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Abstract
The development of the aerofoil-shaped turbomachine blades is of prime importance for achieving the appropriate deflection of a three dimensional flow through desired angles, to work at the same degree of incidence and thereby providing the required performances for the specific machine. The sensitivity of the rotor to incidence effects and tendency of the flow to separate from one or the other blade surface have given rise to considerations of the optimum incidence angle and cone angle for a mixed inflow turbine by a numerical investigation using the ANSYS-CFX code. In order to keep the rotor in the same casing some geometrical parameters have been hold constant and the Bezier polynomial is used to generate the new shape of the rotor blade when changing the cone angle magnitude.
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1 Introduction
The mixed inflow turbines are suitable for many applications and particular attention is paid to systems where compact power sources are required, with higher boost pressure. Vehicle diesel engines use turbocharging and operate over a wide range of speeds and loads. Mixed inflow turbine geometry is designed by radially bending the leading edge of a radial turbine. This has the advantage to reduce flow path curvature and decrease formation of secondary flow generally found in the radial turbines. By altering the cone angle, it is then possible to achieve a non-zero value of the blade angle, thus causes the peak efficiency to shift to a lower velocity ratio magnitude (U/C=0.64). When rotor blades are suitably shaped and properly oriented in the flow, the useful force acting on it is considerably larger. Thus, the effects of changing the blade angle and cone angle on the performance of a mixed inflow turbine are investigated by a numerical analysis. In order to get a better physical meaning of the cone angle. It is defined us the angle formed by the radial direction and any mixed inflow direction. There is no definitive optimum cone angle for a mixed flow rotor and different values are usually used. Nevertheless, the values used by researchers in the past are shown in Table 1 can be used as a basic reference.

Many numerical and experimental studies were carried out to determine the performances of mixed inflow turbine usable in the overfeeding of the internal combustion engines and to include/understand the phenomena in the course of their operation. The design approach of the mixed flow turbine was developed by a limited number of researchers in the world. Baines et al. [1] examined two mixed inflow turbines and achieved similar agreement with Wallace and Blair works. Abidat [2] and Abidat et al. [3] developed a new method of the blade profile generation by using the Bezier polynomials, showed that the Reducing of blade number for mixed-flow turbine with a constant blade angle from 12 to 10 leads to higher blade loading and results in about a 2% efficiency penalty. They were able to confirm a similar test result with Baines et al. [1]. Leonard et al. [4] studied the automotive turbocharger mixed flow turbine inlet geometry for off design performance. According
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to Leonard et al. [4] it is evident that the simple addition of a blade cone angle to a design alone is not adequate to yield efficiency improvements at low velocity ratio conditions. Furthermore, Bernhardt Lüddeke et al. [5] compared the efficiency between a mixed flow turbine and radial turbine for different rotational speeds, and showed that the blade speed ratio where optimum efficiency is reached is usually lower than the commonly quoted blade speed ratio of 0.7. Wallace et al. [6] used the one-dimensional analysis and the empirical models of losses of energy to calculate the optimum dimensions of a mixed inflow rotor. Moreover, a systematic approach to optimize the mixed flow turbines design was explained by the works of Chen and Baines; who attempted to minimize energy losses by reducing the rotor inlet velocity and rotor exit velocity. Chen and Baines [7] afterward finished that the mixed inflow turbine design can be optimized by setting the exit swirl angle to zero or some positive value, thereby balancing reduced internal loss and increased exit loss. Subrata Kr. Ghosh [8] presented design procedure of the turbine wheel of mixed flow impellers Abidat et al. [9] were studied the influence of the clearance between the rotor tips blades and the casing on the turbine performances and showed that the efficiency decreases with the clearance between the rotor blade tip and the casing.

\[
\tan(\beta_{2b}) = \cos(\lambda)\tan(\Phi)
\]  

(1)

There are currently several works and research on the Bezier Polynomial to facilitate and improve the adaptation of the schemes to the needs of the designer. Dušan Páleš et al. [18] explained in detail creation of the calculation algorithm together with the resulting program on Bezier Polynomial. Andrej Blejec [19] presented the application of Bernstein-Bezier polynomials for smoothing of noisy data. Ferdous Ahmed Sohel et al. [20] defined and enhanced Bezier curve (EBC) models which seamlessly incorporate local information. Hong-Jie Cai et al. [21] proposed three new algorithms, in a unified approach, for the degree reduction of Bezier curves, approximating rational Bezier curves by Bezier curves and the degree reduction of rational Bezier curves respectively. F. Ghomanjani [22] applied the Bernstein’s approximation on delay differential equations and delay systems with inverse delay that models these problems.

### 2 Rotor shape generation

The mixed inflow turbines with the rotor type A [2] shown in Fig. 1, defined with its constant blade angle, is under investigation.

One try to obtain the new rotor shapes by changing the cone angle values and keeping the same geometrical magnitude of the following parameters \(b_2, D_2, X_1, b_2, D_{sh}, D_h, D_3\) in order to conserve the same casing. The Bezier polynomial is adopted for this purpose. The relations below are used (Eq. (2) and Eq. (3)).

### Table 1 The result of past researchers for efficiency versus cone and inlet blade angle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>(\delta_b[^{\circ}])</th>
<th>(\beta_{ib}[^{\circ}])</th>
<th>(\eta)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baines et al. [1] (experimentally)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abidat et al. [2],[3] (experimentally)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonard et al. [4] (Numerically)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

M. H. Padzillah et al. [10] studied numerically and experimentally the effect of flow angle on the characteristics of mixed flow turbine and showed that only part of the turbine span is operating under optimum condition. F.J. Wallace [11] presented in his Phd a symmetric approach to the design of radial inflow and mixed inflow turbines. Assim Hameed et al. [12] investigated the wake domain downstream of blade cascade with blowing coolant flow and found that the flow structure in the wake is dominated by very strong random vortices close to the suction side and the trailing edge, the wake zones decrease in life distance with decreasing in blowing ratio. Chan M.kim et al. [13] analyzed the performance of mixed flow turbine and compared with the experimentally results. Sepehr Sanaye et al. [14] studied the flow around blade cross sections and predicted the corresponding noise emission, he used the Bezier polynomial for the optimization of airfoil shape computations. N Karamanis et al. [15] investigated the steady and unsteady performances of a mixed-flow turbocharger turbine with a constant blade inlet angle. Usawah et al. [16] optimized the hub and the shroud in order to increase the mixed inflow turbine efficiency.

![Fig. 1 The blade meridian view](image-url)
\[ r = (1-u)^4 r_0 + 4u(1-u)^3 r_1 + 6u^2(1-u)^2 r_2 + 4u^3(1-u)r_3 \]  
\[ x = (1-u)^4 x_0 + 4u(1-u)^3 x_1 + 6u^2(1-u)^2 x_2 + 4u^3(1-u)x_3 \]

To trace the hub and the shroud, it is necessary to calculate the coordinates of items 0, 1, C, 2, and 3 given according to the blade dimensions in reference [23]. The blade shape for different cone angle are represented in Fig. 2.

Fig. 2 Meridian blade view for different cone angle.

The curve of the leading edge is obtained by the following relations (Eq. (4) and Eq. (5)):

\[ \theta = \theta_{\text{ref}} + \frac{1}{\sin(\delta_2)} \int_{\theta_{\text{ref}}}^{\theta_{\text{hub}}} \tan(\beta(x)) \frac{dx}{r} \]  
\[ r = r_{\text{hub}} + (x - x_{\text{ref}}) \tan(\delta_2) \]

To determine the remainder of the camberline, it is obligatory to calculate the coordinates of items 0, 1, b, 2, and 3 (see Fig. 3) given according to the blade dimensions in reference [23] where the Bezier polynomial is used. The following forms are applied (Eq. (6) and Eq. (7)):

\[ x = (1-u)^4 x_0 + 4u(1-u)^3 x_1 + 6u^2(1-u)^2 x_2 + 4u^3(1-u)x_3 \]  
\[ \theta = (1-u)^4 \theta_0 + 4u(1-u)^3 \theta_1 + 6u^2(1-u)^2 \theta_2 + 4u^3(1-u)\theta_3 \]

The three dimensional geometrical blade shapes for different cone angles at an inlet blade angle equals to 20\(^\circ\) are represented in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4 Three dimensional geometrical shapes

It appears three dimensional changes in rotor shape, in curvatures with an enlarged hub which should allow a gradual energy transformations and a compressed shroud with a shorter flow path. Rotor blade surface calculations have been conducted for different cone angles to facilitate the result interpretations. Actually, due to the three dimensionality, larger cone angles result in smaller surfaces (see Table 2).

Table 2 The blade area in mm\(^2\) resulted for different cone angles and inlet blade angles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\delta_2)</th>
<th>20°</th>
<th>30°</th>
<th>40°</th>
<th>45°</th>
<th>50°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\beta_2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>970</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10°</td>
<td>1070</td>
<td>1020</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20°</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>984</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two different cases have been studied, the first one is concerned with a fixed volute exit area orientation with a variable rotor surface entrance. The cone angle is affecting the orientation of the rotor entrance and the inlet blade angle is varied. The second situation, the rotor cone angle is varied and the volute exit surface follows the orientation of the former. Both surfaces are parallel as shown on Fig. 5.

3 Grid generations and numerical method

The numerical solution of partial differential equations wants some discretization of the field into a group of points or basic volumes. The differential equations are approximated by a set of algebraic equations on this collection, and this algebraic equation system is then treated to produce a set of discrete values which approximates the solution of the partial differential system over the domain. The discretization of the field requires some union for the solution thereon to be efficient, i.e., it must be possible to readily identify the points or cells neighboring the computation site. Furthermore, the discretization
must conform to the boundaries of the region in such a way that boundary conditions can be accurately represented. This organization is asked by a organize system, and the need for alignment with the boundary is reflected in the routine choice of cartesian coordinates for rectangular regions, cylindrical coordinates for circular regions, etc., to the extent of the handbook’s resources. There exist two types of grid: the structured grid and the no structured grid. In this study, the unstructured hexahedral grid was used, because it copes well with turbomachine simulations. To carry out this grid, it is necessary to create a numerical field associated with the physical field. The grid is generated by the determination of the number of the nodes according to the three directions, starting from these operations. The grid obtained is represented in Fig. 6. To reach more precise results the grid meadows of walls is refined (see Fig. 7) in order to obtain more informations on the distribution of the thermodynamic parameters in the boundary layer. The determination of the geometry and the grid generation were made by ANSYS ICEM-CFD code. In our simulation one used ANSYS-CFX, the finite volume approach is adopted (or control volume) which is popular in CFD as a result, primarily, of two advantages. First, they ensure that the discretization is conservative, i.e., mass, momentum, and energy are conserved in a discrete sense. While this property can usually be obtained using a finite-difference formulation, it is obtained naturally from a finite-volume formulation. Second, finite-volume method does not require a coordinate transformation in order to be applied on irregular meshes. As a result, it can be applied on unstructured meshes consisting of arbitrary polyhedral in three dimensions or arbitrary polygons in two dimensions. This increased flexibility can be used to great advantage in generating grids about arbitrary geometries.

4 Flow simulations in a mixed inflow turbine

A highly three dimensional, compressible, viscous flow in the mixed inflow turbine with rotor type A is investigated by solving numerically the Reynolds averaged equation of conservation. In all real flow situations the physical laws of conservation are relevant. These submit to the conservation respectively of mass (Eq. (8)), momentum (Eq. (9)) and energy (Eq. (10)). The equation of state (Eq. (11)) completes the set that desires to be solved if some or all of the parameters controlling the flow are unidentified.

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \left( \rho \vec{v} \right) = 0 \]  
\[ \rho \frac{D\vec{v}}{Dt} = -\nabla P + \rho \vec{f} \]  

\[ \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{D\vec{v}}{Dt} = -\nabla P + \rho \vec{f} \]
\[
\frac{De}{Dt} + \frac{d(V^2/2)}{dt} = dq + dw \quad (10)
\]

\[
p = \rho RT \quad (11)
\]

The flow through turbomachines flows is turbulent thus, it introduces new variables in averaged Navier-Stokes equations, to close these equations it necessary to introduce a turbulence model. The applied turbulence model is the standard k-\(\varepsilon\) model. The applied turbulence model is the standard k-\(\varepsilon\) model. This model assumes that the eddy viscosity \(\mu_t\) is related to the turbulent kinetic energy \(k\) and its dissipation rate \(\varepsilon\) presented by the relation Eq. (14)

\[
\mu_t = pC_{\mu} k^2 \varepsilon \quad (14)
\]

Where \(C_{\mu} = 0.09\) and \(k, \varepsilon\) are defined by the equations Eq. (15) and Eq. (16):

\[
\frac{\partial (pk)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (pkU) = \nabla \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k} \right) \nabla k \right] + P_k - \rho \varepsilon \quad (15)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial (p\varepsilon)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (p\varepsilon U) = \nabla \left[ \left( \mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\varepsilon} \right) \nabla \varepsilon \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{k} (C_{\varepsilon} P_k - C_{\varepsilon k} \rho \varepsilon) \quad (16)
\]

In this model \(P_k\) is the turbulence production and \(\sigma_k = 1.00, \sigma_\varepsilon = 1.30, C_{\varepsilon} = 1.44, C_{\varepsilon k} = 1.92\) are constants determined experimentally.

5 Grid generation solution dependency

The mesh generation should be carefully treated in order to discard any dependency on the flow field solution in the resolution of the three dimensional compressible viscous flow. A refined grid generation is recommended in flow regions with high gradients. So that the solutions of the numerical simulation are not approximations, the analysis of the grids quality as its influence on the results is a fundamental point which deserves a special attention. The errors related to the grid must disappear for increasingly fine mesh, until asymptotically reaching numerical values independent of the size of the grids. Thus, to analyze the quality of the grid and its influence on the solution, four grids of 107244, 233844, 333372, and 415030 elements were tested on the rotor type A. The analysis of the graphs show that the number of elements does not have any influence on the torque and the mass flow rate (see Fig. 8), a negligible influence on the static pressure distribution around the rotor blade (see Fig. 9). On the other hand there exists a variation in the efficiency graph. The Fig. 8 shows that the optimal grid number is higher or equal to 333372 elements which is applied in the numerical simulations.

6 Numerical model validations

The numerical validation is tested by the experimental results of Chen and Abidat [2] on rotor type A. The expansion pressure ratio defined as the inlet stagnation pressure over the exit static pressure; is represented along the blade axial direction for a rotational speed of 57900 rpm and an absolute flow angle equals to -13°. The results are shown in Fig. 10, a good agreement between numerical simulation and experimental results is observed.
7 Results and discussions

The numerical simulations on the airfoil shaped blades for variable cone angles (20°, 30°, 40°, 45° and 50°) and different inlet blade angles (0°, 10°, 20° and 30°) have revealed some instructive results linked with the turbine total to static efficiency and the pressure distribution around the rotor blade which is related to the loading effect.

The second point of interest in this study is a performance comparison between the fixed orientation of the volute exit case and the parallel surfaces; volute exit - rotor inlet case; as shown in Fig. 5.

7.1 The turbine total to static efficiency

It is observed that the effect of the cone angle and the inlet blade angle on the turbine efficiency is obvious and not negligible. In the case of parallel volute exit (see Fig. 11), it is noticed that in the range of inlet blade angle between 0° and 20°, all the concerned point except for the 50° cone angles, the curves are around the level of the maximum efficiency. Once the inlet blade angle is increased over 20°, this indicates a fall of the efficiency which could be due to the fact that the flow can not adjust to the new direction, this causes a large incidence shock losses. Also, as the cone angle is raised, the efficiency is decreased because the fluid flow direction is tending to an axial direction in a centrifugal machine.

The fixed volute exit case on Fig. 12, shows a gradual decrease of the efficiency starting from the maximum value which corresponds to an inlet blade angle equals to zero. This confirms a great sensitivity of the efficiency with the inlet blade angle, which may due to a less flow guidance toward the rotor blade. The effect of the cone angle is reversed compared to the parallel volute case, since the surface of the blade is diminishing (see Table 2) the friction losses become smaller.

7.2 The static pressure distribution around the blade at the mean radius

The pressure distributions around the rotor blades, for different inlet blade angles and cone angles for both cases are presented. Each curve is specific to a cone angle magnitude, with a varying inlet blade angle.

The observation of numerical results for both cases; non parallel surface areas and parallel one; show that, the magnitude of blade angle equals to 10° represents an optimal value since it leads to a large and more uniform pressure distribution at any value of cone angle (see Figs. 13-22). Also for both cases; concerned with the pressure distribution at the cone angle 50°; the curves obtained for different values of blade angles present a trend towards coincided curves, which means a slight dependency of the pressure contours on the blade angle. There is a better blade loading, on account of the larger static pressure difference between the suction side en the pressure side.

Apparently, the benefit acquired with parallel surfaces: volute-rotor, overcomes the losses incurred by a large blade surface area.
Fig. 13 The static pressure distribution around of the blade at the mean radius for 20° cone angle of fixed volute

Fig. 14 The static pressure distribution around of the blade at the mean radius for 20° cone angle of parallel volute

Fig. 15 The static pressure distribution around of the blade at the mean radius for 30° cone angle of fixed volute

Fig. 16 The static pressure distribution around of the blade at the mean radius for 30° cone angle of parallel volute

Fig. 17 The static pressure distribution around of the blade at the mean radius for 40° cone angle of fixed volute

Fig. 18 The static pressure distribution around of the blade at the mean radius for 45° cone angle of fixed volute
8 Conclusion

The results of a numerical prediction for a mixed inflow turbine under different inlet blade angles and cone angles are presented and discussed in this paper. Two cases are treated, the first one, the volute exit area orientation is hold fixed and the rotor inlet is varied with different values of cone angles. The second one, both surface areas are parallel. The benefit with regard to a 10° blade angle, a large and more uniform pressure distribution around the rotor blade is obtained for any value of cone angle. The striking results are linked to the 50° cone angle, which show almost no dependency of the pressure distribution on the blade angle. The maximum efficiency presents opposite trends between the two cases, the largest cone angle for the optimum efficiency and inversely. Eventually a fair compromise solution can be found. A less number of blades is suggested for a cone angle of 20° in the case of parallel surfaces due to a large surface, weight, inertia and a wide range of operation for maximum efficiency is remarked. But the first case, with fixed volute exit seems to be more practical; without the penalty of varying the exit casing. Also leading to a larger value of the optimum efficiency, but for a one specific operating point.

Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D_2$</td>
<td>Mean diameter at rotor inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_2$</td>
<td>Blade height at rotor inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_2$</td>
<td>Cone angle at rotor inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_3$</td>
<td>Exducer hub diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_{35}$</td>
<td>Exducer tip diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$</td>
<td>Length of the rotor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
<td>Camber angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{ref}$</td>
<td>Reference camber angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{ref}$</td>
<td>Reference axial distance of the blade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Phi$</td>
<td>The inlet blade angle in the axial and tangent plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda$</td>
<td>The flow cone angle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta$</td>
<td>efficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{2b}$</td>
<td>Mean blade angle at rotor inlet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{rb}$</td>
<td>The radius at the tip rotor inlet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$X_{in}$  The axial distance for the initial point of the hub

$D_s$  Exducer root mean square diameter

$X$  Axial polar variable

$r$  Radial polar variable

$u$  variable between o and 1

$p$  Density

$\dot{V}$  Absolute velocity

$t$  time

$\bar{B}$  body Forces

$VP$  Pressure forces

$f$  Friction forces

$e$  Specific internal energy

$q$  Heat transfer energy

$W_s$  The specific external force work

$P$  pressure

$T$  Temperature

$R$  Perfect gas constant
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