# ON SOME SYMPLECTIC GROUP ACTIONS WHERE ALL THE ORBITS ARE EQUIVARIANTLY ISOMORPHIC AND DIFFEOMORPHIC TO A FIXED ORBIT OF THE COADJOINT ACTION

G. MOLNÁR SÁSKA<sup>1</sup>

Department of Geometry Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Technical University of Budapest

Received: November 16, 1992.

#### Abstract

In conformity with the 'Foundations of Mechanics' given by R. ABRAHAM and J. E. MARSDEN [1] let  $(P, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and

 $\Phi:G\times P\to P$ 

a Hamiltonian action of a compact, connected Lie group G on the manifold P.

Considering this setting J. SZENTHE [2] found the following result:

If the isotropy subgroups of the action  $\Phi$  are of maximal rank then all the orbits of  $\Phi$  are equivariantly isomorphic.

Consequently, P is the total space of a differentiable fibre bundle, where the base manifold is the orbit space of the action  $\Phi$  and the fibres are diffeomorphic to a fixed orbit of the coadjoint action.

The aim of the present paper is to develop further characterizations of the above situation as it was suggested by J. J. DUISTERMAAT.

Keywords: symplectic manifold, Lie group, Hamiltonian action, momentum map.

#### Introduction

For sake of conciseness a detailed introduction of some basic facts and notations contained in J. SZENTHE's paper [2] will be omitted here.

Let  $\mathfrak{J}$  be an almost complex structure and  $\langle, \rangle_P$  a Kählerian metric on the given manifold P. (They exist since P is supposed to be paracompact). Moreover

$$\omega(X,Y) = \langle \mathfrak{J}X,Y \rangle_{P}$$

holds for all  $X, Y \in \tau(P)$  and both  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\langle, \rangle_P$  are invariant with respect to the action  $\Phi$ .

Let further

$$\mu: P \to \mathfrak{g}^*$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Supported by Hungarian Nat. Found. for Sci. Research (OTKA) No. 1615 (1991).

be an equivariant momentum map, where  $g^*$  is the dual of the Lie algebra g of G. The momentum map  $\mu$  is supposed to be equivariant with respect to the given Hamiltonian action

$$egin{array}{ll} \Phi:G imes P o P & ext{ and the coadjoint action} \ \Psi:G imes \operatorname{g}^* o \operatorname{g}^* & ext{ defined by} \ \Psi(g,\xi) = \operatorname{Ad}^*(g^{-1})\xi & ext{ for } g\in G ext{ and } \xi\in\operatorname{g}^*. \end{array}$$

Consider now for any fixed  $z \in P$  the isotropy subgroup  $G_z$ , the orbit  $G(z) \cong G/G_z$  of the action  $\Phi$  and the orthogonal decomposition of the tangent space

 $T_z P = T_z G(z) \oplus N_z G(z)$ 

taken with respect to the Kählerian metric  $\langle , \rangle_P$ .

Let  $\xi = \mu(z) \in g^*$ . Then the tangent linear map

$$T_{z}\mu:T_{z}P
ightarrow T_{\xi}g^{*}\cong g^{*}$$

of the momentum map  $\mu$  has the following basic properties:

$$\operatorname{Ker} T_{z} \mu = J_{z} N_{z} G(z),$$
$$\operatorname{Im} T_{z} \mu = m_{z}^{*} \subset g^{*},$$

where  $m_z^* \{ \xi \mid \xi(g_z) = 0, \xi \in g^* \}$  is the annihilator space of the Lie subalgebra  $g_z$  belonging to  $G_z$ .

By the equivariance of  $\mu$  the restricted map

$$T_z \mu \mid T_z G(z) : T_z G(z) \to T_\xi G(\xi)$$

is surjective and so

 $\dim T_z G(z) \ge \dim T_{\xi} G(\xi) \qquad \text{holds in general.}$ 

More precisely, consider the decomposition

$$T_z P = J_z T_z G(z) \oplus J_z N_z G(z).$$

Since the almost complex structure J preserves orthogonality the restricted map

$$T_z \mu \mid J_z T_z G(z) : J_z T_z G(z) \to m_z^*$$

274

is an isomorphism. Using the notation  $W = J_z T_z G(z) \cap N_z G(z)$ , the subspace  $J_z W$  is the kernel of the map  $T_z \mu \mid T_z G(z)$  and so

$$\dim T_z G(z) = \dim T_{\xi} G(\xi) + \dim W \quad \text{is valid.}$$

#### **Proposition 1**

The orbit G(z) is a symplectic submanifold of P if and only if  $\dim W = 0$ , i. e. Im  $T_z \mu = T_{\xi}G(\xi)$  holds.

**Proof** It is enough to show that the restricted symplectic form  $\omega$  is nondegenerated on G(z) if and only if for any non-zero tangent vector  $\overline{Y} \in T_z G(z)$   $T_z \mu(\overline{Y}) \neq 0$  holds.

As it is well known, the momentum map  $\mu$  has the following basic property:

$$\iota_{\overline{X}}\omega = d\langle \mu(z), X \rangle$$

holds on P for any  $X \in g$ , where the vectorfield  $\overline{X}$  is the infinitesimal generator of the action  $\Phi$  belonging to the element  $X \in g$ .

Thus

$$\omega(\overline{X},\overline{Y}) = \langle T_z \mu(\overline{Y}), X \rangle$$

is valid at  $z \in P$  implying the statement of proposition 1.

**Remark** The above notation  $\overline{Y} \in T_zG(z)$  is justified by the fact that having a reductive decomposition

$$g = g_z \oplus m_z$$

of the Lie algebra g there is a canonical isomorphism between  $T_zG(z)$  and  $m_z$ .

**Definition** Let  $R_z \subset T_z P$  be the subspace defined by

$$R_z = \{X \mid T_z \Phi_g(X) = X \text{ for } g \in G_z, \quad X \in T_z P\},\$$

where  $T_z \Phi_g : T_z P \to T_z P$  is the induced action belonging to the element  $g \in G_z$ . A non-zero element  $X \in R_z \cap T_z G(z)$  is called an isotropy fixed vector of the action  $\Phi$ .

### **Proposition 2**

Let G(z) be a principal orbit of the action  $\Phi$  and suppose that  $T_zG(z)$  does not contain isotropy fixed vector. Then G(z) is a symplectic submanifold of P.

Conversely, let G(z) be a symplectic submanifold of P. Then  $T_zG(z)$  does not contain isotropy fixed vector.

#### Proof:

a) In the case of a principal orbit  $N_zG(z) \subset R_z$  is valid. The absence of isotropy fixed vectors implies then that  $N_zG(z) = R_z$ . Using also the fact that  $J_zR_z = R_z$  holds

$$\operatorname{Ker} T_{z} \mu = N_{z} G(z) \quad \text{is obtained.}$$

Thus

$$W = \mathbf{J}_z T_z G(z) \cap N_z G(z) = \{0\}$$
 is valid.

b) If the orbit G(z) is a symplectic submanifold of P then the map

$$T_z \mu \mid T_z G(z) : T_z G(z) \to T_\xi G(\xi) \qquad (\xi = \mu(z))$$

is an isomorphism and so dim  $G_z = \dim G_{\xi}$  holds. On the other hand the equivariance

$$\mu(\Phi_g z) = \Psi_g(\xi)$$
 for all  $g \in G_z$  implies that  $G_z \subset G_\xi$ .

Consequently  $G_z = G_{\xi}$  is valid since  $G(\xi)$  is simply connected and so  $G_{\xi}$  must be connected.

Suppose now indirectly that  $\overline{Y} \in T_z G(z)$  is an isotropy fixed vector, where  $Y \in m_z$ .

Then

$$T_z \Phi_g \overline{Y} = \overline{Ad(g)Y} = \overline{Y}$$
 holds for all  $g \in G_z$  or equivalently  $[Z, Y] = 0$  for all  $Z \in g_{\xi} = g_z$ .

But now  $Y \notin g_{\xi}$  yields that

$$\operatorname{rank} g_{\xi} < \operatorname{rank} g_{\xi}$$

which contradicts the fact that all the isotropy subgroups  $G_{\xi}$  have maximal rank at the coadjoint action  $\Psi$ .

**Remark** If G(z) is a symplectic submanifold of P and it is a principal orbit of  $\Phi$  then

Ker 
$$T_z \mu = N_z G(z) = R_z$$
 is valid.

The preceding propositions allow to formulate a consequence of J. Szenthe's result as follows:

#### Theorem A

Let  $(P,\omega)$  be a symplectic manifold and  $\Phi: G \times P \to P$  a Hamiltonian action of a compact, connected Lie group G. If the orbits of  $\Phi$  are symplectic submanifolds of P then they are all equivariantly isomorphic, i. e. principal orbits. Moreover the given equivariant momentum map  $\mu$  maps the manifold P onto a single orbit  $G(\xi)$  of the coadjoint action  $\Psi$ .

In order to complete this statement with its converse part the following theorem is given:

## Theorem A

If an equivariant momentum map  $\mu$  maps the symplectic manifold P onto a single orbit  $G(\xi)$  of the coadjoint action  $\Psi$  then all the orbits of the action  $\Phi$  are symplectic submanifolds of P and they are equivariantly isomorphic to each other, i. e. the manifold P is a disjoint union of principal orbits.

## **Proof of the theorems**

The proof of theorem A can be carried out analogously to that given in J. Szenthe's paper.

Theorem B can be proved in the following simple way: Consider the isomorphism

$$T_z\mu \mid J_zT_zG(z):J_zT_zG(z)\to m_z^*.$$

Since in our case  $m_z^* = T_\xi G(\xi)$  is valid, the map

$$T_{z}\mu \mid T_{z}G(z):T_{z}G(z) \to T_{\xi}G(\xi) \qquad (\xi=\mu(z))$$

is again an isomorphism and so the subspace

$$J_z W = T_z G(z) \cap J_z N_z G(z)$$
 is 0-dimensional.

Thus G(z) is a symplectic submanifold of P by proposition 1. Different orbits of the action  $\Phi$  are equivariantly isomorphic to each other than through the equivariant momentum map  $\mu$ .

**Remark** The converse part of J. Szenthe's originally given result would be the following:

If an equivariant momentum map  $\mu$  maps the symplectic manifold P onto a single orbit  $G(\xi)$  of the coadjoint action  $\Psi$  then all the isotropy subgroups  $G_z(z \in P)$  of the action  $\Phi$  are of maximal rank.

The proof of this statement is known however only in the case where the Lie group G is supposed to be semisimple. In this case a result of B. P. KOMRAKOV [3] implies that if the orbit G(z) is a symplectic submanifold then the isotropy subgroup  $G_z$  is of maximal rank.

#### References

1. ABRAHAM, R. — MARSDEN, J. E.: Foundation of Mechanics, Second edition, The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Reading, Massachusetts, 1978.

### G. MOLNÁR SÁSKA

- 2. SZENTHE, J.: On Symplectic Actions of Compact Lie Groups with Isotropy Subgroups of Maximal Rank, Acta Scient. Math. Szeged, 1983.
- 3. KOMRAKOV, B. P.: Structures on Manifolds and Homogeneous Spaces (in Russian) Minszk, 'Nauka i Tehnika', 1978.

## Address:

Gábor MOLNÁR SÁSKA Department of Geometry Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Technical University of Budapest H-1521 Budapest, Hungary

278