

Analysis of purchasing activity with discounted cash flow inventory models

Gyöngyi Vörösmarty / Imre Dobos

Received 2013-02-08

Abstract

The classical economic order quantity model has two types of costs: ordering and inventory holding costs. In this paper we try to investigate the effect of purchasing activity on cash flow of a firm. In the examinations we use a cash flow identity similar to that of in inventory modeling. In our approach we analyze the purchasing and ordering process with discounted costs. The cost function of the model consists of linear cash holding, linear opportunity cost of spending cash, and linear interest costs. We show the optimal solution of the proposed model. The optimal solutions will be presented by numerical examples.

Keywords

Net present value · discounted cash flow · cash balance problem · inventory models · purchasing

Acknowledgement

Imre Dobos gratefully acknowledges the financial supports by TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0051 research program and the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD).

Gyöngyi Vörösmarty

Department of Logistics and Supply Chain Management, Corvinus University of Budapest, Fővám tér 8., 1093 Budapest, Hungary
e-mail: gyongyi.vorosmarty@uni-corvinus.hu

Imre Dobos

Department of Logistics and Supply Chain Management, Corvinus University of Budapest, Fővám tér 8., 1093 Budapest, Hungary
e-mail: imre.dobos@uni-corvinus.hu

1 Introduction

The cash balance model was first analyzed by Baumol [1]. He has applied the classical EOQ inventory model to investigate the demand of a firm for cash. This model is a deterministic cash model. Other deterministic cash balance problems was examined by Mensching et al. [15]. They have analyzed a simple cash balance problem similar that of aggregate production planning problems. The analysis is seeking of an optimal solution and the planning horizons. Chand and Morton [2] have supplied a further examination of the deterministic cash balance problem in direction of the planning horizons. Sethi and Thompson [24] have modeled a two-asset dynamic cash balance problem. They have solved the problem with the help of Pontryagin's maximum principle. The solution is a bang-bang optimal control. An introductory cash management chapter is presented in textbook of Ross and Westerfield [22].

The first stochastic cash balance model was initiated by Miller and Orr [16]. This basic model was generalized in several direction, e.g. Eppen and Fama [6–8], Girgis [9], Neave [18], Porteus [19], Heyman [12], Kamin [14], Constantinides [3], Inderfurth and Schneeweiss [13], Constantinides and Richard [4]. These stochastic models are solved with dynamic programming and under different cost structure. The mentioned models are critically examined by Daellenbach [5] and asked, whether these models fit the practice.

Other papers investigate the cash management practices in the context of cash flow, e.g. Gitman, Moses and White [10], Morris [17], Sartoris and Hill [23], Vickson [27], and Premachandra [20]. A good introduction in the stochastic cash management problems are supplied by Tapiero [25], who presents the basic models with solution propositions.

Thorstenson [26] has examined the capital costs in the inventory models. In his work Thorstenson has investigated the effect of discounting in cash flow inventory models. Our approach is similar that of Thorstenson. The aim of the paper is to analyze the allocation of cash in purchasing activity. The proposed model is a dynamic cash flow model with discounted costs. The cash flow identity consists of cash transfer, credit and cash requirements. The goal function has three linear elements cash

holding, cash transfer, and interest costs. We solve this problem with linear programming.

The second part of the paper shows parameters, variables and goal function of the model. The next chapter characterizes some properties of the optimal solution. The fourth part presents some numerical examples, and last we summarize the results of the paper.

2 The model

The parameters of the model:

r	discount rate
T	length of the planning horizon
I_0	initial cash level
M	available quantity of money for purchasing in the planning horizon
p_i^t	price of the i th product or service in the t th period
x_i^t	required quantity of the i th product or service in the t th period
X_t	the cash requirements in the t th period
h	the holding cost of cash
c	opportunity cost of spending cash to buy products and services
i	interest rate of credit

The variables of the model:

I_t	available cash level in time t , nonnegative
Y_t	cash transfer paid for products and services in period t , nonnegative
C_t	credit requirements in period t , nonnegative

Let us assume that cash requirements of the firm are given for the purchasing department. If the prices (p_i^t) and the required quantities (x_i^t) of products and services are known in any period, then these requirements can be calculated as follows:

$$X_t = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^t \cdot x_i^t,$$

where number n is the number of the sum of products and services.

In this model we assume that the cash flow of the purchasing department can be modeled as an inventory balance equation. The inventory balance equation consists of the sum of spent cash by purchasing department (Y_t) and used credit (C_t) reduced by the cash requirements (X_t) defined above:

$$I_t = I_{t-1} + Y_t + C_t - X_t \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T, \quad (1)$$

I_0 is given and equal to zero.

Let us assume that the purchasing department disposes of a given quantity of money:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T Y_t \leq M. \quad (2)$$

We assume that the variables of the model are nonnegative:

$$I_t \geq 0, \quad Y_t \geq 0, \quad C_t \geq 0 \quad (t = 1, 2, \dots, T). \quad (3)$$

The goal function of the model is the sum of the discounted period costs:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot (h \cdot I_t + c \cdot Y_t + i \cdot C_t) \rightarrow \min. \quad (4)$$

We will analyze the optimal solution of model (1)-(4).

3 Properties of the optimal solution of the model (1)-(4)

Model (1)-(4) is a linear programming problem. Before we solve this problem we give some properties of the model. We investigate the dependence of the model on the parameters. First we examine the dependence of optimal solution on the cost parameters c and i . Then we analyze the solution in dependence on available cash (M) and the sum of the required cash ($\sum_{t=1}^T X_t$) for purchasing.

Let us assume that the optimal solution of model (1)-(4) is $\{I_t^0, Y_t^0, C_t^0\}_1^T$. The next property shows that the optimal inventory level is zero along the planning horizon.

Property 1 *In the optimal solution the cash levels are zero in the planning horizon: $I_t^0 = 0 \quad (t = 1, 2, \dots, T)$.*

Proof (a) It is assumed that $c > i$. Let us reformulate the goal function as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot (h \cdot I_t + c \cdot Y_t + i \cdot C_t) \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot [h \cdot I_t + i \cdot (Y_t + C_t) + (c - i) \cdot Y_t]. \end{aligned}$$

In this formula using (1) we can write

$$Y_t + C_t = I_t - I_{t-1} + X_t. \quad (5)$$

Substituting (5) in the reformulated goal function we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot [h \cdot I_t + i \cdot (Y_t + C_t) + (c - i) \cdot Y_t] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot \left(h + \frac{r}{1+r} \cdot i \right) \cdot I_t + \frac{h+i}{(1+r)^{T-1}} \cdot I_T \\ &+ \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{c-i}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot Y_t + i \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t}{(1+r)^{t-1}} - i \cdot I_0. \end{aligned}$$

The goal function has a lower bound: $i \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t}{(1+r)^{t-1}} - i \cdot I_0$, because the cash levels and cash transfers are not lower than zero. This lower bound is achieved if values I_t^0 and Y_t^0 ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$) are equal to zero. This means in this case that it is better to borrow from a bank the required money and to invest

the available cash. The optimal solution then: $I_t^0 = 0, Y_t^0 = 0, C_t^0 = X_t$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$).

(b) Now we can assume that $i \geq c$. We write the goal function as

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot (h \cdot I_t + c \cdot Y_t + i \cdot C_t)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot [h \cdot I_t + c \cdot (Y_t + C_t) + (i - c) \cdot C_t].$$

In this formula substituting (5) the goal function can be written in the following way:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot [h \cdot I_t + c \cdot (Y_t + C_t) + (i - c) \cdot C_t]$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot \left(h + \frac{r}{1+r} \cdot c \right) \cdot I_t + \frac{h+c}{(1+r)^{T-1}} \cdot I_T$$

$$+ \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{i-c}{(1+r)^{t-1}} \cdot C_t + c \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t}{(1+r)^{t-1}} - c \cdot I_0.$$

The lower bound of this cost function is $c \cdot \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t}{(1+r)^{t-1}} - c \cdot I_0$. This lower bound is achieved if $I_t^0 = 0, Y_t^0 = X_t, C_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$), i.e. we have attained the optimal solution. This optimal solution holds if $\sum_{t=1}^T X_t \leq M$. If $\sum_{t=1}^T X_t > M$, then the optimal solution has the next form: $I_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$) and

$$Y_t^0 = \begin{cases} X_t & t = 1, 2, \dots, t' \\ 0 & t = t' + 1, \dots, T \end{cases},$$

$$C_t^0 = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 1, 2, \dots, t' \\ X_t & t = t' + 1, \dots, T \end{cases}.$$

Time period t' is defined as $\sum_{t=1}^{t'} X_t = M$. With these calculations we have proven the property. Using the proof of Property 1., we have two additional properties. □

Property 2 If $c > i$, then in the optimal solution $Y_t^0 = 0, C_t^0 = X_t$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$).

The meaning of this property is that if the unit cost of cash transfer is greater than the interest rate, then it is better to use bank loan, and not to spend the asset of the firm.

Tab. 1. Optimal solution of the model in dependence of parameters

	$c > i$	$i \geq c$
$\sum_{t=1}^T X_t \leq M$	$I_t^0 = 0$ $Y_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$) $C_t^0 = X_t$	$I_t^0 = 0$ $Y_t^0 = X_t$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$) $C_t^0 = 0$
$\sum_{t=1}^T X_t > M$ ($\sum_{t=1}^{t'} X_t = M$)	$I_t^0 = 0$ $Y_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$) $C_t^0 = X_t$	$I_t^0 = 0$ $Y_t^0 = \begin{cases} X_t & t = 1, 2, \dots, t' \\ 0 & t = t' + 1, \dots, T \end{cases}$ $C_t^0 = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 1, 2, \dots, t' \\ X_t & t = t' + 1, \dots, T \end{cases}$

Property 3 If $i \geq c$, then in the optimal solution

(a) if $\sum_{t=1}^T X_t \leq M, I_t^0 = 0, Y_t^0 = X_t, C_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, T$),
and

(b) if $\sum_{t=1}^T X_t > M$,

$$Y_t^0 = \begin{cases} X_t & t = 1, 2, \dots, t' \\ 0 & t = t' + 1, \dots, T \end{cases} \text{ and } C_t^0 = \begin{cases} 0 & t = 1, 2, \dots, t' \\ X_t & t = t' + 1, \dots, T \end{cases}$$

where $\sum_{t=1}^{t'} X_t = M$ and $t' < T$.

This third property shows that it is more rational to spend the asset of the firm if the interest rate is not smaller than the opportunity cost of spending cash. The results can be summarized in Table 1.

4 Numerical examples

Table 1 presents three different cases of the optimal solutions. We construct problems to demonstrate the solutions with the help of data from the Table 2.

Case 1.

In this case the opportunity cost of cash transfer ($c = 2$) is greater than the interest rate ($i = 0.5$). The solution for this type of models is borrowing from a bank and using the asset of the firm for other investments. The optimal solution then $I_t^0 = 0, Y_t^0 = 0, C_t^0 = 10$, ($t = 1, 2, \dots, 12$). The minimal costs are \$46.532.

Case 2.

The opportunity costs ($c = 0.5$) are lower than then interest rate ($i = 1$). In this model it is better to spend the available cash for purchasing. The sum of the required cash ($\sum_{t=1}^{12} X_t = 120$) is lower than the available asset ($M = 130$). The optimal solution then $I_t^0 = 0, Y_t^0 = 10, C_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, 12$). The minimal costs are \$46.532.

Case 3.

The opportunity costs ($c = 1$) are lower than then interest rate ($i = 1.5$). In this model it is better to spend the available cash for purchasing, as it was in case 2. The sum of the required cash ($\sum_{t=1}^{12} X_t = 177$) is lower than the available asset ($M = 130$). It means that the purchasing department must borrow some money from a bank. The sum of the borrowed cash is equal to \$43 = $\sum_{t=1}^{12} X_t - M$. It is known in this model that $125 = \sum_{t=1}^{10} X_t < M = 130$, i.e. in the 10th period there are use of asset and borrowing. The optimal solution then $I_t^0 = 0$ ($t = 1, 2, \dots, 12$), $Y_t^0 = \{10, 15, 13, 20, 11, 8, 14, 16, 18, 5, 0, 0\}$, and $C_t^0 = \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 15, 22, 10\}$. The minimal costs are \$149.429.

Tab. 2. Parameters of the models

	T	r	I_0	h	c	i	$\{X_t\}_1^{12}$	$\sum_{t=1}^{12} X_t$	M
Case 1.	12	0.05	0	2	1	0.5	{10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10}	120	100
Case 2.	12	0.05	0	0.1	0.5	1	{10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10}	120	130
Case 3.	12	0.05	0	0.1	1	1.5	{10, 15, 13, 20, 11, 8, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22, 10}	177	130

5 Conclusions

In this paper we have investigated a discounted cash flow purchasing model. In the optimal solution of the model the cash levels are equal to zero in the planning horizon. The cash transfer is equal to zero in the model if the opportunity costs of transfer are higher than that of interest rate. If the interest rate is higher than the transfer costs, then it is optimal to spend all available cash, and if it is necessary to borrow some money from a bank.

This basic model can be generalized in several ways. A possible generalization is to take into account the date of payment. In this model form we have not examined the net present value representation of the cash flow identity. Introduction of this term can be near to the real word practice.

References

- 1 **Baumol WJ**, *The transactions demand for cash: An inventory theoretic approach*, Quarterly Journal of Economics, **66**(4), (1952), 545–556, DOI 10.2307/1882104.
- 2 **Chand S, Morton TE**, *A Perfect Planning Horizon Procedure for a Deterministic Cash Balance Problem*, Management Science, **28**(6), (1982), 652–669, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.28.6.652.
- 3 **Constantinides GM**, *Stochastic cash management with fixed and proportional transactions costs*, Management Science, **22**(12), (1976), 1320–1331, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.22.12.1320.
- 4 **Constantinides GM, Richard SF**, *Existence of optimal simple policies for discounted-cost inventory and cash management in continuous time*, Operations Research, **26**(4), (1978), 620–636, DOI 10.1287/opre.26.4.620.
- 5 **Daellenbach HG**, *Are Cash Management Optimization Models Worthwhile?*, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, **9**(4), (1974), 607–626, DOI 10.2307/2329763.
- 6 **Eppen GD, Fama EF**, *Solutions for Cash-Balance and Simple Dynamic-Portfolio Problems*, The Journal of Business, **41**(1), (1968), 94–112.
- 7 **Eppen GD, Fama EF**, *Cash Balance and Simple Dynamic Portfolio Problems with Proportional Costs*, International Economic Review, **10**(2), (1969), 119–133.
- 8 **Eppen GD, Fama EF**, *Three Asset Cash Balance and Dynamic Portfolio Problems*, Management Science, **17**(5), (1971), 311–319, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.17.5.311.
- 9 **Girgis NM**, *Optimal Cash Balance Levels*, Management Science, **15**(3), (1968), 130–140, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.15.3.130.
- 10 **Gitman LJ, Moses EA, White IT**, *An Assessment of Corporate Cash Management Practices*, Financial Management, **8**(1), (1979), 32–41.
- 11 **Haley CW, Higgins RC**, *Inventory Policy and Trade Credit Financing*, Management Science, **20**(4-part-i), (1973), 464–471, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.20.4.464.
- 12 **Heyman DP**, *A Model for Cash Balance Management*, Management Science, **19**(12), (1973), 1407–1413, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.19.12.1407.
- 13 **Inderfurth K, Schneeweiß Ch**, *Suboptimal policies for stochastic cash balance problems: A comparison of linear decision rules and rolling horizon de-*

- terministic optimizations*, Zeitschrift für Operations Research, **22**(1), (1978), 13–24, DOI 10.1007/BF01917640.
- 14 **Kamin JH**, *Optimal Portfolio Revision with a Proportional Transaction Cost*, Management Science, **21**(11), (1975), 1263–1271, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.21.11.1263.
- 15 **Mensching J, Garstka S, Morton T**, *Protective Planning-Horizon Procedures for a Deterministic Cash Balance Problem*, Operations Research, **26**(4), (1978), 637–652.
- 16 **Miller MH, Orr D**, *A Model of the Demand for Money by Firms*, The Quarterly Journal of Economics, **80**(3), (1966), 413–435, DOI 10.2307/1880728.
- 17 **Morris JR**, *The Role of Cash Balances in Firm Valuation*, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, **18**(4), (1983), 533–545, DOI 10.2307/2330946.
- 18 **Neave EH**, *The Stochastic Cash Balance Problem with Fixed Costs for Increases and Decreases*, Management Science, **16**(7), (1970), 472–490, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.16.7.472.
- 19 **Porteus EL**, *Equivalent Formulations of the Stochastic Cash Balance Problem*, Management Science, **19**(3), (1972), 250–253, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.19.3.250.
- 20 **Premachandra IM**, *A diffusion approximation model for managing cash in firms: An alternative approach to the Miller–Orr model*, European Journal of Operational Research, **157**(1), (2004), 218–226, DOI 10.1016/S0377-2217(03)00109-7.
- 21 **Robichek AA, Teichroew D, Jones JM**, *Optimal Short Term Financing Decision*, Management Science, **12**(1), (1965), 1–36, DOI 10.1287/mnsc.12.1.1.
- 22 **Ross SA, Westerfield RW**, *Corporate finance*, Times Mirror/Mosby College Pub.; St. Louis, 1988.
- 23 **Sartoris WL, Hill NC**, *A Generalized Cash Flow Approach to Short-Term Financial Decisions*, The Journal of Finance, **38**(2), (1983), 349–360, DOI 10.1111/j.1540-6261.1983.tb02240.x.
- 24 **Sethi SP, Thompson GL**, *Applications of Mathematical Control Theory to Finance: Modeling Simple Dynamic Cash Balance Problems*, The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, **5**(4-5), (1970), 381–394.
- 25 **Tapiero CS**, *Applied Stochastic Models and Control in Management*, North-Holland; Amsterdam-New York, 1988.
- 26 **Thorstenson A**, *Capital Costs in Inventory Models: A Discounted Cash Flow Approach*, Profil 8., Production-Economic Research in Linköping; Linköping, 1988.
- 27 **Vickson RG**, *Simple Optimal Policy for Cash Management*, The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, **20**(3), (1985), 353–369.