Accurate Estimation of Frequency-Dependent Losses in High Voltage Test Transformers for the Assessment of Electric Discharge Powers
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Abstract
The work presented in this paper is related to the project GEMUDE (Genérateur Multifonction de Décharge Electrique) which aims to design a universal high-voltage generator for electrical discharge studies. To design this variable high voltage source that operates in the frequency range of 0 to 1 kHz, the assessment of transformer losses represents a crucial phase during the design process. These losses in the coils and iron core of the transformer are closely related to the frequency and nature of the materials used. This paper presents a comparative study of transformer losses using analytical methods and experimental calorimetry measurements. Steinmetz empirical formula is used to estimate magnetic core losses whereas coil losses are evaluated by solving Maxwell equations. The main goal is to control these losses to limit the internal temperature rise in the transformer through the implementation of an efficient cooling solution. The results obtained by the analytical method were satisfactory which confirm the feasibility of measuring the power absorbed by the various electrical discharge devices upstream of the high-voltage transformer. This is because it turns out that the power of the generator in charge is the total power of the electric discharge and the transformer losses. This method, termed Power Disparity Method (PDM). In this paper, it is an easy and reliable way as compared with high voltage measurement methods.
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1 Introduction
The design and construction of a multifunction generator for electric discharge tests (GEMUDE project, see Appendix) require a high voltage source with variable frequencies. This source will be used as an example for partial discharge tests [1, 2] carried out at the industrial frequency of 50 Hz. The tests of the cold discharges with dielectric barrier [3] at frequencies are greater than 800 Hz and excimer lamps [4] above 80 kHz, etc.

At present, transformers remain the only technical means that can generate pure sinusoidal high voltages. However, the availability of this type of transformer operating at high frequencies presents a real technical constraint. Since transformer losses are frequency-dependent [5-7], excessive heating is generated and, if not dissipated properly, it could damage either the generator itself or cause a failure in the generator’s control circuit.

The materials available for either the core or winding of the transformer are usually designed to operate in specific frequency ranges [8, 9] and therefore are not appropriate for our intended design of the universal power source with adjustable frequency. To overcome this constraint, we present in this paper the analytical prediction of the transformer losses which can subsequently be used to design an efficient solution to control the heating in the transformer core and windings.

In the same context, this paper proposes a new method for measuring the power injected into the discharge based on the precise analytical calculation of the losses in the high voltage transformer. This is based on the fact that the power upstream of the transformer would be the power consumed by the discharge plus the losses in the transformer and those in the inductance coils if there are any (Fig. 1). This approach will lead to a considerable simplification of the measuring circuit and improved safety of the system.

The rest of the article is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the classical analytical methods for estimating...
losses in windings and magnetic cores. Section 3 describes the calorimeter-based experimental setup used for validation of the theoretical results. Section 4 presents the analytical calculation and experimental tests and a comparative study between these results. Section 5 describes an original application of the presented method. Finally, Section 6 will summarize the conclusions on this work.

2 Analytical Approach

2.1 Electric skin effect and losses in windings

2.1.1 General formulation

Losses in transformers are generally modeled based by a lumped element equivalent circuit composed of resistors and inductors. However, to correctly represent a transformer, both resistors and inductors must take into consideration the various physical phenomena that occur inside the core and the windings, such as, the presence of purely resistive losses, hysteresis and Foucault currents. Since these parameters vary with the applied frequency, therefore, a more accurate transformer model should consider the frequency [10-13].

The following analysis is performed on a single turn of the transformer winding. This turn is identical to a cylindrical envelope with an inner radius and an outer radius \( b = a + d \), and a length \( \Delta l \), as shown in Fig. 2. The current distribution produces a magnetic field intensity \( H = H_0 \) for \( r \leq a \), and \( H = 0 \) for \( r > a \).

Applying Maxwell’s equations to the complex magnetic field intensity gives:

\[
\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial r} \right) - j\omega \sigma \mu_0 H_z = 0 .
\]  

(1)

The resolution of Eq. (1) leads to the current density as a function of radius.

Because of the symmetry of the cylinder, the magnetic field will consist only of a coaxial component

\[
H_z = H_0 (r) .
\]  

(2)

Consider a solution of the form:

\[
H_z = A_0 J_0 (k_1 r) + B_0 K_0 (k_1 r) .
\]  

(3)

Where \( J_0 \) and \( K_0 \) are Bessel functions of order 0, and \( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \) are integration constants, \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) are constant parameters with values: \( k_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\delta} j^{3/2} \) and \( k_2 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\delta} j^{5/2} \).

Setting the boundary values for Eq. (3), as follows: \( H = H_0 \) for \( r = a \) and \( H = 0 \) for \( r = b \), the coefficients \( A_1 \) and \( B_1 \) are obtained as:

\[
\begin{cases}
A_1 = \frac{K_0 (k_2 b)}{J_0 (k_1 a) K_0 (k_2 b) - J_0 (k_1 b) K_0 (k_2 a)} \\
B_1 = -\frac{J_0 (k_1 b)}{J_0 (k_1 a) K_0 (k_2 b) - J_0 (k_1 b) K_0 (k_2 a)}
\end{cases}
\]  

(4)

The current density is determined using the Maxwell equation:

\[
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = j
\]  

(5)

\[
\frac{\partial H_z}{\partial r} = -\frac{\partial j_z}{\partial r} .
\]  

(6)

Combining Eqs. (1)-(6), the current density can finally be expressed as:

\[
j_z = A_1 J_1 (k_1 r) + B_1 K_1 (k_1 r) .
\]  

(7)

Where \( J_1 \) and \( K_1 \) are Bessel functions of order 1.

Fig. 3 shows a plot of the current density given by Eq. (6) which reveals the effect of high frequency harmonics on the distribution of current in the coil. It can be observed that the density current increases considerably on the driver’s side which is closer to the core, and decreases on the outer side. The unequal distribution of current in the conductor results in an increase in the total resistance of the winding. Thus, two currents of the same amplitude but
of different frequency crossing the winding of the transformer will undergo a different resistance and thus generate different levels of losses. The heat developed in the conductor due to the current will be higher closer to the core because of the high density of the current.

The density of ohmic heat losses is determined using Joule’s law [11]:

\[
P_E = \sigma \left| E_x \right|^2 = \sigma \left| E_y E_y^* \right|^2 = \frac{1}{\sigma} \left| J_x \right|^2 . \tag{8}
\]

The losses due to Joule heating effect in the volume are given by [11]:

\[
P_v = \int P_e \, dv = \int \frac{2 \pi M}{\sigma} \left| J_x \right|^2 r \, dr . \tag{9}
\]

Therefore, the resistance \( R_1 \) of the envelope is then given by:

\[
P = R_1 T = R_1 H_o A \Delta f . \tag{10}
\]

By replacing \( R_1 \) in Eq. (9) and inserting \( P \) from Eq. (10) we can find an expression of winding resistance:

\[
R_1 = \frac{2 \pi M}{\sigma} \int \left| J_x \right|^2 r \, dr \mid_{r=a} \mid_{r=b} . \tag{11}
\]

Fig. 4 shows how the resistance of the coil increases as the frequency increases. In the region of higher frequencies, the curve appears to flatten and increases approximately at the rate of the square root of the frequency. The resistance of the coil is much greater than that of a straight conductor, since the length and surface of the conductors are equal.

2.1.2 Dowell approximation

Losses in the transformer windings are related to the quasi-static effects that affect the distribution of current density, including the skin effect, proximity effect, edge effect and the effect of iron. As a result, if the magnetic excitation is assumed to be one-dimensional, the increase in the resistance due to the non-homogeneous distribution of current density in the conductor section can be formulated. Conventionally, the increase function \( F_r \) is defined as the ratio between the AC resistance and the DC resistance at a given frequency [14]:

\[
F_r(X, m) = X \left[ \frac{\sinh(2X) + \sin(2X)}{\cosh(2X) - \cos(2X)} + \frac{2(m^2 - 1) \sinh(X) - \sin(X)}{3 \cosh(X) + \cos(X)} \right] . \tag{12}
\]

Where \( m \) is the number of layers.

\[
X = \frac{h_c}{0.071 \sqrt{f}} . \tag{13}
\]

Where \( h_c \) denotes the thickness of the conductor and \( f \) is the frequency of the voltage.

This case is verified when the transformer coils are surrounded by the magnetic circuit, so in the window, it is a priori no longer valid outside this window (coil heads) or if there is an air gap in the magnetic core. Fig. 5 shows the increase in the AC resistance as compared to DC resistance for different layers of winding.

The validation of the one-dimensional model (1D) is performed using COMSOL Multi physics [10], proved for the E-type forms of core that the one-dimensional...
formulation is valid for the case where the conductors inside the winding window, and can be easily extended for the case of conductors outside the winding window. Fig. 6 confirms this, since a minimum ratio of 0.96 between the value of the coefficient $F_r$ calculated for the three-dimensional and one-dimensional model can be observed.

The power losses in the windings of the transformer for a current, supplying an electric discharge is then expressed:

$$P_w = R_{d1} I_{1\text{off}}^2 \frac{1}{m_1} \sum_{n=1}^{m_1} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_{11}(h, f, n_1) \frac{I_{1\text{off}}^2}{I_{1\text{off}}} + R_{d2} I_{2\text{off}}^2 \frac{1}{m_2} \sum_{n=2}^{m_2} \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} F_{22}(h, f, n_2) \frac{I_{2\text{off}}^2}{I_{2\text{off}}}.$$

(14)

Where $R_{d1}$, $R_{d2}$ denote the transformer’s primary and secondary resistances respectively, $m_1$, $m_2$ represent the number of layers and $F_{11}(h, f, n_1)$, $F_{22}(h, f, n_2)$ are the coefficient of increase of resistance for harmonic $h$ and frequency $hf$.

With the previous one-dimensional model, it is also possible to evaluate the losses in the filter inductance of the supply circuit of the electric discharge cell as a function of the frequency. In some cases, this filtering inductance is very important when certain tests such as partial discharges are performed.

2.2 Losses in the magnetic core

Usually, to estimate losses in ferromagnetic materials, the following empirical formula proposed by Steinmetz [15] is used:

$$P_f = C_f B_m^\alpha.$$

(15)

Furthermore, in practice losses in the magnetic core can be divided into three parts: hysteresis losses, Foucault (eddy) current losses and excess losses. Magnetic core losses per unit mass can be calculated by Bertotti formula [16]:

$$P_c = C_h f B_m^\alpha + C_i f^2 B_m^2 + C_e f^{1.5} B_m^{1.5}.$$  

(16)

Where $C_h$, $C_i$ and $C_e$ are the hysteresis loss, Foucault (eddy) current loss and excess loss coefficients respectively, $f$ is the operating frequency of the transformer, $B_m$ is the maximum density of the magnetic flux of the core and $\alpha$ is an index which depends on the nature of the magnetic material used.

Magnetic materials’ manufacturers usually provide models of equations and graphs available to users, which can be easily used to predict and evaluate losses in the volume of the material core. As an example, Fig. 7 represents losses as a function of the magnetic field of certain types of materials commonly used in the manufacture of transformers.
Note that Eq. (15) is valid for the case of a sinusoidal wave form. A second model exists and differs from the previous one in that the dynamic losses are calculated from the temporal evolution of induction \[5\].

\[
P_{li} = C_h fB_{m,n}^2 + \frac{C_l}{2\pi^2} \int_0^T \left( \frac{dB}{dt} \right)^2 dt + \frac{C_m}{8764} \int_0^T \left( \frac{dB}{dt} \right)^2 dt.
\]

The third model is based on the Fourier series decomposition of magnetic induction to take into account the non-sinusoidal excitation in the case of voltage distortion \[5\].

\[
P_{li} = C_h \sum_{n=1} f_n B_{m,n}^2 + C_l \sum_{n=1} f_n^2 B_{m,n}^2 + C_m \sum_{n=1} f_n^{1.5} B_{m,n}^{1.5}.
\]

Where \(B_{m,n}\) and \(f_n\) are the amplitude and frequency of the \(n\)th harmonic.

Finally, the last model adopted is a combination of the second and third models \[17, 15\]:

\[
P_{li} = C_h \sum_{n=1} f_n B_{m,n}^2 + \frac{C_l}{2\pi^2} \int_0^T \left( \frac{dB}{dt} \right)^2 dt + \frac{C_m}{8764} \int_0^T \left( \frac{dB}{dt} \right)^2 dt.
\]

It is worth noting the coefficients in the four models are the same. These coefficients are identified, using the algorithm presented in \[18\], using both experimental measurements and Eq. (15).

3 Experimental Study

The estimation of power from the measurement of electrical quantities is very complex for transformers working in a wide frequency range because the equivalent series resistance is concealed at low frequencies, by the magnetization inductance and at high frequencies by the leakage inductance and parasitic capacitance. In addition, in high efficiency transformers above 95\%, a low current or voltage measurement error can lead to significant errors in the measurement of losses (\(\Delta P > 80\%\)). To obtain results with sufficient accuracy, a more reliable measurement method such as calorimetry is used \[19\].

As mentioned in the introduction, this experimental method is used just to validate the analytical method presented. That is because the use of calorimeter measurements requires measurement periods that can be spread over several days.

3.1 Description of the calorimeter

A hot body exchanges its thermal energy throughout its external surface. Three physical phenomena contribute to this: conduction, convection and radiation \[20-23\]. Calorimetric measurements used in various electrical engineering applications use this principle to evaluate the errors of a component. The calorimeter thus characterizes the amount of heat exchanged between the sample and the chamber. Several types of calorimeter can be designed examples are: adiabatic, quasi-adiabatic, isothermal, heat flux, etc. Each of these calorimeters has different characteristics. The calorimeter set-up used in this study is shown in Fig. 8 \[22, 23\].

3.2 Principle of operation

The calorimeter used to measure losses in the transformer has a dual operation mode quasi-adiabatic/isothermal. In the quasi-adiabatic mode, it enables the device under test (DUT) to be placed under controlled heat exchange conditions, i.e. quasi-independent of the outside temperatures and to obtain significant increases in temperature at low injected power \[24\]. In the isotherm mode, it can absorb the heat generated by the DUT via the exchange of the temperature with external environment. By setting \(\Delta T = T_{ext} - T_{cal}\), the thermal leakage can be varied and adapted to the loss levels to be measured. The lower the \(\Delta T\) is, the lower are the leaks.

The internal walls of the calorimeter are covered with aluminized Mylar sheets to reduce the radiative exchange (\(\varepsilon = 0.05\)). The internal enclosure is placed on a support of low thermal conductivity (\(\lambda = 0.35\, \text{Wm}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}\)) wearing spikes which reduces further the heat exchanged by conduction. Note that for the quasi-independent mode, a vacuum pump is necessary to limit the convective exchange inside the enclosure.

![Fig. 8 Simplified diagram of the calorimeter used in this study.](image-url)
The measurement of losses in isothermal mode is obtained by calculating the difference between the powers injected into the chamber and the enclosure in steady-state after deducing the no-load power losses of the calorimeter [24-26].

The power loss of the DUT is given by:

\[ P_d = P_0 - P + \Delta P. \]  

(20)

Where \( P_0 \) denotes no-load power of the calorimeter, \( \Delta P \) is the leakage power of the calorimeter.

4 Losses Evaluation in the Transformer Prototype Used for High Voltage and High Frequency Tests: Analytical Calculation and Experimental Validation

The high voltage transformer model used for the electric discharge tests at different frequencies (YDJZ-5/50) is shown in Fig. 9.

The parameters for this type of transformer are given for two types of magnetic circuits; the first set corresponds to a conventional core (FeSi) for low frequencies made from an alloy of sheet steel and silicon. The second core is of grain-oriented iron-silicon (Fe 3.1 % Si-GO) type. The characteristics of these sheets are shown in Table 1 and the physical dimensions in Fig. 10. With reference to the datasheets of the magnetic circuits, the no-load transformer core losses are evaluated using the following formula:

\[
\begin{align*}
P_1 &= 0.00427 \cdot f^{1.53} \cdot B_{w}^{1.75} \\
P_2 &= 0.00362 \cdot f^{1.43} \cdot B_{w}^{1.75}
\end{align*}
\]

(21)

4.1 Excitation by a purely sinusoidal voltage THD ≤ 1 %

4.1.1 Preliminary measurements

A prerequisite to performing experimental measurements is the calibration of the calorimeter to determine the time constant and losses. For instance, if the oil and chamber temperature set points are set to 72.0 °C and 68.2 °C respectively, to have a temperature difference equal to \( \Delta T = 3.8 \) °C, the power consumption will stabilize at an average value of 49.2 W.

Fig. 11 shows the variation of the absorbed power and Fig. 12 (a) and (b) gives the temperatures of the chamber and the enclosure respectively.

Based on the conditions of the above example, the maximum limit for measuring transformer losses will be 45 W. To obtain the measurements for higher powers, it is necessary to increase the thresholds and facilitate heat elimination towards the outside.

It can be observed that the system reaches the steady-state after about 4 hours of operation. Regarding power leaks, the measurements recorded at no-load given in Table 2 show a conduction coefficient of 13.02 W/°C and a leakage power of 1.2 W.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sheet</th>
<th>( B_{\text{max}} ) (T)</th>
<th>Tension ( U_1/U_2 ) (kV)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Power (kVA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.3 Fe Si</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.25/50</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3 FeSi-GO</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.25/50</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 9 Model of the high voltage test transformer used for frequencies ≤ 1 kHz.

Fig. 10 Physical dimensions and characteristics of the YDJZ-5/50 transformer.

Fig. 11 Absorbed power variation.
4.1.2 Comparison of the analytical and experimental results

The losses calculated by the analytical method and measured by the calorimeter are shown in Tables 3 and 4 for the first core and Tables 5 and 6 for the second core. It can be seen from Tables 3 and 4 that the errors are small between the calculated and measured losses in the transformer. On the other hand, for the second core FeSi-GO, the differences are clearly important but still acceptable given the empirical model used and the hazards of magnetic sheet manufacturing techniques. It can be noted that the FeSi-GO alloy presents a better performance solution for high frequencies since it gives a loss reduction of 32% compared to a FeSi core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Losses calculated and measured in the FeSi core.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$ [kHz]</td>
<td>$P_i$ calculated [W]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>14.509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>22.531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>31.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>41.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>65.067</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
<th>Electrical losses calculated and measured in the windings.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$ [kHz]</td>
<td>$P_i$ calculated [W]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>45.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>45.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>46.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>46.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>46.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>46.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>46.663</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5</th>
<th>Losses calculated and measured in the FeSi-GO core for $f=50$-1000 Hz.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$ [kHz]</td>
<td>$P_i$ calculated [W]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2.169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>5.927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>44.238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>79.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>120.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>167.034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 6</th>
<th>Electrical losses calculated and measured in the windings for $f=50$-1000 Hz.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f$ [kHz]</td>
<td>$P_i$ calculated [W]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>45.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>45.884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>46.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>46.663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>47.168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>47.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>48.195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Excitation by a rectangular peripheral voltage

- THD = 12%

The tests are performed by injecting a voltage with a rectangular waveform at 50 Hz (Fig. 13) that we analyzed by oscilloscope TEKTRONIX TBS2000. The voltage has the harmonics of 3rd, 5th, 7th, 9th and 11th order as shown in Fig. 14.

The power losses in the windings or in the core can be evaluated by the superposition method of the harmonic components of the supply voltage.

\[
P_i = \sum_{n=1}^{c} P_i(f_n, B_{m,n}).
\]

(22)

The comparison of the total magnetic losses determined from the Bertotti model to those measured on the Fe-Si-GO core plates is illustrated in Fig. 15.

The loss density values obtained experimentally are in good agreement with those obtained with the theoretical models. This is valid up to maximum inductions of the order of 1.2 T. Beyond this, the theoretical curve deviates from the experimental one. This can be explained by the fact that the analytic model does not consider the phase of each harmonic, but only the amplitude and order of the harmonics. Therefore, the iron losses are automatically overestimated.

5 Application of the Proposed Method to the Measurement of Electric Discharge Powers

Power measurements in stationary steady-state discharge devices, such as ozone generators or plasma generators in industry, present real difficulties because they require special probes and specific circuits to each measurement. The study presented above shows that the measurement can be moved upstream of the high voltage transformer. Then the discharge power \( P_{d,e} \) will be determined as the difference between the power upstream of the transformer \( P_{e,m} \) and the losses calculated there in \( \Delta P_{tr} \).

\[
P_{d,e} = P_{e,m} - \Delta P_{tr}.
\]

(23)

In our case, the GEMUDE test bench is controlled by PLC (Programmable Logic Controller). The latter has 14 bit analog input units, which makes it possible to measure and record the voltage and current modules at the input of the transformer. Fig. 16 shows the electrical wiring diagram of the different measuring equipment and Fig. 17 presents the flowchart of the program designed to calculate the power of electric discharge.

To assess the accuracy of the proposed method, the power consumed by an ozone production cell, with no characteristics, is measured. Fig. 18 shows the tested ozone generator. The high-voltage side power is measured by air reference of the Lissajous curves [27] plotted by the oscilloscope. The results of the two methods are shown in Fig. 19.
It can be seen from the Fig. 19 for low voltage values that there is a significant difference (> 15 %) between the two methods. This is partly due to the following cumulative inaccuracies:

- The basic assumptions of approximations of the two methods.
- The characteristics of the magnetic cores used.
- The method for estimating the area of Lissajous figures.
- The accuracy of the measuring equipment, which has measurement errors of less than 1.5 %.

On the other hand, the results displayed for other supply voltage (> 6.5 kV), show a good agreement between the two methods. From the remaining graphical representations, it can be observed that the difference remains small between the curves obtained either by the PDM methods or by the classical method of Lissajous [28]. This confirms the feasibility of the PDM method, which makes it possible to have real-time measurements during electrical discharge tests.

6 Conclusion

High voltage transformers intended for electrical discharge studies should be assessed for their use at high frequencies, which may cause active losses and a significant increase in the internal temperature. To overcome this, it is essential to select the appropriate magnetic materials. Alternatively, a cooling circuit operating at threshold can be designed to mitigate overheating. This solution is implemented in the transformer used for the GEMUDE project.

The technical difficulties of evaluating the power of the electric discharges during the experimental studies performed by the test bench GEMUDE have led us to investigating other avenues. The main contribution of this paper is...
to develop a new method for measuring the power of electric discharge implemented with the help of the GEMUDE test bench, which has been designed and developed for scientific research and educational demonstrations.

The electric discharge power measurement by the PDM (power disparity method) using GEMUDE test bench gives reasonably good results. Experimental measurements based on the Lissajous curves method confirmed these findings. This confirms the feasibility and reliability of the proposed method for solving a major problem in the field of electrical discharges. The measurement of the power absorbed by the reactors is performed easily in low voltage and upstream of high voltage transformer. This method is safe for both the user and the hardware.

For distorted voltages, it is essential to carry out an FFT (Fast Fourier transform) harmonic analysis, to estimate the overall losses and then to determine the electric discharge power.
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**GEMUDE project:** This project was initiated at LGEO research laboratory (University of Science and technology of Oran, Algeria) and is for the design and development of a Multifunction Generator of Electric Discharge; specifically for research on electric discharge and its applications. It is also used to run some demonstrations for electrical engineering students.
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