Optimum Switching Angles Control of SRM for Electric Vehicle Applications
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Abstract
Switched Reluctance Motor (SRM) drive is being gradually used in industrial applications, including electric vehicles (EVs), due to several advantages over conventional motors. However, the nonlinear magnetic characteristics of the motor make its controller very complicated. This paper presents a simplified procedure to obtain the optimal switching angles under hysteresis current control SRM drive over a wide range of speeds. A multi-objective optimization technique is applied to determine the optimal switch on and switch off angles that achieve the optimum combination of maximum average torque with minimum torque ripple and copper loss. A searching algorithm is developed for each operating point to define the maximum average torque and the minimum torque ripple and copper losses, as they vary for different currents and motor speeds. Then the optimal values of switching angles are stored in the lookup tables to build a MATLAB model of the SRM drive system. Finally, simulation and experimental results are presented to show the validity and effectiveness of the proposed controller.
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1 Introduction

The Switched Reluctance Motor (SRM) represents a promising candidate for electric vehicle (EV) application due to its simple and robust structure, low manufacturing cost, high fault-tolerant ability, and wide speed range of operation [1–4]. Despite these features, the main blocking factors of widespread SRM drive are the significant torque ripple, vibration, and acoustic noise comparable to the conventional machines [5–7]. The reduction of torque ripple can be realized by improving the motor’s mechanical design and using advanced control techniques [7].

The analysis and control of the SRM is a complicated task because of the doubly salient structure and highly nonlinear magnetic characteristics of the motor [8, 9]. For EV applications, the maximum torque production is required over a wide range of speed to overcome the starting friction and provide the climbing capability [10]. Furthermore, drive efficiency should be improved to extend the car range, and the torque ripple should be reduced to prevent speed fluctuations [11]. However, obtaining the best values of all quantities simultaneously, such as average torque and torque ripple, in the SRM drive is impossible. Still, the proper selection of switch-on ($\theta_{on}$) and switch-off ($\theta_{off}$) angles along with the current controller can improve the torque production and energy efficiency of the SRM drive.

Many studies have been conducted on the optimum selection of switching angles ($\theta_{on}$ and $\theta_{off}$) of SRM drive according to several goals, such as improving the torque production, torque ripple, and electric efficiency. In [5], the control parameters were optimized in order to reduce the torque ripple and the speed error of the SRM drive. In [12], an automatic switch-on angle controller is designed by making the phase current reaches its peak at the beginning overlapping angle between the rotor and stator poles to increase the torque/current ratio. Analytical approaches have been presented in [13–15] to calculate the optimal switching angles that improve the SRM efficiencies over a wide range of speed. In [16], a switching angles controller for the low-speed operation of SRM has been presented based on offline optimization of switch-off angle to minimize the torque ripple and copper losses. In contrast,
the switch-on angle is regulated online according to the sequential phase currents’ crossing approach. In [17], the average torque control method has been developed by the online determination of excitation angles to achieve current-controlled SRM drives’ optimum performance in terms of torque ripple and motor efficiency. In [18], different optimization procedures are proposed to optimize the conduction angle in the SRM drive for improving the output torque, torque ripple, and motor efficiency. In [19], the direct instantaneous torque control (DITC) with a different selection of switch-off angle is proposed to improve torque ripple and speed response in SRM drive. The switching angles were optimized to enhance the torque ripple and electric efficiency of the SRM drive in [20]. The control parameters of varying angles control were optimized to suppress the SRM noise in [21]. The performance optimization for a wide range of SRM drive speed under the single pulse controller is investigated in [22] through online adjustment of switching angles. In [23], a genetic optimization algorithm that utilizes the electromagnetic vibration data is used to obtain the optimum switching angles to minimize the torque ripple in SRM drive.

In this paper, a multi-objective optimization technique is implemented to realize the optimum switching angles \((\theta_{on} \text{ and } \theta_{off})\) of SRM drive, aiming to achieve the maximum average torque with minimum torque ripple and copper losses over a wide speed range. A searching algorithm is developed for each operating point to define the base values of torque ripple, average torque, and copper loss as they vary for different current and motor speed. After that, the optimum values of switching angles are utilized to build lookup tables in order to evaluate the motor performance at different operating conditions.

The mathematical modeling and fundamental equations of SRM are presented in Section 2 of this paper. The analytical method for determining the optimal switching angles is given in Section 3. The optimization technique and the proposed controller are described in Sections 4 and 5. Section 6 presents the simulation results and the verification of experiments. Finally, in Section 7, the conclusions drawn from this research are discussed.

## 2 Modeling and magnetic characteristic of SRM

Accurate determination of the magnetic characteristics is critical for modeling and control the SRM. However, the SRM has nonlinear magnetic attributes because of its doubly salient construction and highly saturated magnetic circuit [24, 25]. Such characteristics are mostly determined using analytical methods, Finite Element Method (FEM), and experimental measurements [26–28]. The analytical methods are very complicated and often involve simplifications assumptions, which significantly impact its accuracy [26]. The FEM is widely accepted to calculate the magnetic characteristics of the SRM. Still, this method needs precise geometrical data and material properties of the SRM, which are not provided by the manufacturer in most scenarios [26–29]. On the other hand, the experimental measurements correspond to the actual machine data and provide the highest accuracy among these methods.

In this study, the indirect measurement is used to calculate the developed torque \(T(i, \theta)\), phase inductance \(L(i, \theta)\), and flux linkage \(\lambda(i, \theta)\). These data are stored in the form of lookup tables to build an accurate MATLAB simulation model. Full details about the measuring process are discussed in [27]. The inspected machine is four phases 8/6 SRM, whose data are given in Table 1. The measured magnetic characteristics of the tested SRM are shown in Fig. 1. The fully aligned position in mechanical degree is defined at \(\theta=0^\circ\), while \(\theta=30^\circ\) represents the unaligned position.

The phase voltage of SRM can be calculated as follow:

\[ V = R \cdot i + L(i, \theta) \frac{di}{dt} + e, \quad (1) \]

\[ e = \frac{dL(i, \theta)}{d\theta} \cdot i \cdot \omega, \quad (2) \]

where \(V\), \(R\), \(i\), \(L\), \(\omega\), and \(\theta\) represent the phase voltage, stator resistance, phase current, back EMF, inductance, rotor speed (rad/sec), and rotor position, respectively.

The phase torque \(T_{ph}\) of SRM is given by:

\[ T_{ph} = \frac{1}{2} i^2 \cdot \frac{dL(i, \theta)}{d\theta}. \quad (3) \]

The total torque \(T_e\) of SRM is the summation of phase's torques:

\[ T_e = \sum_{n}^{} T_{ph}. \quad (4) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Four phases 8/6 SRM data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rated speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unaligned inductance ((L_u))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winding resistance (R_w)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta_s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\theta_t)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turns per pole</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The average torque is derived by integrating the total torque over one electric cycle as follows:

\[
T_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} T(t) \cdot dt,
\]

with

\[
\tau = \frac{60}{n \cdot N_r},
\]

where, \( \tau \) is the fundamental period time of one electric cycle, \( N_r \) is the number of rotor poles, and \( n \) is the rotor speed in rpm.

The torque ripple (\( T_{\text{trp}} \)) is determined by dividing the difference between maximum and minimum of total torque by average torque:

\[
T_{\text{trp}} = \frac{T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{min}}}{T_{\text{avg}}}. \tag{7}
\]

The copper losses (\( P_{\text{cu}} \)) in stator windings are determined as:

\[
P_{\text{cu}} = m \cdot I^2 R, \tag{8}
\]

and,

\[
I = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau} i_{\text{ph}}^2(t) \cdot dt}, \tag{9}
\]

where \( m \), and \( i_{\text{ph}} \) are the number of phases, the phase current and the RMS phase current, respectively.

3 Problem description

Referring to Eq. (3), the torque produced by each phase depends on the inductance slope and current magnitude. As shown in Fig. 2, a linear inductance profile is used to simplify the analysis of torque production in SRM. The inductance profile can be divided into three periods according to the inductance slope:

1. Constant period \( (dL/d\theta \equiv 0) \): the electromagnetic torque is almost zero.
2. Increasing period \((dL/dθ > 0)\): the positive torque (motoring) is produced by exited the motor's coils in this zone.

3. Decreasing period \((dL/dθ < 0)\): the negative torque (braking) is produced by exited the motor's coils in this zone.

Hence, to ensure maximum efficiency, the phase current must reach its peak at \(θ_m\), where the rotor pole begins to overlap with the rotor pole, and return to zero at \(θ_z\) to avoid producing negative torque \([13–15]\). Therefore, the switching angles \((θ_{on} \text{ and } θ_{off})\) should be adjusted according to the reference current and motor speed to satisfy the conditions mentioned above.

### 3.1 Determination of switch on angle

The switch-on angle based on the assumption of constant inductance in minimum inductance region can be calculated as following \([13–15, 28]\):

\[
θ_{on} = θ_m - \frac{L_u \cdot I_{ref} \cdot ω}{V_{dc}},
\]

where \(L_u\), \(V_{dc}\), \(ω\) and \(I_{ref}\) are the minimum inductance, the supply voltage, the motor speed, and the reference current, respectively.

Equation (10) neglects the back EMF effect during the minimum inductance region, but the back EMF raises as the motor speed increase.

As a result, the back EMF prevents the phase current from reaching its peak value at \(θ_m\), and the equation starts to break down.

Accurate determination of the switch-on angle with represent of the effect of back EMF is given in \([15]\) as follow.

By solving Eq. (1) for the phase current, yields:

\[
i(t) = \frac{V_{dc}}{R + (dL/dθ) \omega} + \left[ I_n - \frac{V_{dc}}{R + ω(dL/dθ)} \right] e^{-\tau/tof},
\]

and,

\[
τ = \frac{L(θ)}{R + ω(dL/dθ)}.
\]

Then the current rise time \((t)\) can be calculated as:

\[
t = \frac{θ_m - θ_{on}}{ω}.
\]

By substituting Eq. (13) into Eq. (11):

\[
\frac{i}{V_{dc}}(R + (dL/dθ) \omega) = 1 - e^{-\tau/tof}.
\]

So the formula of \((θ_{on})\) that realize the first peak of phase current at \(θ_m\) can be written as:

\[
θ_{on} = θ_m + \frac{L(θ)}{R + ω(dL/dθ)} \ln \left[ 1 - \frac{i}{V_{dc}}(R + ω(dL/dθ)) \right].
\]

Equation (15) gives the \(θ_{on}\) over the entire range speed with the consideration of back EMF. Optimal \(θ_{on}\) can be achieved by accurate determination of \(L_u\) and its derivative which can be obtained accurately by the curve fitting method.

### 3.2 Determination of switch off angle

A simple calculation procedure for the \(θ_{off}\) under the single pulse controller can be implemented according to the assumption that the rising and falling times of flux are equal, as shown in Fig. 3 \([13–15]\).

The flux-linkage rising time \((t_{rise})\) and the flux-linkage falling time \((t_{fall})\) are given as:

\[
t_{rise} = -\frac{θ_{off} - θ_{on}}{ω} = t_{fall} = -\frac{θ_z - θ_{off}}{ω}.
\]

From Eq. (16), the can be written as:

\[
θ_{off} = \frac{θ_{on} + θ_z}{2}.
\]

Equation (17) is derived at high-speed operation under a single pulse controller. However, Eq. (17) starts affected by the current chopping mode at low speed operation, leading to increased error as the motor speed decreases. This error can be handled by adding a compensation term as following \([15]\):

\[
θ_{off} = \frac{θ_{on} + θ_z}{2} + \frac{k}{ωI_{ref}}.
\]

where, \(k\) is a constant that can be fitted with reference current and motor speed. However, the analytical methods presented in Section 3 are not suitable for EV applications.
due to the simplified hypothesis, which leads to inaccurate solutions. Furthermore, it does not take the average torque and the effect of torque ripple on the account.

4 The optimization problem
This study aims to find the appropriate switching angles that provide the maximum average torque with minimum torque ripple and copper losses. Even so, realizing all these goals simultaneously is impossible because each goal needs different switching angles. For the sake of realizing the optimum combination of maximum average torque with minimum torque ripple and copper loss, a multi-objective optimization function involving three objectives is employed as follow:

\[
F_{obj}(\theta_{on}, \theta_{off}) = \min \left( w_r \frac{T_b}{T_{avg}} w_r \frac{T_r}{T_{avg}} + w_c \frac{P_{cub}}{P_{avg}} \right),
\]  
\[w_r + w_r + w_c = 1, \]  
\[w_r + |w_c| = 1, \]  
\[w_r + w_r + w_c = 1, \]

where \( F_{obj} \) denotes the multi-objective optimization function. \( T_b \) and \( T_r \) are the base value and weight factor of average torque. \( T_{avg} \) and \( P_{avg} \) are the base value and weight factor of copper losses.

The base values for related criterion at a specific operating point are determined as follow:

\[
P_{cub}(\theta_{on}, \theta_{off}) |_\omega = \min (P_{cub}),
\]  
\[T_{avg}(\theta_{on}, \theta_{off}) |_\omega = \min (T_{avg}), \]
\[T_{avg}(\theta_{on}, \theta_{off}) |_\omega = \max (T_{avg}).
\]

In order to determine the base values for all operating points, a searching algorithm is applied by changing the switching angles step by step for each operating point, as shown in Fig. 4. The average torque, torque ripple, and copper losses are calculated and saved for every stage by the simulation model. After that, the average torque's base value is defined as the highest average torque, while the lowest values of the torque ripple and copper losses are defined as the base values for each one. The switch-on and switch-off angles are restricted to the intervals (-7°, 9°) and (18°, 28°), respectively. The variation step is chosen as 0.1°.

Fig. 5 shows the optimum switching angles with respect to reference current and motor speed.

5 The proposed controller's block diagram
Once the optimum switching angles are obtained from Eq. (19), they are stored in lookup tables \( \theta_{on}(I_{ref}, \omega) \) and \( \theta_{off}(I_{ref}, \omega) \) to build a MATLAB model of the SRM drive system. Each one of the lookup tables has two inputs \((I_{ref}, \omega)\) and one output (one for switch-on angle \( \theta_{on} \) and one for switch-off angle \( \theta_{off} \)).

The block diagram of the proposed controller is shown in Fig. 6. The speed error is processed through a proportional-integral (PI) speed controller to generate the reference current. Then, the hysteresis current controller and commutation controller are used to making the phase current tracks the reference current inside the angle interval \( \theta_{on} \) and \( \theta_{off} \) by providing the gate drive pulses to the power converter.

6 Results and discussion
6.1 Simulation results
The Matlab/Simulink has been used to implement the machine model, and the proposed controller for the tested four phases 8/6 SRM. The proposed controller's simulation results were compared with the analytical method presented in [15] to verify its effectiveness and feasibility. In this paper, as each motor has a different inductance profile, the minimum inductance used in the analytical method was fitted as \( \theta = a e^{(b/\theta)} + c e^{(d/\theta)} \), where \( a = 0.01259, b = 0.02411, c = 9.552, \) and \( d = 0.2512 \). The start overlapping angle between the rotor pole and stator pole \( \theta_m \) is set to 7.5°.

Fig. 7 shows the simulation results for different reference speed and load torque. The machine initially accelerates under 12 Nm load torque until the reference speed of 1500 rpm is reached. Then, the reference speed is changed to 2750 rpm at 0.5 sec, and the load torque is decreased to 8 Nm at 0.35 sec. As shown in Fig. 7(a), the proposed controller has a better speed response, especially at high-speed operation.

Fig. 7(b) and (c) exemplify the total electromagnetic torque produced by the proposed controller and analytical formula, respectively. Compression of the torque ripple generated by the tow controllers is shown in Fig. 7(d). It may be observed that the proposed approach generates a lower torque ripple during both transient and steady-state responses compared to the analytical method.

The variation of switching angles is depicted in Fig. 8(a) and (b); as shown in the figures, the switching angles are advanced as the motor speed and reference current increase. The average torque and copper loss are shown in Fig. 9(a) and (b), respectively.

The results show that the proposed controller adjusts the switching angles to realize the desired balance between the average torque, torque ripple, and copper losses. However, the proposed controller produces a bit lower starting torque to minimize the torque ripple and...
Fig. 4 The flowchart of searching algorithm.

Fig. 5 Obtained switching angles; (a) switch-on angle; (b) switch-off angle.
Fig. 6 The block diagram of the proposed controller.

Fig. 7 Simulation results for different reference speed and load torque; (a) Motor speed; (b) Electromagnetic torque for the proposed controller; (c) Electromagnetic torque for the analytical method; (d) Torque ripple generated by analytical and proposed controller.

Fig. 8 variation of switching angles; (a) The switch-on angle; (b) The switch-off angle.

Fig. 9 Simulation results for different reference speed and load torque; (a) The average Torque; (b) The copper loses.
copper losses. After that, the proposed controller produces higher average torque with lower torque ripple and somewhat consumes higher power losses as the weight factor of average torque and torque ripple are greater than copper losses in the objective function.

The phase current and its position for the two controllers are given in Fig. 10. As can be noted, the phase current always reaches its peak at $\theta_m (7.5^\circ)$ and decay to zero at $\theta_z (30^\circ)$ in the analytical method. In contrast, the positions of peak and decay to zero are varied in the proposed controller with the rotor speed.

6.2 Experimental verification
The proposed and analytical controllers have been experimentally tested to verify the simulation results for the same SRM, as shown in Fig. 11. An electromagnetic brake connected to the SRM serves as a mechanical load. A DSP board (TMS320F28335), an asymmetrical bridge converter, an incremental encoder, a current sensor (LAH 50-P), and voltage and torque transducers were set up to obtain the experimental results. The LabView software and data acquisition board (DAQ NI USB-6009) were used to collect and plot the data.

Figs. 12 and 13 show a comparison between the steady state simulation and experiment results for the total electromagnetic torque and phases’ current at 700 rpm with 130 v supply voltage.

As is obvious, the proposed controller generates higher torque with a lower torque ripple and almost consumes the same amount of power. Furthermore, the experiment results match the simulation results.

7 Conclusions
This paper presents a simple and low-cost control of SRM drive for EV applications based on an optimization algorithm over a wide speed range. The optimum values of switching angles are obtained offline by solving a multi-objective optimization function to realize the maximum average torque and minimum torque ripple, and copper losses. The proposed controller utilizes the obtained values to adjust the switching angle according to the rotor speed and reference current. Comparison of simulation and experiment results between the proposed controller and analytical method show that the proposed controller effectively controls the motor speed under different load values and enhance the drive performance by achieving the desired balance between the average torque, torque ripple, and copper losses.
Fig. 12 The simulation and experiment results of the electromagnetic torque; (a) Experimental electromagnetic torque for the Analytical method ($\theta_{on} = 4.3, \theta_{off} = 19.5$); (b) Experimental electromagnetic torque for the proposed controller ($\theta_{on} = 7.2, \theta_{off} = 23$); (c) Simulated electromagnetic torque for the proposed controller.

Fig. 13 The simulation and experiment results of the phases' currents; (a) Phases' currents for the Analytical method; (b) Phases' currents for the proposed controller; (c) Simulated phases' currents for the proposed controller.
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