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## 1. Introduction

The Bessel polynomials were defined by Krall and Frinck [1] by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(x, a, b)={ }_{2} F_{0}\left(-n, a+n-1, \frac{-x}{b}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These polynomials arise in the solution of the wave equation in spherical polar coordinates. A large number of papers has been written on these polynomials (see Ragab, [2] \& [3], Salam [4], Agarwal [5] and Brafman [6]).

In this paper new recurrence relations as well as some series expansions will be established.

The following formulae will be required in the proofs: Dougall [7];

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{5} F_{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
a, 1+1 \frac{1}{2} a, c, d, e ; 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} a, 1+a-c, 1+a-d, 1+a-e
\end{array}\right]=  \tag{2}\\
& =\frac{\Gamma(1+a-c) \Gamma(1+a-d) \Gamma(1+a-e)}{\Gamma(1+a) \Gamma(1+a-d-e) \Gamma(1+a-c-a-c-d-e)} ;
\end{align*}
$$

Vandermond's theorem: if $n$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2} F_{1}(-n, \beta ; \gamma ; 1)=\frac{(\gamma-\beta ; n)}{(\gamma ; n)} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symbol

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\alpha ; r)=\alpha(\alpha+1) \ldots(\alpha+r-1)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+r)}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \\
& (\alpha ; 0)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

And Saalschütz's theorem [8]:

$$
{ }_{3} F_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
a, b,-n ; \quad 1  \tag{4}\\
1+a+b-c-n, c
\end{array}\right]=\frac{(c-a ; n)(c-b ; n)}{(c ; n)(c-a-b ; n)}
$$

where $n$ is a pcsitive integer.

## 2. Recurrence formulae for $y_{n}(x, a, b)$

The formulae to be proved are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{n}(x, a, b)+\frac{n x}{b} y_{n-1}(x, a+2, b)=y_{n}(x, a+1, b)  \tag{5}\\
& y_{n}(x, a, b)-(a+n-1) \frac{x}{b} y_{n-1}(x, a+2, b)=y_{n-1}(x, a+1, b)  \tag{6}\\
& y_{n}^{1}(x, a, b)=\frac{n(a+n-1)}{b} y_{n-1}(x, a+2, b) . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (5), substitute for $y_{n}(x, a, b)$ and $y_{n-1}(x, a+2, b)$ from (1); then the left hand side of (5) becomes:

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{r!}\left(-\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r}+(-n) \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-n+1 ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{r!}\left(-\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r+1}
$$

Now the coefficient of $\left(-\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r}$ in the last expression is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\frac{(-n ; r)(a+n}{r!}-1 ; r\right) \\
&=\frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{r!}+\frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{(a+n-1)(r-1)!}= \\
&=\frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{r!}\left[1+\frac{r}{a+n-1}\right]= \\
&=\frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{r!} \times \frac{a+n-1+r}{a+n-1}= \\
&=\frac{(-n ; r)(a+n ; r)}{r!}= \\
&=\text { coefficient of }\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r} \text { in } y_{n}(x, a+1, b) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of (5).
In the same way (6) can be proved.

To prove (7), substitute for $y_{n}(x, a, b)$ from (1), differentiate term by term, so we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n}^{\prime}(x, a, b)= & \frac{d}{d x}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{r!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r}\right\}= \\
& =-\sum_{r=1}^{n} \frac{(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{b(r-1)!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r-1}= \\
& =-\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-n ; r+1)(a+n-1 ; r+1)}{r!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r}= \\
& =\frac{n(a+n-1)}{b} \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-n+1 ; r)(a+n ; r)}{r!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r}= \\
& =\frac{n(a+n-1)}{b} y_{n-1}(x, a+2, b) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

by applying (1) again. Thus (7) is proved. As Krall and Frinck remarked we see that the derivatives of Bessel polynomials are Bessel polynomials with the parameter increased by 2.

## 3. Representation of $y_{n}(x, a, b)$ as a series of generalised hypergeometric functions of the form ${ }_{4} F_{2}$

The expansion to be proved is:

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}(x, a, b) & =\sum_{r=0}^{n}{ }^{n} C_{r} \frac{(a ; r)\left(1+\frac{1}{2} a ; r\right)(\hat{\beta} ; r)(-n ; r)(a+n-1 ; r)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} a ; r\right)(1+a-\beta+n ; r)(1+a ; 2 r)}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r} \times \\
& \times{ }_{4} F_{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
-n+r, a+n-1+r, 1+a+n+r, 1+a-\beta+r ;-x / b \\
1+a-\beta+n+r ; 1+a+2 r
\end{array}\right] . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove (8), substitute for ${ }_{4} F_{2}$; then the right-hand side of (8) is equal to:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{r=0}^{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-r} \frac{(-n ; r)(a ; r)\left(1+\frac{1}{2} a ; r\right)(\beta ; r)(-n ; r+s)(a+n-1 ; r+s)}{r!s!\left(\frac{1}{2} a ; r\right)(1+a-\beta+n ; r+s)(1+a ; r)(1+a+r ; r+s)} \times \\
\times(-1)^{r} \frac{(1+a-\beta ; r+s)(1+a+n ; r+s)}{(1+a-\beta ; r)(1+a+n ; r)}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r+s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here write $s=p-r$, change the order of summation and the right-hand side of (8) becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; p)(a+n-1 ; p)(1+a-\beta ; p)(1+a+n ; p)}{p!(1+a ; p)(1+a-\beta+n ; p)}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{p} \times \\
\quad \times_{5} F_{4}\left(\frac{a, 1+\frac{1}{2} a, \beta,-n,-p ; 1}{\frac{1}{2} a, 1+a-\beta, 1+a+n, 1+a+p}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now sum the ${ }_{5} F_{4}$ by means of (2) and so obtain the left-hand side of (8). Thus (8) is proved.

## 4. Series expansions of the Bessel polynomials

The formula to be established is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{\mu}{k} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{k} y_{n-k}(x, a+2 k+2, b)=y_{n}(x, a+\mu+2, b) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\binom{\mu}{k}=(-1)^{k} \frac{(-\mu ; k)}{k!}
$$

To prove (9) substitute for the Bessel polynomials in the left; then the lefthand side of (9) becomes

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{r=0}^{n-k}(-1)^{k} \frac{(-\mu ; k)(-n ; k)(-n+k ; r)(n+k+a+1 ; r)}{k!r!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{k+r}
$$

Here write $k=p-r$; then the last expression becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(n ; p)(-\mu ; p)}{p!}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{p} \sum_{r=0}^{p} \frac{(-a-n-p ; r)(-p ; r)}{r!(1+\mu-p ; r)}= \\
= & \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)(-n ; p)(-\mu ; p)}{(-1) p!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{p}{ }_{2} F_{1}(-p,-a-n-p ; 1+\mu-p ; 1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now sum the ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ by Vandermond's theorem and the last expression becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; p)(-\mu ; p)}{p!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{p} \frac{(1+\mu+a+n ; p)}{(-\mu ; p)}= \\
& \quad=\sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; p)(1+\mu+a+n ; p)}{p!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{p}=y_{n}(x, a+\mu+2, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the right-hand side of (9). Thus (9) is proved.
This was proved for the particular case $b=2$ in another form by Salam [4] p. 152.
5. The second series expansion to be proved is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{k} k_{r}(a+2 n+1-k ; r) y_{n+1-k}(x, a+r, b)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r}=y_{n+1}(x, a, b) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k, n$ are any positive integer or zero such that $n+1-k \geqslant 0$.
As before the left-hand side of (10) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{r=0}^{k} c_{r}(a+2 n+1-k ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r}{ }_{2} F_{0}\left(k-n-1, a+n-k+r ;-\frac{x}{b}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{k} \sum_{s=0}^{n+1-k} \frac{(-k ; r)(a+2 n+1-k ; r)(k-n-1 ; s)(a+n-k+r ; s)}{r!s!} \times\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r+s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here put $p=r+s$ where $p$ is the new parameter of summation and the last expression becomes

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{p}=0}^{n+1} \frac{(k-n-1 ; p)(a+n-k ; p)}{p!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{3} F_{2}\binom{a+2 n+1-k,-p,-k ; 1}{a+n-k, 2-k+n-p} .
$$

Now sum the ${ }_{3} F_{2}$ by Saalschütz's theorem (4) and the left hand side (10) is equal to

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{p}=0}^{n+1} \frac{(-n-1 ; p)(a+n ; p)}{p!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{\mathrm{p}}=y_{n+1}(x, a, b)
$$

Thus (10) is proved.
When $k=1$; (10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}(x, a, b)+\frac{x}{b}(\mathrm{a}+2 n) y_{n}(x, a+1 ; b)=y_{n+1}(x, a, b) . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. Generalisation of (5)

The formula to be proved is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m_{r}} c_{r}(-n ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} y_{n-r}(x, a+2 r, b)=y_{n}(x, a+m, b) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is any positive integer. This generalises the recurrence relation (5) of $\S 2$. because when $m=1$, (12) becomes (5).

To prove (12), assume it is true for a particular value of $m$. Thus (12) with $a+1$ instead of a becomes

$$
\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m} c_{r}(-n ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} y_{n-r}(x, a+2 r+1, b)=y_{n}(x, a+m+1, b)
$$

Now apply (5) to each term on the left and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y_{n}(x, a+m+1, b)=\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m} c_{r}(-n ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} y_{n-r}(x, a+2 r, b)+ \\
&+\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m} c_{r}(-n ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r+1}(n-r) y_{n-r-1}(x, a+2 r+2, b)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second of those two series, write $r-1$ for $r$, add the two series, applying the identity

$$
{ }^{m} c_{r}+{ }^{m} c_{r-1}={ }^{m+1} c_{r}
$$

then the last expression becomes

$$
y_{n}(x, a+m+1, b)=\sum_{r=0}^{m+1}(-1)^{r}(-n ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} m+1 c_{r} y_{n-r}(x, a+2 r, b)
$$

which is (12) with $m+1$ in place of $m$. But (12) is true when $m=1$; therefore it follows by induction that (12) is true for all positive values of $m$. This completes the proof of (12).

## 7. Generalisation of Formula (6)

The theorem to be established is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m} c_{r}(a+n-1 ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} y_{n-r}(x, a+2 r, b)=y_{n-m}(x, a+m, b) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ is any positive integer.
(13) also generalises formula (6) of $\S 2$. because when $m=1$; then (13) becomes (6).

To prove (13), assume it is true for a particular value of $m$. Thus (13) with ( $n-1$ ) instead of $n$ and $a+1$ instead of $a$ becomes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m} c_{r}(a+n-1 ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} y_{n-r-1}(x, a+2 r+1, b)= \\
=y_{n-1-m}(x, a+m+1, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

Now apply (6) to each term on the left and get

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{n-1-m}(x, a+m+1, b)=\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r m} c_{r}(a+n-1 ; r)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r} \times \\
\times y_{n-r}(x, a+2 r, b)+\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r+1} m_{r}(a+n-1 ; r) \times \\
\times(a+n+r-1)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{r+1} y_{n-r-1}(x, a+2 r+2, b)
\end{gathered}
$$

As before, in the second of these two series, write $r-1$ for $r$, and applying the last identity, namely

$$
{ }^{m} c_{r}+{ }^{m} c_{r-1}={ }^{m+1} c_{r}
$$

then the last expression becomes (13) with $m+1$ in place of $m$.
But (13) is true when $m=1$; therefore it follows that (13) is true for all positive integer values of $m$. Thus (13) is proved.

## 8. The formula now to be proved is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{s=0}^{[n / 2]} \frac{1}{s!}\left(\frac{a}{2}+\frac{n}{2}-\frac{1}{2} ; s\right)(-n ; 2 s)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{2 s} y_{n-2 s}(x, a+4 s, b)=  \tag{14}\\
=y_{n}\left(x, \frac{a}{2}-\frac{n}{2}+\frac{1}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

To prove (14), substitute for $y_{n-2 s}(x, a+4 s, b)$ from (1); then the left-hand side of (14) is equal to

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{[n 2]} \sum_{r=0}^{n-2 s} \frac{\left(\frac{a+n-1}{2} ; s\right)(-n ; 2 s)(-n+2 s ; r)(a+n-1+2 s ; r)}{s!r!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{r+2 s} .
$$

Here write $p=2 s+r$, where $p$ is the new parameter of summation and the last expression becomes

$$
\sum_{p=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; p)(a+n-1 ; p)}{p!}\left(\frac{-x}{b}\right)^{p}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{-p}{2}, \frac{1-p}{2} ; \frac{a+n}{2} ; 1\right) .
$$

Again sum the ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ by Vandermond's theorem, and the left-hand side of (14) becomes

$$
\sum_{\mathrm{p}=0}^{n} \frac{(-n ; p)(a+n-1 ; p)}{p!} \times \frac{\left(\frac{a+n-1}{2} ; p\right)}{(a+n-1 ; p)}\left(\frac{-2 x}{b}\right)^{p}
$$

by applying the duplication formula for the gamma function. But the last expression is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{p}=0}^{n} & \frac{(-n ; p)\left(\frac{a+n-1}{2} ; p\right)}{p!}\left(\frac{-2 x}{b}\right)^{\mathrm{p}}= \\
& ={ }_{2} F_{0}\left(-n, \frac{a+n-1}{2} ; \frac{-2 x}{b}\right)=y_{n}\left(x, \frac{a-n+1}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right)= \\
& =\text { right-hand side of (14). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (14) is proved.
A particular case of interest is when $n=2$. In that case (14) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{2}(x, a, b)+(u+1)\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{2} y_{0}(x, a+4, b)=y_{2}\left(x, \frac{a-1}{2}, \frac{b}{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be obtained directly by comparing coefficients of different powers of $x$.

In the same way for even $n$ the following formula can be established:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{s=0}^{[n / 2]} \frac{\left(\frac{-n}{2} ; s\right)(a+n-1 ; 2 s)}{s!}\left(\frac{x}{b}\right)^{2 s} y_{n-2 s}(x, a+4 s, b)= \\
={ }_{2} F_{0}\left(\frac{-n}{2}, a+n-1 ; \frac{-2 x}{b}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Summary

The Bessel polynomials defined by the formula

$$
y_{n}(x, a, b)={ }_{\Omega} F_{0}\left(-n, a+n-1,-\frac{x}{b}\right)
$$

arise in the solution of the wave equation in spherical polar coordinates. In this paper some xecurrence relationships and series expansions are established for the Bessel polynomials.
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