symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. The positive cone $P$ defines a partial ordering in the Banach space of the symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. Notation: $\left(M_{n \times n}^{s}, \geq\right) . M_{n \times n}^{\mathrm{s}}$ can be considered as a $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ dimensional subspace of the linear normed space $R^{n^{2}}$ (without inner product).

Remark: If $(E, \tau, \geq)$ is a partially ordered linear topological space, then $x \geq y$ and $z \in E \Rightarrow x+z \geq y+z$.

Definition 2: Let $(E, \tau, \geq)$ be a partially ordered linear topological space, $Q \subset E$ and $x_{0} \in Q$. We say that

1) $x_{0}=\max Q$, if there does not exist any $x \in Q$ such that $x \geq x_{0}$ and $x \neq x_{0}$,
2) $x_{0}=\min Q$, if there does not exist any $x \in Q$ such that $x_{0} \geq x$ and $x \neq x_{0}$,
3) $x_{0}=\sup Q$, if $x_{0} \geq x$ for all $x \in Q$,
4) $x_{0}=\inf Q$, if $x \geq x_{0}$ for all $x \in Q$.

In general, max $Q$ and $\min Q$ are not unique, because the partial ordering is usually not a linear ordering ( $Q$ may have elements which are not comparable). Sup $Q$ and $\inf Q$ are always unique (supposed that they exist and the partial ordering is antisymmetric).

## 3. The local supremum principle

Condition (C): We say that ( $n, \mathbf{r}, m, T, \Phi$ ) satisfies the condition ( $C$ ), if $0<T<\infty$,
$\Phi: R^{n} \times R^{r} \times[0, T] \rightarrow R^{m}$ is a mapping,

$$
S \subset[0, T] \text { and } \lambda([0, T] \backslash S)=0
$$

furthermore

1) there exist $\Phi_{y}(y, v, t), \Phi_{v}(y, v, t)$ for all $t \in S$, and $\left\{\Phi_{y}(\cdot, \cdot, t): t \in S\right\}$, $\left\{\bar{\Phi}_{\nu}(\cdot, \cdot, t): t \in S\right\}$ are equicontinuous in ( $y, v$ ) on all compact sets $F \times G \subset R^{n} \times R^{r} ;$
2) $\Phi(y, v, \cdot), \Phi_{y}(y, v, \cdot)$ and $\Phi_{v}(y, v, \cdot)$ are measurable functions in $t$ for all fixed $(y, v) \in R^{n} \times R^{r}$;
3) for each fixed bounded set $F \times G \subset R^{n} \times R^{r}$, there is a real number $k$ such that $\|\Phi(y, v, t)\|<k,\left\|\Phi_{y}(y, v, t)\right\|<k$ and $\left\|\Phi_{v}(y, v, t)\right\|<$ $<k$ for all $(y, v, t) \in F \times G \times S$.
Theorem 1: Suppose that ( $n, r, m, T, \Phi$ ) and ( $n, r, n, T, \varphi$ ) satisfy the condition (C). Let $M$ be a convex set in $R^{r}$ and $M^{0} \neq \emptyset$. Let $c, d \in R^{n}$. Let $P_{0}$ be a closed and convex cone in $R^{m}$ such that $P_{0}^{0} \neq \emptyset$ and $\pm z \in P_{0} \Rightarrow z=0$, and let the positive cone $P_{0}$ define a partial ordering $\geq$ in $R^{m}$ ( $R^{m}$ is not necessarily an Euclidean space). Let the constraint $Q$ be

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q= & \left\{(x, u) \in C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T):\right. \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} x(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\varphi(x(t), u(t), t) \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T] ; \\
& x(0)=c \text { and } x(T)=d ; \\
& u(t) \in M \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T]\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and let $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in Q$. Suppose there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\inf \left\{\int_{0}^{T} \Phi(x(t), u(t), t) \mathrm{d} t:(x, u) \in Q \cap V\right\}
$$

Then there exist a $n \times n$ constant matrix $T_{0}$ and $m \times n$ matrix fumctions $\psi(t)$ such that
i) $T_{0} y \geq 0$ for all $y \geq 0$ i.e. $T_{0}\left(P_{0}\right) \subset P_{0}$;
ii) either $T_{0} \neq 0$ or $\psi(t) \not \equiv 0$;
iii) $\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\psi(t) \varphi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)+T_{0} \tilde{\Phi}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)$ for almost every $t \in[0, T] ;$
iv) $\left(-\psi(t) \varphi_{v}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)+T_{0} \Phi_{v}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)\right) .\left(u-u_{0}(t)\right) \in P_{0}$
for all $u \in M$ and for almost every $t \in[0, T]$;
v) specially if the system

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\varphi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \bar{x}(t)+\varphi_{v}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)\left(u(t)-u_{0}(t)\right)
$$

for almost every $t \in[0, T], \bar{x}(0)=\bar{x}(T)=0$,
$u(t)=M^{0}$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]$
has a solution $(x, u) \in \mathrm{C}^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T)$, then $T_{0}=I$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. If in addition $\Phi(\gamma, v, t)$ is a convex mapping and the dynamical system is linear, i.e. $\varphi(y, v, t)=A(t) y+B(t) v$, then the local infimum in $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is also a global infimum on $Q$. furthermore, iii) and iv) are sufficient conditioas of the global infimum.
Proof: We will use the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{0}: C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T) \rightarrow R^{m} \\
& F_{0}(x, u)=\int_{0}^{T} \Phi(x(t), u(t), t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& F_{1}: C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T) \rightarrow C^{(n)}(0, T) \\
& F_{1}(x, u)(t)=x(t)-c-\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(x(\tau), u(\tau), \tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{2}: C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T) \rightarrow R^{n} \\
& F_{2}(x, u)=x(T)-d \\
& A=\left\{(x, u) \in C^{(\mathrm{n})}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T): u(t) \in M \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\left\{(x, u) \in C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T): F_{1}(x, u)=0 ; F_{2}(x, u)=0\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(x, u) \in \mathbf{A}\}$ and $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in Q$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \left\{F_{0}(x, u):(x, u) \in Q \cap V\right\} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A=\mathrm{C}^{(n)}(0, T) \times B$ and $M$ is a convex set such that $M^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $B \subset L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T)$ such that $B$ is a convex set and $B^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $A$ is a convex set and $A^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$. Since ( $\left.n, r, m, T, \Phi\right)$ and ( $n, r, n, T, \varphi$ ) satisfy the condition (C), it follows that $F_{0}$ and $F_{1}$ are continuously Fréchet differentiable and

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=\int_{0}^{T}\left[\Phi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \bar{x}(t)+\Phi_{\nu}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \bar{u}(t)\right] \mathrm{d} t^{\prime}  \tag{3}\\
& F_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, \bar{u})(t)=x(t)-\int_{0}^{t}\left[\varphi_{y}\left(x_{0}(\tau), u_{0}(\tau), \tau\right) \bar{x}(\tau)+\right. \\
& \left.+\varphi_{v}\left(x_{0}(\tau), u_{0}(\tau), \tau\right) \tilde{u}(\tau)\right] \mathrm{d} \tau \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

furthermore, by Lemma $3 A$ (in Appendix)

$$
R\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)=C^{(n)}(0, T)
$$

is satisfied. Evidently, $F_{2}$ is continuously Fréchet differentiable and

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=\bar{x}(T)  \tag{6}\\
& R\left(F_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)=R^{n} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma $4 A$ and by (1)-(7), Theorem $1 A$ can be applied. Hence there are bounded linear operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{0} \in \mathscr{B}\left(R^{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow R^{m}\right) \\
& T_{1} \in \mathscr{B}\left(C^{(n)}(0, T) \rightarrow R^{m}\right), \\
& T_{2} \in \mathscr{A}\left(R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}\right) \\
& \widetilde{T} \in \mathscr{B}\left(C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T) \rightarrow R^{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $T_{0}\left(P_{0}\right) \subset P_{0}$ and $T_{i} \neq 0$ for at least one $i \in\{0,1 ; 2\}$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{T}=T_{0} \quad \circ F_{0}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)+T_{1} \text { o } F_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)+T_{2} \text { o } F_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right),  \tag{8}\\
\widetilde{T}(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \geq \widetilde{T}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right) \text { for all }(\bar{x}, \bar{u}) \in A \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

In a fixed basis the bounded linear operators $T_{0}, T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ can be identified with a $m \times m$ constant matrix, with a $m \times n$ matrix function and with a $m \times n$ constant matrix, respectively. In the special case $T_{0}$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix. Since $\widetilde{T}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=\widetilde{T}(\bar{x}, 0)+\widetilde{T}(0, \bar{u})$ and $\pm z \in P_{0} \Rightarrow z=0$, it follows from the form of the set $A$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{T}(x, 0) & =0 \text { for all } x \in C^{(n)}(0, T)  \tag{10}\\
\dot{T}(\bar{u}) & =\widetilde{T}(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=\widetilde{T}(0, \bar{u}) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\bar{u} \in L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T)$ be fixed and let $\bar{x}=\bar{x}(\bar{u})$ be the solution of the equation $F_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=0$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \bar{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\varphi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \bar{x}(t)+\varphi_{v}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \bar{u}(t) \\
& \quad \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T]  \tag{12}\\
& \quad \bar{x}(0)=0
\end{align*}
$$

which has a unique solution by Lemma $3 A$. It follows from (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}(\bar{u})=T_{0} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left.\Phi_{y}\right|_{t} \bar{x}(t)+\left.\Phi_{v}\right|_{t} \bar{u}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t+T_{2} \bar{x}(T) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(\bar{x}(\bar{u}), \bar{u})$. Let $\psi:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathscr{B}\left(R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}\right)$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} \psi(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\psi(t) \varphi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)+T_{0} \Phi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \\
& \quad \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T] \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\psi(T)=-T_{2}
$$

then in a fixed basis $\psi(t)$ can be identified with a $m \times n$ matrix function and the solution $\psi(t)$ is unique by Lemma $3 A$. If both $T_{0}$ and $\psi(\cdot)$ are zero, then $T_{2}$ is also zero by $\psi(T)=-T_{2}$. Hence $\hat{T}$ is zero by (13) and $T_{1}$ o $F_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is also zero by (11) and (8). Since $R\left(F_{1}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)\right)=C^{(n)}(0, T)$, it follows that $T_{1}$ is also zero. But this contradicts iii) in Theorem $1 A$. This contradiction proves that either $T_{0} \neq 0$ or $\psi(t) \neq 0$. By (14) and (12) and through integration by parts it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \Phi_{y \mid t} \bar{x}(t) \mathrm{d} t=-T_{2} \bar{x}(T)-\left.\int_{0}^{T} \psi(t) \varphi_{v}\right|_{t} \bar{u}(t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence it follows from (13) and (15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}(\bar{u})=\int_{0}^{T}\left(-\psi(t) \varphi_{v \mid t}+T_{0} \Phi_{v \mid t}\right) \bar{u}(t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (9), (11) and (16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}\left(\bar{u}-u_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{T}\left(-\psi(t) \varphi_{0} \|_{t}+T_{0} \Phi_{v \mid t}\right)\left(\bar{u}(t)-u_{0}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \in P_{0} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satisfied for all $\bar{u} \in B=\left\{\bar{u} \in L_{\infty}^{(r)}(0, T): \bar{u}(t) \in M\right.$ for almost every $\left.t \in[0, T]\right\}$. Hence by Lemma $2 A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\psi(t) \varphi_{v}\left|t+T_{0} \Phi_{v}\right| t\right)\left(u-u_{0}(t)\right) \in P_{0} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in M$ and for almost every $t \in[0, T]$.
In the special case $v$ ) of the theorem let now $\Phi(y, v, t)$ be a convex function and let the dynamical system be linear, i.e. $\varphi(y, v, t)=A(t) y+B(t) v$. Let $(x, u) \in Q$ and use the notations $\bar{x}=x-x_{0}$ and $\bar{u}=u-u_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\bar{x}}=A(t) \bar{x}+B(t) \bar{u},  \tag{19}\\
& \bar{x}(0)=\bar{x}(T)=0
\end{align*}
$$

is satisfied, i.e. $\bar{x}$ has the form $\bar{x}=\bar{x}(\bar{u})$. If iii) and iv) in the theorem are satisfied, then (14) and (18) are also satisfied with $T_{0}=I$ and $T_{2}=-\psi(T)$. Since $P_{0}$ is a closed and convex cone and $\bar{x}$ has the form $\bar{x}=\bar{x}(\bar{u})$, it follows from (18), (17), (16), (15), (14), (13), (11) and $\bar{x}(T)=0$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{T}(u)=F_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)(\bar{x}, \bar{u})=F_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}, u-u_{0}\right) \in P_{0}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus ii') in Theorem $1 A$ is satisfied, which is the sufficient condition of the global infimum.

Remark: Define $H(x, u, \psi, t)=\psi \varphi(x, u, t)-T_{0} \Phi(x, u, t)$. Then iv) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-H_{u}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), \psi(t), t\right)\left(u-u_{0}(t)\right) \subseteq P_{0} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in M$ and for almost every $t \in[0, T]$. By Theorem $1 A$ (13) is the necessary condition for the function $-H\left(x_{0}(t), u, \psi(t), t\right)$ to attain local infimum on the set $M$ in the point $u=u_{0}(t)$. Thus, if $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is a solution of the optimum control problem in Theorem 1, then the function $H\left(x_{0}(t), u, \psi(t), t\right)$ satisfies the necessary condition of the local supremum on the set $M$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]$ in the point $u=u_{0}(t)$. Hence Theorem 1 will be called a local supremum principle.

If the performance criterion is given not by an integral but $F_{0}(x, u)=$ $=F(x(T)$ ), where $F(\cdot)$ is a differentiable function and the final state $x(T)$ is free $\left(x(T) \in R^{n}\right.$ ), then with $\psi(T)=-F^{\prime}(x(T))$ and $\Phi \equiv 0$ (formally) in the proof, Theorem 1 remains still valid, furthermore, $T_{0}$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix.

Theorem 2: Suppose that ( $n, r, n, T, \varphi$ ) satisfies the condition (C). Let $c \in R^{n}$. Let $P_{0}$ be a closed and convex cone in $R^{m}$ such that $P_{0}^{0} \neq \emptyset$ and $\pm z \in P_{0} \Rightarrow z=0$, and let the positive cone $P_{0}$ define a partial ordering $\geq$ in $R^{m}\left(R^{m}\right.$ is not necessarily an Euclidean space). Let $\mathrm{F}: R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$ be a differentiable mapping and let the constraint $Q$ be

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=\left\{(x, u) \in C^{(n)}(0, T) \times L_{\propto}^{(r)}(0, T):\right. \\
\frac{\mathrm{d} x(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\varphi(x(t), u(t), t) \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T] ; \\
x(0)=\mathrm{c}\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and let $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right) \in Q$. Suppose there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ such that $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\inf \{F(x(T)):(x, u) \in Q \cap V\}
$$

Then there exists a $m \times n$ matrix function $\psi(t)$ such that
i) $\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\psi(t) \varphi_{y}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)$ for almost every $t \in[0, T] ;$
ii) $\psi(T)=-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}(T)\right)$;
iii) $\psi(t)_{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathrm{v}}}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)=0$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]$;
iv) specially if $m=n$ and $F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}(T)\right)$ has an inverse, than $\varphi_{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)=0$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]$.
If $F$ is a convex function and the dynamic system is linear, i.e. $\varphi(y, v, t)=$ $=A(t) y+B(t) v$, then the local infimum in $\left(x_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ is also a global infimum on $Q$, furthermore i)-iii) or iv) are the sufficient conditions of the global infimum.
Proof: By the remark after Theorem 1, i) and ii) are satisfied and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi(t) \mathscr{F}_{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)\left(u-u_{0}(t) \in P_{0}\right. \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $1^{-}$

for all $u \in R^{r}$ and for almost every $t \in[0, T]$. On the contrary, suppose iii) is not valid. Then there exists $\hat{S} \subset[0, T]$ such that $\lambda(\hat{S})>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi(t) \varphi_{v}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \neq 0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \hat{S}$. Hence for all $t \in \hat{S}$ there exists $\tilde{u}(t) \in R^{r}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\psi(t) \gamma_{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \dot{u}(t) \neq 0 \text { and } \dot{u}(t) \neq 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{1}(t)=\tilde{u}(t)+u_{0}(t)$ and $u_{2}(t)=-\tilde{u}(t)+u_{0}(t)$. By (22) there is a $\widetilde{S} \subset \hat{S}$ such that $\lambda(\widetilde{S})>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \psi(t) \varphi_{v}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \quad \tilde{u}(t) \in P_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \tilde{S}$. Since $\pm z \in P_{0} \Rightarrow z=0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t) \varphi_{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right) \tilde{u}(t)=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \tilde{S} \subset \hat{S}$ and so (26) contradicts (24). This contradiction proves iii). Specially if $m=n$ and $F^{\prime}(x(T))$ has an inverse, then by Lemma $3 A$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}(T)\right) \Phi(t, T) \varphi_{\nu}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t) ; t\right)=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $t \in[0, T]$, where the $n \times n$ matrix $-F^{\prime}\left(x_{0}(T)\right) \Phi(t, T)$ has an inverse for all $t \in[0, T]$ and so it follows from (27), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\mathrm{v}}\left(x_{0}(t), u_{0}(t), t\right)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $t \in[0, T]$. The proof of the sufficience part is analog to that in Theorem 1.

Remark: Let $H(x, u, \psi, t)=\psi \varnothing(x, u, t)$, then the function $H\left(x_{0}(t), u, \psi(t), t\right)$ satisfies the necessary condition of the local supremum (condition iii)) on $R^{r}$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]$ in the point $u=u_{0}(t)$. Hence Theorem 2 is also a local supremum principle.

## 4. Applications

A generalization of Pontryagin's principle in the form of a global supremum principle can be derived from the local supremum principle with the same technique as used by Dubovickij, Miljutin and Girsanov ([1], pp. 83-92).

Theorem $5 A$ shows that infimizing the error covariance matrix, all scalar-valued performance crtiteria used practically will be simultaneously minimized.

In [2] a global infimum principle was reported and the applicability of the theory to the analysis of dynamic vector estimation problems and to
a class of uncertain optimal control problems was demonstrated. However, all problems examined in [3] can also be easily solved applying the local supremum principle (Theorem 2, case iv)).

## Appendix

Theorem 1A: Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

1) $E$ and $E_{i}$ are Banach spaces, $i=1, \ldots, n+h ; E_{0}$ is a reflexive Banach space;
2) $P_{i} \subset E_{i}$ is a closed and convex cone, $0 \in P_{i}^{0} \neq \emptyset$ and $P_{i}$ (as a positive cone) defines a partial ordering $\geq$ in the Banach space $E_{i}, i=0, \ldots, n$; furthermore, there is a real number $\delta>0$ such that for all $y_{1}, y_{2} \in P_{0}$ and $\left\|y_{1}\right\|=\left\|y_{2}\right\|=1$ it is $\left\|y_{1}+y_{2}\right\| \geq \delta$;
3) $F_{i}: E \rightarrow E_{i}$ is a mapping which has a Fréchet derivative $F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in the point $x_{0}$, $i=0, \ldots, n$ and for which $R\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ is closed in $E_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$;
4) $\mathrm{F}_{i}: E \rightarrow E_{i}$ is a mapping, which is continuously Fréchet differentiable in a neighbourhood of $x_{0}$ and for which $R\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ is closed in $E_{i}, i=n+1, \ldots, n+h$;
5) $A \subset E$ is a convex set and $\mathrm{A}^{0} \neq \emptyset$;
6) $Q=\left\{x \in E:-F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 0, i=1, \ldots, n ; F_{i}(x)=0, i=n+1, \ldots, n+k ; x \in A\right\}$ is a constraint, $x_{0} \in Q$ and there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $x_{0}$ such that

$$
\inf \left\{F_{0}(x): x \in Q \cap V\right\}=F_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Then there are linear mappings $T_{i} \in \mathcal{L}\left(E_{i} \rightarrow E_{0}\right)$, which are continuous on $R\left(F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, $i=0, \ldots, n+k$ and for which
i) $T_{0} y_{0} \in P_{0}$, i.e. $T_{0} y_{0} \geq 0$ for all $y_{0} \in P_{0}$; furthermore, $T_{i} y_{i} \in P_{0}$ i.e. $T_{i} y_{i} \geq 0$ for all $y_{i} \in R\left(-F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap\left(P_{i}+F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right), \underset{n+k}{i=1}, \ldots, n$,
ii) with the notation $T=\sum_{i=0}^{n+k} T_{i} \circ F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ the inequality $T x \geq T x_{0}$, i.e. $T\left(x-x_{0}\right) \in P_{0}$ holds for all $x \in A$,
iii) $T_{i} \neq 0$ for at least one $i$,
iv) if $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $-F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right) \in P^{0}$, then $T_{i}=0$,
v) if the system

$$
R\left(F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=E_{i}, \quad i=n+1, \ldots, n+k,\right.
$$

$i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $-F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right) \notin P_{i}^{0} \Rightarrow \mathbf{R}\left(-F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \cap\left(P+\left\{\lambda F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right): \lambda>0\right\}\right) \neq \emptyset$
can be satisfied, then $T_{i}$ o $F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq 0$ for at least one $i$,
vi) specially if $R\left(F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=E_{i}, \quad i=n+1, \ldots, n+k$ and the system

$$
F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)=0, \quad i=n+1, \ldots, n+k,
$$

$i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $-F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right) \leftarrow P_{i}^{0} \Rightarrow-F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right) \in P_{i}^{0}+\left\{\lambda F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right): \lambda>0\right\}$
has a solution in $x$, then $T_{0}=I$ is the identity operator. If in addition $F_{i}$ is a convex mapping, $i=0, \ldots, n ; F_{i}(x)=B_{i} x+b_{i}$, where $B_{i}$ is a bounded linear operator and $b_{i} \in E_{i}, i=$ $i=n+1, \ldots n+k$, then the local infimum is also a global infimum on $Q$ and $i$ ), ii) or ii'), iv), vi) are the sufficient conditions of the global infimum, where ii') $x \in Q \Rightarrow F_{0}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right)=$ $=T\left(x-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{i} o F_{i}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(x-x_{0}\right) \in P_{0}$; specially for $n=0$, ii') has the form $x \in Q \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow T\left(x-x_{0}\right) \in P_{0}$.

Lemma 2A: Let $M \subseteq R^{r}, Q=\{x \in L(r)(0, T): x(t) \in M$ for almost every $t \in[0, T]\}, x_{0} \in Q$, $A \in L(n \times r)(0, T)$ and let $P$ be a closed (and not necessarily convex) cone in $R^{n}$. Suppose that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} A(t)\left(x(t)-x_{0}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \in P
$$

for all $x(\cdot) \in Q$. Then

$$
A(t)\left(x-x_{0}(t)\right) \in P
$$

for all $x \in M$ and for almost every $t \in[0, T]$.
Lemma 3A: Let $\tau \in[0, T]$ and let $A(\cdot) \in L_{\underset{\sim}{(n \times n)}}^{(0, T) \text {. Then }}$
i) the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi(t, \tau)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\Phi(t, \tau) A(t) \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T] \\
\Phi(\tau, \tau)=I_{n \times n}
\end{gathered}
$$

has exactly one solution $\Phi(\cdot, \tau) \in C^{(n \times n)}(0, T)$;
ii) the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\varphi(t) A(t) \text { for almost every } t \in[0, T], \\
\varphi(\tau) \text { is given, }
\end{gathered}
$$

has exactly one solution $\varphi(\cdot) \in \mathrm{C}^{m \times n}(0, T)$, and the solution is

$$
\varphi(t)=\varphi(\tau) \Phi(t, \tau)
$$

iii) for all $t, \tau \in[0, T]$, the inverse matrix $\Phi^{-1}(t, \tau)$ exists and

$$
\Phi^{-1}(t, \tau)=\Phi(\tau, t) .
$$

Lemma 4A: Let $P$ be a closed and convex cone in the linear normed space, $R^{n}$, such that $P^{0} \neq \emptyset$ and $\pm z \in P \Rightarrow z=0$. Then there exists a real number $\delta>0$ such that for all $y_{1}, y_{2} \in P$ and $\left\|y_{1}\right\|_{=}=\left\|y_{2}\right\|=1$ it is $\left\|y_{1}+y_{2}\right\| \geq \delta$.

Theorem 5A: Let $Q \subset R^{n}$ and let $A$ be a positive semidefinite symmetric $n \times n$ matrix. Let ( $\Omega, \mathcal{A}, p$ ) be a probability space, let $\mathscr{F} \subset\left\{x: \Omega \rightarrow R^{n}\right.$ is a random variable: $E x=0$ and there exists $\left.E\left(x x^{*}\right)\right\}$, and let $\mathcal{G}=\{x \in \mathscr{F}: p(\{\omega): x(\omega) \in Q\})=1$. Use the following notations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F: \tilde{\mathscr{F}} \rightarrow M, n \times n & F(x)=E\left(x x^{*}\right) ; \\
F_{1}: \mathcal{F}_{3} \rightarrow R^{1} & F_{1}(x)=E\left(x^{*} A x\right) ; \\
F_{2}: \nsubseteq R^{1}, & F_{2}(x)=\operatorname{trace} E\left(x x^{*}\right) ; \\
F_{3}: \mathscr{F} \rightarrow R^{1}, & F_{3}(x)=\operatorname{det} E\left(x x^{*}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

If $F(x) \geq F(y)$, i.e. $F(x)-F(y)$ is a positive semidefinite symmetric matrix, then $F_{i}(x) \geq F_{i}(y)$, $i=1,2,3$. If $x_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{f}$ and $\inf \left\{F(x): x \in \mathcal{C}_{\}}\right\}=F\left(x_{0}\right)$, then $\min \left\{F_{i}(x): x \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{G}}\right\}=F_{i}\left(x_{0}\right), i=1,2,3$.

## Summary

The principal aim of this paper is to give the necessary condition of the optimum (infimum) in form of the local supremum principle for optimum control problems with nonscalar-valued performance criterion. The performance criterion has its range in a finitedimensional partially ordered linear normed (not necessarily Euclidean) space. The local supremum can be applied to the analysis of dynamic vector estimation problems and to uncertain optimal control problems.
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